UA Technology Working Group Meeting  
30 September 2019

Attendees:
1. Carina Birada
2. Dessalegn Mequanint Yehuala
3. Hazem Hezzah
4. Marc Blanchet
5. Satish Babu
6. Sonigitu Ekpe
7. Sarmad Hussain

Agenda

1. Formation of sub-groups
2. Review of the overall plan to undertake the sub-group work
3. Review of SOW on Assessment of Applications in Open Source Repository by UA Measurement WG
4. Plan for UA Technology WG session at ICANN66
5. AoB

Meeting Notes

Formation of Subgroups
The members agreed to move forward in three subgroups, on UA Readiness, Remediation and Training. A call has been sent out. It was suggested to send out a reminder for the sub-groups for further membership. There was concern on having too many smaller groups. To address the concern, it was suggested to use the UA tech mailing list for all these groups. It was suggested that this method be tried out, else these could be merged.

Plan for sub-group work
The tentative high-level plan for each sub-group was shared and it was pointed out that monthly breakdown of tasks has been suggested for the members to consider. The plan will be refined as the WG proceeds. It was suggested to share the plan with other WGs through the coordination WG email list and to also share an explicit mail with the Tech WG members.

The members overviewed the plans for the three sub-groups.

On the test cases in UASG004, it was shared that the document provides the dataset for testing but the functions of an application for testing using this data still need to be identified, and will depend on the application itself, e.g. some applications will require linkification of the domain names in UASG 004. The relevant use cases may also need to be identified.

Also, the training by tech WG should focus on technical matters for relevant stakeholders, e.g. technology developers. Example of such training is how to program for UA readiness in Java. More general training can be done by the comms WG. Also, it should also be discussed who will roll out these training materials.
It was agreed to start rolling out the activities of the WG based on the plan.

**SOW on Assessment of Applications in Open Source Repository** by UA Measurement WG
The document was reviewed. It was explained that this study looks at the state of UA readiness for applications at open source repositories like GitHub and StackOverflow. The study asks to crawl such applications in Java and Python on Github as a prototype to identify the gap, the issues, and the numbers, which can be used by the tech WG to plan the remediation as well. The WG agreed to endorse the study.

**ICANN66**
The working group members were informed that there is a session on Monday during ICANN66 focused on UA Tech WG. The session would inform the community on the progress of the WG. A template will be shared to develop the presentation for the WGs, which can then be developed further. The overall UA plan during ICANN66 was also reviewed.

**Action Items**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Action Item</th>
<th>Owner</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Undertake the sub-group work by using the UA tech WG email list and tagging the emails appropriately</td>
<td>All</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Share the plan of work with the UASG Coordination list</td>
<td>Sarmad Hussain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Send an email to the UA tech WG to review the draft plan for sub-groups and provide any feedback</td>
<td>Sarmad Hussain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>The tech WG endorsed the study and asked to inform the measurement WG to proceed</td>
<td>Sarmad Hussain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Develop presentation to update the community on UA tech WG at ICANN66</td>
<td>Satish Babu and Dessalegn Yehuala</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>