Carlos Raul: Good morning. May we ask for listening and speaking rights through the PC please?

Pamela Smith: Good morning, Carlos.

Carlos Raul: It's middle of the night in PST

Eleeza Agopian: Almost daybreak, Carlos. :)

Carlos Raul: Can you please test sound?

Carlos Raul: I can hear

Carlos Raul: thank you

Carlos Raul: yes

Carlos Raul: I can't talk

Carlos Raul: so I will use the chat

Carlos Raul: I'm HERE!!!!!!!

Dejan Djukic: me too

Jordyn A. Buchanan: I've just joined.

Charla Shambley: Can x4735 please identify yourself?

Charla Shambley: Can x7218 please identify yourself?

Charla Shambley: Can x6543 please identify yourself?

Jordyn A. Buchanan: 6543 is me.

Charla Shambley: Thank you Jordyn

Carlos Raul: Did you say WEDNESDAY?

Carlos Raul: I'm ok
Carlos Raul: 13:30?

Carlos Raul::)

Jonathan Zuck (GNSO): so is that 5am for CA?

Jamie Hedlund: Yes

Jamie Hedlund: 5:30

Jonathan Zuck (GNSO): sounds good

Carlos Raul: no its 6am for California

Jonathan Zuck (GNSO): Great idea Eleeza especially with all the strong feelings on the group about data they would like to see

Jordyn A. Buchanan: I like the idea, but we may need to talk to them more in the LA meeting.

Charla Shambley: Please press *6 to mute/un-mute your line

Jonathan Zuck (GNSO): probably Jordyn

Kaili Kan: As I will not be able to attend the LA F2F meeting, please make it available remotely for me to join.

Jonathan Zuck (GNSO): point is to get into it early enough to suggest slight mods rather than after the fact

Charla Shambley: @Kaili, we will have remote participation details sent out prior to the call

Gaongalelwe: i agree that it would be great to meet them as well in LA meeting

Jonathan Zuck (GNSO): we'll be discussing that scope later Ravi

Carlos Raul: @Jordyn I support the separate briefings on the Agreements

Carlos Raul: @Zuck is not only about volume but also about prices!!!!

Carlos Raul: i´m traveling and I dont have it

Carlos Raul: can you put it on the screen?

Eleeza Agopian: Hi Carlos -- it’s a 12-page document and I think Jonathan wants to focus on the questions he raises here.

Kaili Kan: As there are only 2 people from ALAC on this team and there are others who want to join, I would suggest to allow observers from AC/SOs to join the meetings.
Carlos Raul: @Eleeza, it would be nice to follow up the comments in a single online document.

Eleeza Agopian: Hi Carlos -- do you mean the comments from the group here today?

Carlos Raul: I think findings separate from recommendations makes perfect sense.

Carlos Raul: @Eleeza I mean to be able to follow up all comments online all along the drafting process. The subgroup should work in an open manner and we should be able to follow up all along, not only every time a draft is saved.

Eleeza Agopian: I see. Thank you.

Megan Richards, European Commission: re observers I have no problem for including so long as they are identified. ie no anonymous observers.

Carlos Raul: @Megan +1

Megan Richards, European Commission: on terms of reference and definitions I would like to have some adequate time to examine in detail before committing. Sorry but have been submerged by other obligations.

Kaili Kan: As the new gTLD has amplified some problems that existed even with the legacy gTLDs, I would suggest to consider the legacy ones along with the new ones, thus come up with a complete gTLD recommendation.

Margie Milam: Definitions are on page 3.

Carlos Raul: @Stanley +1

Carlos Raul: we need a definition of MARKET.

Laureen Kapin: I agree with Megan, the definitions are key and we should all have sufficient time to carefully assess them.

Carlos Raul: “DNS Market”

Carlos Raul: including Wholesale and retail.

Jordyn A. Buchanan: Carlos--are you trying to suggest that we define the market as part of the TOR exercise? I’d imagine this is a sub-workstream within the competition examination.

Jordyn A. Buchanan: We’ll be months in defining the TOR if we have to wait to figure out the market in advance.

Dejan Djukic: I agree that registrants should be included in competition definition.
Carlos Raul: @jordyn i agree we have to take a differentiated view between registries, registrars, registrants and users

Carlos Raul: sorry for the spelling

Jordyn A. Buchanan: My audio just went whacky.

Jordyn A. Buchanan: And now is gone.

Eleeza Agopian: Sounds clear to us -- Jordyn, could you try reconnecting?

Carlos Raul: @STan +1

Kaili Kan: +1 to Stanley on legacy gTLD

Jordyn A. Buchanan: Yeah, just dialed back in.

Eleeza Agopian: @Jordyn, sound ok?

Carlos Raul: and by legacy I understand both gTLDs and ccTLDs

Jordyn A. Buchanan: @Eleeza: yes, not sure what happened.

Eleeza Agopian: Great. Thanks.

Karen Lentz: we will send around the references to the previous economic studies that Jamie mentioned

Carlos Raul: one thing is the boundary of the study, another the recommendations

Megan Richards, European Commission: is there no extant data re legacy gTLD

Carlos Raul: exactly!

Megan Richards, European Commission: and could be useful to compare legacy and new

Jordyn A. Buchanan: I think what we're looking at is the effect of new gTLDs on competition within a market which also includes both gTLDs and ccTLDs.

Megan Richards, European Commission: exactly Jordyn

Carlos Raul: open to all identified observers please

Carlos Raul: Also the sessions fo the f2f meetings

Megan Richards, European Commission: please send emails to a separate box !!

Eleeza Agopian: The email archives can also be publicly available, so even if you don't receive all messages, you can read them online.
Jordyn A. Buchanan: I think Carlos said he can only participate by chat.

Carlos Raul: like in the ACCT CCWG

Carlos Raul: members and participants

Megan Richards, European Commission: observers should identify themselves. IE not anonymous unidentified observers

Jordyn A. Buchanan: Carlos--so you're proposing that essentially anyone can participate fully in the discussion other than by voting, as long as they are identified?

Megan Richards, European Commission: for me observers listen and write comments to the dedicated email box. Otherwise becomes complicated doesn't it?

Jordyn A. Buchanan: I agree Megan, just trying to understand what Carlos is suggesting, because observers in the CCWG were able to participate fairly fully in the ongoing discussion (i.e., there wasn't a real distinction between members and observers other than when it came to voting, which was rare).

Carlos Raul: perfectly so

Carlos Raul: thank you

Carlos Raul: @Jordyn will revert on that

Jordyn A. Buchanan: Great, seems like we are in agreement.

Laureen Kapin: My call just ended. Possible to get called back?

Eleeza Agopian: Laureen -- you should be able to go to the green phone icon up topo to get a dial-in back to the mtg.

Jonathan Zuck (GNSO): perhaps a "guest speaker" rather than a delegate or substitute that gets invited?

Megan Richards, European Commission: fine to invite expert testimony :-)

Laureen Kapin: Back again - thx Eleeza.

Eleeza Agopian: Glad to hear it. :)

Eleeza Agopian: @Fabro -- can you mute your line?

Fabro Steibel: wait

Eleeza Agopian: thanks

Fabro Steibel: agreed (signed)
Margie Milam: right Brian was chair so Paul Diaz would step in to help manage the work.

Jordyn A. Buchanan: Sure, that’s fine with me.

Jordyn A. Buchanan: I think that’s useful in any case.

Carlos Raul: makes sense Zuck

Fabro Steibel: makes sense

Carlos Raul: no please

Carlos Raul: no Greg Shatan!!!

Carlos Raul: :)

Gaongalelwe: I personally do not agree with the substitutes. Bringing in outside expertise would be fine though.

Carlos Raul: What Jamie just mentioned is what I call the use of the DNS beyond intellectual property.

Megan Richards, European Commission: agree re sectoral competition but will be difficult to do on global level. Perhaps some market slices and sector comparisons useful.

Jonathan Zuck (GNSO): agree Megan

Carlos Raul: @STan congratulations

Laureen Kapin: I volunteer to be on work plan team.

Eleeza Agopian: You can email me.

Gaongalelwe: I am loving the chair-ship... good going!

Jordyn A. Buchanan: As with the TOR team, I’m happy to help with the work plan but if there’s plenty of volunteers happy to step aside as well.

Fabro Steibel: I volunteer to be on work plan team. I am still learning about what has been done, but do count me in.

Carlos Raul: It makes sense to put them in front, but I disagree that they aren’t quantitative!

Carlos Raul: $185’000 is quite quantitative to me.
Jonathan Zuck (GNSO): Carlos, you may be right but that’s only a single data point. Hard to do a quantitative analysis of that!

Jordyn A. Buchanan: Definitely agree that we should be looking at existing data sources where possible.

David Taylor 2: Happy to join that drafting sub group following on from the ToR sub group, but if we are many happy to stand aside

Carlos Raul: @Jonathan if the amount led to unnecessary build up of reserves in ICANN it may be a quite uncompetitive behaviour: raising the barriers to entry

Jonathan Zuck (GNSO): David, you’re IN!

Jonathan Zuck (GNSO): Carlos, building up reserves isn’t anti-competitive. We need to find a way to determine if the application fee was a barrier to entry and whether the JAS was insufficient to address it

Carlos Raul: @Jonathan we should come back to entry fees and use of auction proceeds at some point

Carlos Raul: and the underserved areas of the world

Jordyn A. Buchanan: In any case, the application fees (and auction proceeds) are being accounted for separately and not part of ICANN’s reserves.

Jonathan Zuck (GNSO): I think use of auction proceeds is outside of our remit but I’m open to being convinced

Jonathan Zuck (GNSO): +1 Jordyn

Jordyn A. Buchanan: +1 Jonathan: use of auction as a decontention mechanism is probably within our scope, but not what ICANN does with the money after the auction ends

Jonathan Zuck (GNSO): yep

Carlos Raul: agree

Megan Richards, European Commission: agree too

Carlos Raul: let’s call it the auction as process to assignment

Jonathan Zuck (GNSO): and remember it was only one decontention mechanism among many

Carlos Raul: let’s say that one size does not fit all (applications) for the time being

Jamie Hedlund: ICANN auction was also used relatively little to resolve contentions when looking at universe of contention sets.

Jordyn A. Buchanan: Jamie, that’s technically true, but a bit misleading as probably a majority of contention sets were resolved through some sort of auction.
Jamie Hedlund: well it's important to look at the distinctions between auction types. One is set by the AGB the other is voluntarily established among applicants.

Jamie Hedlund: ICANN urged self-resolution.

Jonathan Zuck (GNSO): agree! Tried to slow down the GNSO PDP but failed

Jonathan Zuck (GNSO): good idea

Carlos Raul: the PDPs are rather early right now

Carlos Raul: yes

Gaongalelwe: i agree with semi-synchronization

Karen Lentz: i like the idea also

Carlos Raul: can help with subsequent procedures

Carlos Raul: Txs

Kaili Kan: Thank you and goodbye!

Fabro Steibel: thanks

Megan Richards, European Commission: thanks all

Charla Shambley: Thank you for attending the CCT RT Call!

Pamela Smith: Thank you, everyone, for your participation! See you in 2 weeks.