

# UA Technology WG Meeting

## 9 May 2022

### Attendees

Rajiv Kumar  
Jim DeLaHunt  
Seda Akbulut

### Agenda

1. Welcome and Roll Call
2. [FY23 Tech WG planning](#) - by 15 May
0. [T5 – UA challenges and survey\[docs.google.com\]](#)
  - Defining the outline of the ICANN Community Consultation Session
  - Background (this could be for invitation)
  - Status Quo (updates from 2015 to 2022)
  - Obstacles
  - Opportunities
  - Why we are here
  - What we are trying to communicate
  - Questions to the community
  - Defining the title of the name list
4. AOB

### Meeting Notes

#### **FY23 Tech WG planning - by 15 May**

Seda shared some background of what has been done on the FY23 Planning document. And shared the status of T1-T5 items. Then explained the approach for making action items for FY23.

Seda reminded that in the last meeting Jim shared an idea to go through all SOWs for the last two years. Jim shared that he was unable to do that homework. Seda mentioned that current items listed might take more than a year to complete.

One of the suggested topics was that updating the UASG documents such as UASG024 command line and networking tools was not discussed as well last time. Jim stated that the decision to update the document must be taken after reviewing the document completely. If someone has reviewed all and reported an issue, then it should be updated and prioritized to utilize effort and money. Seda agreed to that.

While Seda was exploring the UASG024 document from the document hub, Jim shared an idea about the URL of documents on uasg.tech so that these are easily accessible. For instance having a formula on the URL (uasg.tech/doc/uasg0XX) that can be typed for each document, rather than navigating on several pages. Seda will check this feature internally to see if it can be done.

Jim suggested having a database of all the issues reported about a UASG document with comments. He suggested using a bug database where we can have a list of UASG documents with bug reports and the reporter's name. This way, we can go over that database from time to time and update the UASG documents. Seda appreciated the idea. He asked Seda if there is a way to accumulate small reports and sort them based on their importance. Seda shared that she does not recall any such thing. Jim suggested that the Coordination WG can work on this, and it would be a great addition.

Seda asked about finalizing the document. Jim asked if it is possible to update the FY23 plan document after 3 or 6 months or not. Seda shared that we have 1 or 2 months only because it will be released at the end of July. She also said that adding new items will require approval from leadership. However, it is possible to update the plan if there are more priorities emerge. Jim mentioned that he had low confidence that this is the best list we have for FY23. Because we may discover things from the T5 survey results that we don't know today.

### **T5 - UA Challenges and Surveys**

Seda mentioned that the background information will be shared when sending an invitation to ICANN community experts. The list of the experts is at the end of the document. She shared the list with the Coordination WG, by adding the point of contacts from SO/ACs, and former UA ambassadors. Coordination WG suggested adding one more person to the list.

Jim shared that "leadership" is the wrong word for a list if we want to include all experts. List should not only have leaders, but also the people with expertise.

Seda asked views on the background of "Internal UA Community Brainstorming Session". Jim shared that he does not see a question in the background section like what is expected outcome from the brainstorming session of forty people. Jim suggested changing the wording of the background paragraph as it is not clear. Step1 was set as a brainstorming session, and the questions should be clear for the forty people. Jim shared that currently we do not have the right group of people to decide on this agenda item.

Seda moved to the next item on Status Quo. She mentioned that she could compile slides on this showing the current UA readiness status on several platforms if that helps.

Jim stated that he wants the group of people to come to a consensus statement of the strategic obstacles faced by UA today. Coming up with a solution is currently not important, but identification of obstacles is. Seda added this comment in the document. Jim shared further that; those people should test their statement of obstacles first instead of jumping to the solution. This way, they will not get distracted by the topic. Jim shared that most of the discussion will be around supply demand paradox and chicken or egg problem.

Jim shared the concept of SWOT to be added in the questions for brainstorming. SWOT means strength, weaknesses, opportunities and threats. In short, the topic should be SWOT analysis of UA today. Seda added this to the document and thanked everyone for joining the meeting and stated that the discussion will continue in the next meeting.

**Next Meeting:** 23rd May 2022 UTC 1600-1700

### Action items

No.	Action Item	Owner
1	Brainstorm on draft items listed in T5 document	All
2	Forming a database for reporting the bugs and outdated information on the UASG documents	All
3	Having a shorter URL format for UASG documents	Seda