

Submitted by Tijani Ben Jemaa

Date 18 February 2015

<http://mm.icann.org/pipermail/accountability-cross-community/2016-February/011039.html>

Minority Statement

As a CCWG-Accountability member, I would like to make this minority statement regarding Recommendation 2 (Reduction of the threshold of support to exercise the community powers)

Para 51. I would like to express my strong opposition to removing the entire board by a decision taken by only 3 SO/ACs under any circumstance for the following reasons:

- Recalling the whole directors of the board would be a very serious and dangerous decision. We need it as the final recourse in case a disaster will occur if we don't do. Its consequences may be destructive to ICANN, and would affect drastically the stability of Internet. We should make it very difficult to happen, and a unanimity in my opinion is not a bad thing since it means the entire community feels it is necessary to change the entire board
- The principle of avoiding the unanimity in exercising the community powers is not in our charter; The fact that spilling the board is too serious makes it a special decision, and for a special decision, we may make an exception to the above mentioned principle.

Para 52. With 5 SO/ACs composing the empowered community, we are told that we don't represent the whole Internet community. With less, our representativeness will be seriously affected. So, reducing the threshold in case of the community becomes composed of less than 5 SO/ACs is not acceptable, not only because of the representativeness, but also because we will exercise the community powers with only 2 SO/ACs supporting the decision for most of them.

Less than 5 SO/ACs will make the whole accountability process to be reviewed