Current Status ALAC Comment on CCWG-Acct 3rd Proposal

Recommendation #1: Establishing an Empowered Community for Enforcing Community Powers

ALAC Position: OK

- Possible Issues
 - Effort to reduce AC weighting

Recommendation #2: Empowering the Community Through Consensus: Engage, Escalate, Enforce

ALAC Concerns

- Reduction of threshold to remove Board (and reject budgets and IANA actions)
- Concern over 120 day requirement to name replacement Board member

Recommendation #3: Redefining ICANN's Bylaws as 'Standard Bylaws' and 'Fundamental Bylaws'

ALAC Position: OK

Recommendation #4: Ensuring Community Engagement in ICANN Decision-making: Seven New Community Powers

 Liability associated with removing Board Members

Recommendation #5: Changing Aspects of ICANN's Mission, Commitments and Core Values

- Mission (non-bottom-up contract provisions including PICs, grandfathering/mothering)
- IRP could invalidate contract terms

- Under discussion
- Widely differing views
- Of concern to Board as well
- New input from Lawyers

Recommendation #5: Changing Aspects of ICANN's Mission, Commitments and Core Values - 2

Where feasible and appropriate, depending on market mechanisms to promote and sustain a competitive environment.



Depending on market mechanisms to promote and sustain a healthy competitive environment in the DNS market.

Not yet discussed

Recommendation #5: Changing Aspects of ICANN's Mission, Commitments and Core Values - 3

Preserving and enhancing the operational stability, reliability, security, and global interoperability of the Internet.



Preserve and enhance <u>the neutral and judgment free operation</u> <u>of the DNS</u>, and the operational stability, reliability, security, global interoperability, resilience, and openness of the DNS and the Internet;

The NTIA has committed to a transition ensuring "The neutral and judgment free <u>administration of the technical</u> DNS and IANA functions".

Accepted

Recommendation #5: Changing Aspects of ICANN's Mission, Commitments and Core Values - 4

Omission of Consumer Trust reference from Paragraph 3 of the Affirmation of Commitments.

Rejected: Confirmation that inclusion in AoC was in reference to new gTLDs only. Our comment was to adhere to AoC, not add new content in Bylaws.

Recommendation #6: Reaffirming ICANN's Commitment to Respect Internationally Recognized Human Rights as it Carries Out its Mission

ALAC Position: Conceptually OK (?), but one year unrealistic and unclear what the penalty would be for not meeting deadline (potential for IRP).

Under Discussion

Example: Adopt adjusted bylaw language as part of WS1 to clarify that it can only be enforced or used in an IRP once the FoI is approved (Such as: "This articles becomes effective 30 days after approval of the FoI...").

Recommendation #7: Strengthening ICANN's Independent Review Process

ALAC Position

- Concern regarding conflicting panel decisions
 - now removed

Recommendation #8: Improving ICANN's Request for Reconsideration Process

ALAC Response: OK

Recommendation #9: Incorporating the Affirmation of Commitments in ICANN's Bylaws

ALAC Response: OK

Recommendation #10: Enhancing the Accountability of Supporting Organizations and Advisory Committees

ALAC Response: OK

Request to explicitly increase GNSO allocation rejected (but it is really already addressed!)

Recommendation #11: Board Obligations with regards to Governmental Advisory Committee Advice (Stress Test 18)

ALAC Response: OK

Still under discussion

Likely Bylaw to be added requiring all Advisory Committees to provide rational for advice.

Recommendation #12: Committing to Further Accountability Work in Work Stream 2

ALAC Response: OK

Still under discussion

Potential for bifurcating

Overall Timeline

- Unclear impact on transition!!
- Hopefully be ready for ALAC consideration prior to or at Marrakech

Prognosis

- Work Proceeding well.
 - Discussions with Board at good level
- Still some stumbling blocks
- Timeframe?



(Font gives insight into frazzled mental state of CCWG Members)