

UA Tech WG Meeting

28 March 2022

Attendees

Satish Babu

Jim DeLaHunt

Dhananjay Garg

Gopal Tadepalli

Kapil Goyal

Jesse Nathan Kalange

Rajiv Kumar

Sonigitu Ekpe

Jesse Nathan Kalange

Seda Akbulut

Agenda

- 1) Welcome and Roll Call
- 2) Nicolas Fiumarelli's question in the ICANN73 session UA The way forward
- 3) [FY23 Tech WG planning](#) - by 15 May
- 4) Reviewing the recent inputs added to [T5 – UA challenges and survey](#)
[\[docs.google.com\]](#)
 - a) The title of the name list
 - b) Preparing a background note and questions for the brainstorming session - to be included in the invitations
- 5) AOB

Meeting Notes

Nicolas Fiumarelli's question in the ICANN73 session UA the way forward

Question: "Is there something that the RIRs (regional registries) can do in order to help achieving UA compliance? I am thinking maybe about the reverse* DNS Nameservers also being compliant with UA so the ISPs could also receive "the message".

The question was a bit unclear to reviewers, it has been sent to the coordination team. It can involve some open-source and some proprietary communities. Satish explained that these tools only handle ASCII codes instead of U-Labels and Unicode (Latin or non-Latin). It may not even be totally connected to UA. Therefore, the Coordination Team needs to review it if it is under our purview. So, the question is if these tools can internally support UA acceptance.

It was concluded to send this question to the Coordination team with Tech WG comments, suggesting the Coordination team to consult the Address Supporting Organization (ASO). Seda has also shared this question with Tech WG mailing list for further comments.

FY23 Tech WG planning - by 15 May

Seda briefly explained the document and shared the document for offline updates and comments.

Working groups will review their works and lessons learned in order to plan for FY23 (July 2022 - June 2023). [FY22 action plan](#) was shared with participants for reference. The review of FY22 started for status of action items assigned to Tech WG, items that need to be repeated or in-progress shall be added to FY23.

Then the group needs to identify which FY22 actions are still relevant and then prioritize FY23 actions along with the additional items that are addressing UA. We will then shortlist the items for the Coordination WG review and then for UA community feedback. UASG leadership will finalize the FY23 action plan in July 2022. Budget allocation shall be decided by UASG leadership.

The group completed the review on the FY22 Action Items. FY23 Planning will be further discussed in detail in the next meeting.

Reviewing the recent inputs added to [T5 - UA challenges and survey](#)

Satish added a section titled background to give a direction of process. Suggestions made by Jim were accepted and resolved. Jim commented on the background section, saying that we need to include a summary of current strategic situations, what the opportunities are and what are the obstacles. Satish encouraged Jim to add comments in this section. And he also agreed that we had already decided to include a status quo in some level of detail.

Seda suggested adding some questions and agenda items for the community consultation session. It is concluded that a session outline must be prepared in the next meeting that touch base on the following topics:

- Background (to be included in the invitation)
- Status Quo for 7 years
- Obstacles and Opportunities
- Why we are here and what we are trying to communicate
- Questions to the community

Process on validation of the names of UASG leaders/members:

Seda said that current and former UASG roles are on the list, including the admins, working groups, ambassadors, and local initiatives.

Jim pointed out that people with expertise must be on the list rather than just people with roles. Satish agreed and said we would have to look at the contributions and expertise. To validate the names, and finding missing names, Seda suggested sharing the list with the people who are already in the list. Satish emphasized the sensitivity of this issue. The process to validate this will be taken up in the next meeting. Satish requested Seda to come up with two-three people so that we can share the name list who can give feedback on the people missed out. Seda suggested that this can be taken up in the Coordination WG meeting instead. Satish agreed.

Next meeting: Monday 11 April 2022 UTC 1600-1700

Action items

No.	Action Item	Owner
1	Adding comments to Nicolas' Question (ICANN73 session)	All
2	Sharing Nicolas' question with the Coordination WG	Seda