
RECORDED VOICE: This meeting is now being recorded.

DESIREE CABRERA: Okay, the recording has started.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Thank you very much Desiree. It's Olivier speaking. And welcome to the cross community working group on Internet governance conference of Tuesday the 23rd of February 2016. Let's have a quick roll call please, Desiree.

DESIREE CABRERA: Okay. In the room, we have Alan Greenberg, Hector Manoff, Jim Prendergast, Judith Hellerstein, Mark Buell, and Rudi Vansnick.

For the chairs we have Olivier Crépin-Leblond, Rafik Dammak, and Young-Eum Lee.

And for staff we have myself, Desiree Cabrera.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Thanks for this Desiree. Olivier speaking. Have we missed anybody in the roll call?

It looks like we haven't, so the roll call is complete. Now the first item was just to review our action items from our last call. And there were three action items. The first was to finalize the Marrakesh agenda on

Note: The following is the output resulting from transcribing an audio file into a word/text document. Although the transcription is largely accurate, in some cases may be incomplete or inaccurate due to inaudible passages and grammatical corrections. It is posted as an aid to the original audio file, but should not be treated as an authoritative record.

the mailing list, and we will be discussing those in a moment. Secondly was to contact and invite panelists, that has been done.

And thirdly, everyone to think of alternatives if additional panelists are necessary. And we have had some suggestions on the mailing list for additional panelists in case the ones that we have invited are not able to make it.

Now the... Are there any questions on the action items? I don't see anyone putting their hand up. So next, we would have had an update on the outreach, at the mobile world congress, currently taking place in Barcelona. Nigel Hickson is not with us on the call yet, he might join us a bit later. I propose that we jump directly to agenda item number four, which is for us to prepare the Marrakesh session.

And the first thing I need to do is to apologize for one of the, I think it's some sort of procedural question mark as to how to prepare for these meetings that we've had. We've had discussions in the past, during our conference calls that related to the lineup of the people to invite, the topic, etc.

And the aim was really to try and get a bit more input from people in the working group, not just the people that are on the conference call itself, because we have had some varied list of people on the conference calls, and there have been some cases where the line out on the conference call was completely different to the previous call, which I think is somehow the case on this call here.

Don't have the usual suspects joining. Now of course, the conference calls have a varied attendance due to the fact that we are all very busy,

and therefore we've always said well, let's then continue the discussion on the mailing list and get some feedback.

Unfortunately, one of the things that happens when you then discuss the topics and the discussions, prepare for a meeting such as the two Marrakesh sessions that we have, is that we start speaking about people. And Bill Drake did make a point that he didn't feel comfortable about discussing people in public, the archive mailing list with 18 people or so.

And so I think maybe in the future, we will have to think of a better way, perhaps having a small subgroup prepare those meetings offline, and then just making a presentation to the wider group. So we spend more time actually discussing issues rather than discussing our own processes. And I think I'm probably the first one to blame for this, so as I said, I do apologize for that.

Now, that being said, we do have, this is essentially our last call, I think, before Marrakesh, and I just wanted to take the opportunity here to confirm the current agendas with you, and get last minute input. It's not too late to change, to make amendments. And so I invite you to first have a look at the first agenda, which is the public session. 75 minutes total time. You can download it in DOC or in PDF.

That's the session that will take place on the Thursday morning at 9 AM. After all of the discussion that took place on the mailing list, I think that we have a good balance here. We'll have two parts to the session. First, 30 minutes on the WSIS plus 10 process, with main outcomes and what to look out for in the future, with three confirmed panelists. I can

let you know they've all been contacted and they're all ready to be there.

So Hannah [inaudible], Peter Major, and [inaudible]. Hannah is an expert on the sustainable development goals, and is based in New York, and it's great that we will have her presence. Peter Major is now the chair of the CSTD, so who better to speak about the CSTD enhanced cooperation than him? And [inaudible], as you know, is the steward of the IGF, and so who better than him to speak about the IGF extension.

And as we know, it will really depend on the details, the who will moderate on how this will work. Will basically try to get the panelists to say just a few words about each one of their topics, and then to engage the dialogue as much as we can with the audience that will be participating.

That's the first part. The second part of the meeting is the debate about fragmentation versus openness. We managed to bring this up to 40 minutes, since we had 75 minutes in total. If we don't go around too much in circles and have not too many introductory remarks, then we could have this debate, with input from several people. And after some to and fro, it looks like, so we have Bill Drake to present the fragmentation paper that him and others have drafted for the world economic forum

Patrik Fälström is currently marked as being suggested. I haven't gotten in touch with Patrik yet to ask him whether he would be able to provide details on a more technical perspective. He and others have also been working on a paper looking at a fragmentation on another angle, or

from a different perspective. And there is also an OECD debate going on about this topic, well actually not only in the OECD, but certainly debates in various forums around the world about fragmentation.

And of course, sticking to the context in the names and numbers, the suggestion was to have Fiona Alexander, whom I think you all know, and who is very qualified to do this. I've now put, well we've put some square brackets around the world Internet conference and [inaudible] summit, because I wasn't quite sure how that fitted with the rest of the debate, and whether this would also maybe be touched on by any of the three other panelists that were there.

A little reticent about adding more panelists. If you remember, in the past we had quite a large number of panelists in some of the sessions. It just sounded pretty ridiculous to have 10 people on stage, each having a few minutes to say things, and very little time for the audience to be engaged or meet anybody else.

Finally the third very small part of this agenda would be just closing with the GAC high level meeting takeaway. As you know on the Monday, there is a GAC high level meeting. I'm not sure whether, on the day itself, there will be a summary of the discussions, but it would be interesting to see this later, to have just a five minute update on what happened and what are the main takeaways from that GAC high level meeting.

That's the proposed agenda. The floor is open now for comments, suggestions, amendments. If you're okay with this, if you're not okay with this, and I hope that we can discuss this, otherwise it's in the bag,

but that would make a very short meeting. So I hope we can have a bit of discussion on this.

And maybe I could call also on Rafik and Young-Eum perhaps to say a few things if you feel, since you are also co-chairs on this. It would be good to hear from you. Young-Eum Lee, you have the floor.

YOUNG-EUM LEE: Yes, thanks Olivier. Can you hear me?

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Yes, we can hear you. Go ahead.

YOUNG-EUM LEE: Okay, good. I was just wondering, we have speakers representing different types of meetings, like the world economic forum and so on. I'm wondering if it might not be a good idea for us to kind of put these meetings into perspective, and maybe just, I don't know, not take too long, but at least kind of an explanation about these meetings and maybe these, the significance of these meetings maybe? Thanks.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Thanks very much for this Young-Eum. It's a good point. It could be something that either the moderator undertakes, or that each one of the participants that are there undertakes, and reinforcing the importance of the ones that are they're speaking about.

You actually mentioned that we have people representing these meetings. They're not representing per se, [inaudible] these meetings. I didn't quite hear. I don't think that they're representatives, but yeah, they certainly will be talking about the topic of those meetings that they've attended.

It's a good point though, that you're making on the, on actually explaining those meetings and the importance. Young-Eum, you have the floor.

YOUNG-EUM LEE:

Thanks Olivier. I mean, that's, I agree. I didn't mean to say that they're actually representing, but at least introducing these meetings to us. So, we can ask them to send us, give us a brief explanation of these meetings, maybe.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND:

Okay, thanks Young-Eum, that's noted. Rafik Dammak, you have the floor.

RAFIK DAMMAK:

Thanks Olivier. Can you hear me?

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND:

Yes, very well.

RAFIK DAMMAK:

Okay. So, I think the agenda is in good shape now. We've got here a meeting between the two parts for the public session. And I think just need some tweaking for panelists, I mean the confirmation and that's ongoing. But I wanted [inaudible] that we got the moderators for this two sessions.

I think that was not really discussed, and I'm not sure who is going to manage this session. Is it expected us as co-chairs? Or as we did before, we get two moderator, one for each part of the session?

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND:

Thanks for this Rafik. It's Olivier speaking. That was the next question, indeed. What should we do for this? Now, there have been some suggestions that the co-chairs of the working groups could moderate this, but there are also suggestions that others could moderate this.

Whoever is moderating it, I think, should not be any of the subjects topic matter experts. And certainly, names that have come out. It could be members of this, I would certainly hope that it would be a member of this working group that steps forward for this.

In the past, we have had Peter [inaudible], who did a very good job. We had Bill Drake, but Bill is presenting something on this occasion, so he's probably out of the equation. But we have had also other people, such as Matthew Shears, I think I'm correct to think that Matthew Shears did a presentation. He did very well also in presenting.

So we could have a face that we've known, that we've had in the past run in the show, or we could also suggest new people to run the show.

Does anybody have any suggestions here? Rafik, do you have anybody in mind?

RAFIK DAMMAK:

I mean, it's strange that nobody volunteered with this. I think we can go with kind of like a plan and have the co-chairs just to manage this session. It's just we, we just to do some introduction and make sure [inaudible] kind of the time, the time keeping basically.

So yeah, we can... We shouldn't... If we don't find anybody, but maybe we can just ask in the list anyway and see if someone wants to take the lead here.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND:

Thanks Rafik. It's Olivier speaking. We haven't even asked anyone yet, which is maybe one of the reasons why there hasn't been anybody saying yes. That was, I guess, kept on the side and yeah. So certainly we can ask. And we can ask in two ways, either target specific individuals or to...

I mean, [inaudible] was a person who was also suggested, by the way, for some of the, for doing this, or he's taking part in the session. Maybe one of the things to do is to look at the different people that were suggested as speakers, and then perhaps try and see if we can ask them if they would like to chair this or co-chair this.

And the co-chairs of this working group can effectively take a, you know, stay on the side and certainly help with the direction things are going, and also help with the time keeping, etc. One thing is sure, the

two sessions here, I think, are pretty exciting. They're very different from each other, the two parts of this agenda.

And we will probably run out of time, unfortunately, but this is how quickly it goes. And I see a green tick from Rafik. And I note that Rudi has said that he could, if needed, could free some time to chair. Okay, that's noted as well. Good.

Any other thoughts on this?

Okay, so that's one. Then the next thing I was going to suggest is to then look at the second agenda, the one for face to face meeting. I don't think... Is there anything else that we need to work out for this first meeting? For the public meeting? I think we're pretty much well setup for the moving forward on this.

RAFIK DAMMAK:

[Inaudible]... Sorry Olivier. I'm just trying to think to send this updated agenda to the list, to get everyone on the same page. And that's it, and confirm the moderator. And I see that Nigel just joined us.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND:

Okay, thanks for reminding, for letting us know on Nigel's arrival. Now, the first part of that public meeting, I think the flow of it is pretty much worked out, because we've just got the three confirmed panelists. Main outcomes is what to look for in the future. The second one, the thing about fragmentation and openness is somehow a little more complex.

What we could have is to start with Bill Drake explaining the fragmentation paper and setting the scene, because the fragmentation paper looks at so many different angles, then continue with a technical perspective, then continue with the overall political perspective. And then, from that point onwards, start collecting feedback, perhaps looking at...

I'm not sure whether we should ask questions, or have a mixed debate with absolutely all perspectives mixed, or try to frame it more in one direction or another. In other words, try to frame it with a more technical look, outlook to it or a more political outlook to it. And in any case, I think that there needs to be some kind of framing also to make sure that this always has to be looked at through the ICANN kaleidoscope, in how does it related to ICANN?

And what can ICANN do and what can our communities, and when I say ICANN, it's really ICANN's communities do. I see Rudi Vansnick's hand is up. Rudi, you have the floor.

RUDI VANSNICK:

Thank you Olivier. Rudi for the transcript. Well I would propose that we try to have a kind of [inaudible] outcome requirements for the meeting so that when you have to moderate the meeting, we have [inaudible] we have a goal that would create a report out of the debates that we will have in the panels.

I think that could help for future purposes.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Thanks Rudi. It's Olivier speaking. What outcome would you see as being a positive outcome out of this public meeting? What would you suggest?

RUDI VANSNICK: Thank you Olivier. Rudi for the transcript. Well, I think it's important that we could have a look based on the topic, what's in it for each of our communities, whether eventually action points that each of the constituencies or communities can work on after the debate in the coming months, to have a kind of follow up meeting eventually in June or in October.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Okay. Thanks for this. It's Olivier speaking. So effectively, what can we do, or what can our communities do, after this call? After this meeting? After this face to face meeting? Yeah, that's a good point.

So that's a good framing of the outcomes. Are there any other suggestions on suggested outcomes of this public meeting?

NIGEL HICKSON: Good afternoon. This is Nigel.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Good afternoon Nigel and welcome from sunny Barcelona, no doubt.

NIGEL HICKSON: Yes it is, very sonny. So, apologies for being late, but back to back meetings. But in terms of outcome of the face to face meeting, I think that people come away with a sort of better understanding, and also feel they've contributed to the ongoing debate on the role of ICANN in the Internet governance ecosystem, especially in terms of the WSIS and Internet fragmentation, etc.

So I hope, you know, I hope that's a very confident, positive contraction, which I'm sure it would be.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Thanks for this Nigel. It's Olivier speaking. And looking at this, and especially for the second part of this public meeting, the whole discussion on fragmentation, I mean is there an angle to ask the audience as to whether ICANN should get actively engaged in these processes?

I mean, I understand that the WSIS plus 10 process is one which ICANN has engaged in for a long time already, and is absolutely one of the key things that ICANN is engaging in. Fragmentation and openness is somehow a little more fringe to this, but it would be worth asking the question to the audience on this. Rudi Vansnick.

RUDI VANSNICK: Thank you Olivier. Rudi for the transcript. I think, this is an important question to the audience. I think that ICANN is still figuring out what its mission is in fragmentation and openness of the Internet, and due to the IANA transition discussions, it's clear that some consider that ICANN

should do more, and others consider that ICANN should stay away from certain parts of the fragmentation. So I think it is important, and I think for me it would be very interesting to know what the audience thinks about the position of ICANN in today's fragmentation and [inaudible].

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Okay. Thanks for this Rudi. It's Olivier speaking. So I'm taking a few notes whilst people are speaking here, and we'll try and share them afterwards. Rafik Dammak, you have the floor.

RAFIK DAMMAK: Thanks Olivier. I [inaudible] second thought about fragmentation, have the power form [inaudible]. To be honest, I didn't go through, but I think it covered several, they tried to cover several types of fragmentation, I think, either like economy and so on. And there is, I think if we get Patrik to come, his working group has submitted a paper to the, I would say, the, I forget the name.

The Internet governance, or Internet commission. So I think he's more focusing on technical side. So with this kind of material, this kind of presentation, I think, the first purpose is really to get people to understand more about the fragmentation and then give them different cases.

And I think there was also a discussion that we like about, it's universal access [inaudible], which is more related to ICANN and to domain name. So I see that our first goal is really to kind of educate people about all of the fragmentation issue, to give a kind of broad range of viewpoints

first. And then they can kind of discuss about what does it mean for ICANN in, within, or what's it doing in particular related to the domain name, and there maybe for IP addressing.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Okay. Thanks for this Rafik. It's Olivier speaking. So I think we've gone through this one, and it looks quite clear now how we will proceed forward. Let's then now look at the second draft agenda, and that's the one that will go in, on the screen please, and that's the face to face meeting.

Just summarizing the ICANN mission as far as fragmentation is concerned, is important. And Rafik, thanks for mentioning the universal acceptance of all TLDs. Obviously without this universal acceptance, not only at browser level, but obviously at DNS level worldwide, you will have a problem.

And we are seeing some countries that are looking at blocking some TLDs. So it certainly would be of help that we can have a debate that edges towards the resolution as to how ICANN should get involved in this, in this overall worldwide debate of fragmentation. Now the second agenda is here before you.

Now that's the agenda for the face to face meeting that takes place the previous day, in the morning, and the idea is to spend on this, we only have one hour. And the idea is for the working group to advance on its processes, and on this occasion would just like to restrict it two things, rather than many, many more smaller items, which then don't warrant any discussion, or don't allow for any discussion.

The first one was to prepare the WSIS forum workshop preparation. We have had a greenlight, not a greenlight. We have submitted, sorry, a workshop to the WSIS forum which takes place in, I believe it is April or May in Geneva. And so that opportunity when we are face to face, to actually refine the topic, pick time lists and moderators, and pick the actual flow is important.

The suggestion so far has been, this is what we've filed for, to explain the accountability processes. I'm hoping we will not get burned by having picked that topic for those of you that may not be aware that the accountability process has dragged on a little bit, but hopefully by Marrakesh, things will work themselves out.

So hopefully, by the time we meet face to face, we will have a lot more to discuss as to how we will present the success of the multistakeholder model and how wonderful it was to actually reach consensus and provide that report, that input for the NTIA process.

Secondly, 40 minutes on the discussion of the actual purpose of the working group and the effectiveness in it providing guidance to staff. There was a call by Marilyn Cade, I believe, asking if we could have some documents ready by then. Just background documents on the frequency of meetings and the conference calls, and would the public sessions held at ICANN where about, perhaps some statistics on attendance, and also the number of papers and so on that were drafted so far by the working group.

So as to give us a good basis for, a good start for the discussion. We have had this discussion in the past, and I must say, we have had

different points of view with some people being more interested in the working group actually producing papers that we then submit both internally and externally. Others saying that if that was the case, their participation would not be possible.

So it's probably a good time to revisit this discussion, and I hope that we will have a good turnout in Marrakesh, because discussing it between three people is not really helpful, but discussing it with most members, if not all members of the working group present, would really get us to move forward on this.

And we have a charter, and we have to follow our charter of course, but we also at the same time, I think, have had some wins and some misses, I would call it misses, as in things that we could have done but that we didn't, because we either ran out of time or didn't focus enough on it. I think most of the time was because we were just focusing or busy with other matters, and certainly I know that other processes outside of this working group have been very time consuming.

So the floor is open for comments on these topics. And I note that Rafik Dammak has put his hand up. So Rafik, you have the floor.

RAFIK DAMMAK: That's an old hand.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Hello? Rafik has put his hand down. Okay. Nigel Hickson, you have the floor.

NIGEL HICKSON: Yes, thank you very much. Nigel Hickson for the record. Just to just briefly mention on the first topic, so this is the WSIS forum which is taking place in the first week of May. So ICANN has submitted, there was an open consultation process of submitting ideas on the program, on the agenda, on workshops.

So we've submitted a proposal to have this workshop as you've discussed on the accountability framework, that's our number one priority. And that's what we told the ITU. We've also volunteered to do a workshop on future TLDs for the next round, but that's our number two priority. But the first one is the cross community working group workshop on the accountability process.

There is a physical meeting at the ITU on Friday afternoon, which I will go to. And I've been told that after that meeting, we'll be told whether we've been successful in our application for a workshop, which I'm certainly confident we will be. So I'll be able to report next week or whatever, how you see appropriate on this process. Thanks.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Thanks very much Nigel. Olivier speaking. And when you say next week I gather it will be the week when we'll be meeting face to face in Marrakesh, because I'm not expecting a conference call next week of this working group since I think a lot of people will be travelling.

NIGEL HICKSON: Yeah, sorry. Nigel Hickson. I had forgotten Marrakesh was so near. I was hoping that we could put another week between it or something.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Thanks Nigel. Marrakesh is just very close to Barcelona, you could probably just swim across. Maybe a little bit further, but any way. Okay. So any other, are there any other comments on this then? And for this, of course, the co-chairs of the working group will be chairing, and well we don't need any speakers per se.

We've got the documents to start with. So hopefully we can proceed forward with that.

I don't see anyone putting their hand up or commenting about the agenda, proposed agenda that's on the screen at the moment. So it looks like we've got a face to face meeting agenda. Is there anything else that anybody would like to add that we should discuss face to face? I mean, one of the things we've had in the past is preparing for the time between the current ICANN meeting to the next ICANN meeting afterwards, but I thought that this would probably be a part of the discussion on the focus of the working group.

And we will be able to take some steps towards, some concrete steps towards what we will do next. Young-Eum Lee, you have the floor.

YOUNG-EUM LEE: Thank you Olivier. This is Young Lee. I'm also wondering if we should just take a brief time to introduce, or to give people a summary of the many related meetings that may be coming up. I think we did that a

couple of meetings ago, like that would be helpful in planning for the year. Thanks.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Yes, thanks very much for this suggestion Young-Eum. It's Olivier speaking. So summarizing effectively the future meetings of the, the meetings that are Internet governance related that are coming up. We do have a calendar of these, and we could certainly spend a few minutes at the end of the face to face meeting summarizing what's coming up.

Maybe we can play this one by ear and perhaps add a small third item then. Sort of five minutes, yeah. Five minute item, just to remind us all what the next topics are going to be in the forthcoming months. That sounds like a good idea. So thanks. Let's note this as well.

Any other comments? I don't see anyone putting their hands up. So, oh no, Judith Hellerstein, you have the floor.

JUDITH HELLERSTEIN: All right Olivier. It's Judith Hellerstein. The question is, on that purpose of the working group, were we going to discuss also whether, what levels of support do different ACs and SOs have? I remember, this is always a stumbling block in whether we provide advice or not. And whether we can support different opinions or not. And because we're looking at another [inaudible], are we going to look at that also?

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Judith, it's Olivier speaking. Yes, I believe that's probably the discussion that we will have. There are questions as to whether this should, this working group should produce papers, whether it should provide formal advice to SOs and ACs, who will then forward it to the ICANN Board. These are all questions being asked at the moment and their varying views in there.

So that's what we are revisiting at the moment. At least, that's my understanding. Rafik, you're on, please feel free to jump in. But my understanding is that these issues will be reopened, and we will see whether there is any worth in trying to draft more papers, and perhaps providing formal advice to our respective supporting organizations and advising committees, or at least to the ones that have co-chartered this working group.

Okay. And there was a discussion regarding the differences between, on our mailing list, the differences between members and participants, but as you've seen, this working group had decided that it's an open working group, so we do allow for people from everywhere, including non-ICANN participants to take part in the discussions of the working group.

The only thing that we do ask for though, to comply with cross community working group restrictions, or not restrictions but rules, is that people will have a valid statement of, valid SOI. Statement of Interest.

Okay. I don't see any other hands up, so let's consider then that this agenda is fine too with the note that we've taken. And therefore we

can go now to our next agenda item, which is coming back to agenda item number two, and that's for Nigel Hickson to speak to us with an update from the outreach performed by ICANN at the mobile world congress in Barcelona. Nigel, you have the floor.

NIGEL HICKSON:

Yes, and thank you very much. Nigel Hickson. I'll be very brief, if that's okay, because I've got to... Well, because it's quite noisy here and I've got to dash off to another meeting. But I just really wanted to note that we're here in Barcelona. The ICANN has had presence in Barcelona at the mobile world congress for the last few years.

I think it has been very positive. The mobile world congress as many of you all know, is the sort of preeminent event for the mobile sector, but it has morphed really into the Internet sector as well, because of the technology convergence and the business budgets, and we here have an opportunity, Fadi Chehadé and Dr. [inaudible] to conduct a range of bilateral meetings with the ministers primarily but also leaders of the business.

This year of course, there has been quite a bit of discussion on the transition. The IANA transition. And ministers here are obviously wanting to understand where that's reached and what's going to be coming up in the Marrakesh meeting, both the level meeting in Marrakesh and the subsequent ICANN sessions.

I think, yeah, we've conducted about 10 bilateral so far, we've got a few more, some countries including Brazil, Mexico, Finland, the UK, Palestine, Morocco, Ghana, Guinea, so quite a spread of countries. And

Cambodia. So that's what I want to say. We will do some sort of report if it's of interest to the working group on the main messages and that. And that's all I have. If I can be excused now, I just need to go and arrange something. I do apologize.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Thanks for this Nigel. I was just going to ask one question though, which was the angle that ICANN was taking in the mobile phone environment? Do you have 30 seconds to give us an idea?

NIGEL HICKSON: Sorry. When you say the angle...?

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: The relation between ICANN's mission and the, what's being discussed at the mobile world congress.

NIGEL HICKSON: Yes. I do apologize. Yes. So essentially what Fadi Chehadé has been doing is discussing the, using the three layer model that is the three layer application to explain what the ICANN role is in the logical layout, and what the normal ICANN role is in terms of all of the policy issues such as cyber security and that.

So, we always take an opportunity to explain to people what ICANN does and what it's responsible for and what it's not responsible for. Thank you very much.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Okay. Thanks very much Nigel. Olivier speaking. That's very helpful to learn this, so that's good.

NIGEL HICKSON: And I'll see you soon.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Okay, see you soon Nigel. Take care and enjoy the sun, sorry, the work. [CROSSTALK] Thanks very much. Since Nigel has to leave us, I guess there are no further questions on the call. Well, any other business?

And I know that Bill Drake has just arrived from another call. Hello Bill. We have looked at the agendas for both the face to face session of the working group, but also the public session. And we've somehow had a proposal for finalizing the participants, the panelists, etc., and the flow of the meeting.

So I'm not sure whether... Do you wish to follow up with me maybe afterwards rather than going through the whole thing? If you want, you and I can have a chat immediately after this.

Okay, that's fine. Okay. So we're now in any other business. Is there anything else to add to our call today?

I don't see any hands up, so I would like to thank you all for having attended this call, and I look forward to seeing you in Marrakesh. And until then, have a good conference calls and so on, and have a safe

travel there. So thanks everyone and speak very soon. Goodbye. This call is adjourned.

[END OF TRANSCRIPTION]