

UA Technology WG Meeting

27 April 2020

Attendees

1. Abdalmonem Galila
2. Abdel Ouro
3. Dennis Tan Tanaka
4. Eric
5. Jim DeLaHunt
6. Ihita Gangavarapu
7. Satish Babu
8. Sushanta Sinha
9. Sarmad Hussain

Agenda

1. Continue discussion on UA Tech WG Planning for FY21
2. Review of [SOW on Content Management Systems](#) shared by UA Measurement WG
3. AOB

Meeting Notes

1. The WG started by summarizing its pass on the FY20 Action Plan. It was questioned how this exercise will contribute to the WG's effectiveness. It was shared that the bigger picture needs to be charted out to plan and prioritize the work. The plan also represents a collective agreement of the WG and can be used to evaluate the progress for the group eventually.
 - a. T1 – completed.
 - b. T2 – yes, possibly FY21
 - c. T3 – FY21
 - d. T4 – FY20
 - e. T5 – ongoing – FY21
 - f. T6, T7, T9 – partially completed in FY20 – continue in F21
 - g. T8 – ongoing based on request – continue in FY21
 - h. T10 – Prioritize in FY21
 - i. T11 – ongoing with UA Ambassadors and Local Initiatives – continue in FY21
 - j. T12 – ongoing in FY21
 - k. T13 – ongoing in FY21
 - l. T14-16 – supporting other WGs as needed in FY21

The WG agreed to prioritize the items going forward. There are two main areas: (i) continue the gap analysis, and (ii) start the remediation efforts.

UA measurement WG is looking forward to UA tech WG addressing remediation for current and ongoing projects, including:

- a. Open source software
- b. Content Management Systems
- c. Programming languages and frameworks
- d. Browsers and other categories, like social media apps

These should be included in FY21. Further UASG004 should be updated. The prioritization work should limit the high priority items, following the pyramid structure. It was suggested that measurement WG can take up gap analysis for tech WG to focus on the remediation.

The final summary should be circulated to the Tech WG for any further feedback. The WG will discuss prioritization in the next meeting.

Further discussion in the group would be needed to see if anything needs to be added to this list.

2. The WG also reviewed the SOW on CMS. It was asked if the underlying components will be tested as part of CMS – should such components be tested separately. It was explained that only CMS relevant function of the underlying components will be tested.

The CMS SOW document was presented by Meas WG chair. It was shared that a prototype exercise is being done at this time which will be expanded based on the learning starting with self-hosted version of WordPress.org along with some of its components, at this time. UASG026 Readiness Framework will be used to test the application, with UASG004 listing the actual domain name and email data.

A minimal set of relevant extensions will be tested, including subscription management, membership management and event management. It was noted that plug-ins for CMSs can be too many and difficult to track, especially also as one may not be a substitute of the other.

Action items

No.	Action Item	Owner
1	Review shortlisted FY20 actions for FY21 and help prioritize	All
2	Review SOW on CMS for any feedback	All