Overall Purpose Name: Criminal Investigation or DNS Abuse Mitigation

<u>Definition:</u> The broad category of criminal investigation or DNS abuse mitigation covers all use of an RDS to support criminal and other investigations, abuse prevention, security incident response, and other activities to protect people, systems, and networks from detrimental activities. These activities range from criminal activities like extortion, phishing, and provision of child abuse materials to abusive activities including denial-of-service attacks, spam, and harassment.

Overall Purposes:

Criminal Activity/DNS Abuse - Investigation

The following information is to be made available to regulatory authorities, law enforcement, cybersecurity professionals, IT administrators, automated protection systems and other incident responders for the purpose of enabling identification of the nature of the registration and operation of a domain name linked to abuse and/or criminal activities to facilitate the eventual mitigation and resolution of the abuse identified: Domain metadata (registrar, registration date, nameservers, etc.), Registrant contact information, Registrar contact Information, DNS contact, etc..

Criminal Activity/DNS Abuse - Notification

The following information is collected and made available for the purpose of enabling notification by regulatory authorities, law enforcement, cybersecurity professionals, IT administrators, automated protection systems and other incident responders of the appropriate party (registrant, providers of associated services, registrar, etc), of abuse linked to a certain domain name registration to facilitate the mitigation and resolution of the abuse identified: Registrant contact information, Registrar contact Information, DNS contact, etc..

Criminal Activity/DNS Abuse – Reputation

The following information is to be made available to organizations running automated protection systems for the purpose of enabling the establishment of reputation for a domain name to facilitate the provision of services and acceptance of communications from the domain name examined: Domain metadata (registrar, registration date, nameservers, etc.), Registrant contact information, Registrar contact Information, DNS contact, etc..

<u>Users¹:</u> The primary actors in these scenarios include law enforcement, regulatory authorities, cybersecurity professionals, IT administrators, and automated protection systems. Additional

¹ The DT recognizes that the list of users may ultimately need to be narrowly defined to allow for authorized / authenticated access to agreed upon data elements. This applies to all instances in this document where users are mentioned.

actors may include nearly anyone attempting to either track down the source of an online abuse they have experienced or attempting to determine the authenticity of a website or email communication.

<u>Tasks</u>: Using information from the RDS, these actors will, depending upon the circumstances: contact domain owners and/or the entities that provide services for an affected domain to mitigate problems, gather evidence, or notify them of compromises; expand investigations and associations to fully understand the scope of an abuse issue; identify Internet infrastructure involved with detrimental activities, inform protection systems to take protective actions; and, if appropriate and justified, request suspension of domain names.

Data Elements used generally for criminal investigation or DNS Abuse Mitigation

Domain WHOIS record

- Registrant (Name, Address, email address). Use identification, information and intelligence gathering etc
- Creation date, renewal date, last updated date, expiry date. Use is it recently registered (maybe a DGA etc); Is it a long time registered / historic domain - if so perform a WHOIS history check on it to look at identifying the registrant...before they changed over to a privacy/proxy registrar to hide their details
- Registrar. Use further enquiries with an disclosure authority/court order.
- NS records (Nameserver used to direct the traffic of your website to a specific web server at a web host.) Use - what other domains point to this NS - this could provide you with a whole host of intelligence on other domains controlled by the same person/organisation.

Network WHOIS record

Abuse contact (for further enquiries - disclosure authorities)

CIDR space of network provider (use - if they own for example a /24 - try some passive DNS to see what other domains point to these IPv4 addresses - may give you more intelligence on malicious domains associated to a rogue server etc)

DNS records

MX record. Use - which network provider provides mail for the domain?

Bad WHOIS data of value

A false domain name, registrant, address, email

Uses - bad/false/stolen/incomplete domain whois data may give an investigation a new lead in terms of intel gathering, linked accounts showing the same false data through a registrant search of the WHOIS record for similarly registered domains.

Background: This category encompasses a broad set of use cases for querying different data elements associated with one or more domain names contained in the RDS. The data queried will depend upon the nature of the detrimental activity in question, the goals of the person or entity making the queries, and the stage of an investigation or incident at the time. For some tasks a deep set of data may be needed for a particular domain or small set of domains, while for others, a very small amount of data may be needed per domain, but for a very large number of domains. Given this wide variety of use cases, data access, and contact needs, this document will present several example use cases grouped into logical categories of purposes.

The broad categories of purposes we propose to use for logical grouping include investigation, notification, and for creation of reputation. These are quite broad and may not be sufficiently granular for use in legal language, but do provide useful groupings for the primary purposes that fit into these categories. Below are three proposed purposes to address these three broad categories.

Investigation:

The following information is to be made available to regulatory authorities, law enforcement, cybersecurity professionals, IT administrators, automated protection systems and other incident responders for the purpose of enabling identification of the nature of the registration and operation of a domain name linked to abuse and/or criminal activities to facilitate the eventual mitigation and resolution of the abuse identified: Domain metadata (registrar, registration date, nameservers, etc.), Registrant contact information, Registrar contact Information, DNS contact, etc..

Notification:

The following information is collected and made available for the purpose of enabling notification by regulatory authorities, law enforcement, cybersecurity professionals, IT administrators, automated protection systems and other incident responders of the appropriate party (registrant, providers of associated services, registrar, etc), of abuse linked to a certain domain name registration to facilitate the mitigation and resolution of the abuse identified: Registrant contact information, Registrar contact Information, DNS contact, etc..

Reputation:

The following information is to be made available to organizations running automated protection systems for the purpose of enabling the establishment of reputation for a domain name to facilitate the provision of services and acceptance of communications from the domain name examined: Domain metadata (registrar, registration date, nameservers, etc.), Registrant contact information, Registrar contact Information, DNS contact, etc..

Within these three broad purposes there are several categories of usages and actors that may require further definition and the document provides some non-comprehensive examples of these categories of uses within the various purposes. The first category distinction is between individual investigators or small teams looking into discrete incidents making ad-hoc data requests for single or small sets of domains, and automated processes that may query for information about thousands to millions of domains in a very short time period.

A second axis of differentiation of use cases differentiates between the various stages of an investigation/mitigation/protection effort. First, the use of RDS data to determine the likely involvement of a domain name as one registered and controlled exclusively to perform the detrimental activity or one that has been compromised and used against the wishes of the domain registrant. Second, a set of use cases for using RDS data to understand the scale and scope of domains and Internet infrastructure being used in conjunction with a particular attack or campaign.

A separate category of uses of RDS data within the "investigation" category of use cases encompasses use in those cases where the domain name itself isn't necessarily the focus of the investigation or abuse concern. Domain names can be tangentially involved in other cases ranging from online abuses to real-world crimes. Access to information in the RDS may further such investigations when it is determined for example that a potential miscreant may have registered domain names for his or her personal use or a domain name may have been associated with evidentiary e-mails. In such cases, understanding who may have registered or been involved with supporting a domain may lead to further evidence leads.

Note:

This table is largely based on current practices and currently available data unless otherwise noted.

One capability discussed in this document that exists outside of the current whois system (with some exceptions) is the concept of "reverse whois". Such services exist and provide high value information to inform many use cases/purposes in this category. Some form of reverse whois has been proposed for a future RDS and/or the accommodation of such services within the RDS framework. This work explains how those services are used and useful today without commenting upon their appropriateness now or in the future.

Using new data elements like "social media contact" or other proposed future RDS capabilities is not explored here. Where such data elements were to be collected in the future, these use cases would need to be updated to reflect their applicable use. For example, a preferred contact method that is a unique identifier is a good candidate for pivoting on investigations to expand their scope, and of course, if a registrar prefers to receive an SMS message to report abuse, processes that involve registrar contacts would incorporate that data element.

Table of purposes and associated use cases

Section 1: Investigations

Subsection 1A: Determination of domain status (malicious/compromised)

1A-1 Purpose Name: Manually determine if the domain of a website used for an attack is compromised or registered maliciously

USE CASE VERSION: Access information held on a domain name to enable security professionals and law enforcement to determine if the domain of a website used for an attack is compromised or registered maliciously.

Definition: Determine if domain of website used for an attack (e.g. phishing, exploit, scam, etc.) is compromised, being abused, or registered maliciously. Websites used for online abuse fall into one of three categories: compromised - hacked or exploited where unauthorized content is added to the site, abused - a hosting service is misused by a bad actor, or registered maliciously by the miscreant directly. Determining this status is critical for informing the next steps of an investigation or mitigation.

Tasks:

- 1) Obtain a potentially abusive domain name from a report of some sort typically an abuse report.
- 2) Verify abusive activity is occurring
- 3) Query RDS data for information about the domain including age, registrar, registrant/admin/tech/abuse contacts
- 4) Use known techniques and infrastructure of both "good" and "bad" actors to determine likelihood of a malicious registration. Prime factors include age of domain, nameservers of domain, registrar of domain, reseller of domain, privacy service employed (particularly for phishing), known registrant (good/bad), other known contacts. Note that for a malicious domain, the data for registrant will be false, but if it matches other known "bogus" data, this is a positive attribution factor. One data element that will be constant between malicious registrations is the registrant e-mail address which provides control over a domain in many circumstances. A domain "handle" is also useful for such matches.

Users: Security researcher, LE researcher, automated tools used by researcher **Data**: Creation date, nameservers, registrar, reseller, full available contact information for registrant and any other contacts (e-mail and contact handle most useful) *Subsection 1B: Contacting appropriate parties/taking action*

1A-2 Purpose Name: Automatically determine if a domain used for an attack is registered maliciously

NEW VERSION: Access information held on a domain name to enable automated security systems to determine if the domain of a website used for an attack is registered maliciously.

Definition: Determine if domain used for an attack (e.g. phishing, exploit, spam, scam, etc.) is compromised, being abused, or registered maliciously. Domains used for online abuse fall into one of three categories: compromised - hacked or exploited where unauthorized content is added to the site, abused - some hosting service is misused by bad actor, or registered maliciously by the miscreant directly. Determining this status is critical for informing the next steps in preventing a risky connection.

Notes: These activities are not well served by the current asynchronous and slow response that the current whois system provides. Thus while some networks incorporate such queries, most use pre-positioned reputation data aggregated by third-party specialists to make the described decisions.

Tasks:

- 1) Obtain a potentially abusive domain name from a live stream of data e.g. e-mail server connection requests, outbound network requests at the DNS resolver, pre-fetching activities of browsers on a corporate network or requests to a WAF (Web Application Firewall).
- 2) Query RDS data for information about the domain including age, nameservers, and registrar. Other data such as contact data would be desirable, but response time is usually too slow to reliably use.
- 4) Use known techniques and infrastructure of both "good" and "bad" actors to determine likelihood of a malicious registration. Prime factors include age of domain, nameservers of domain, and registrar of domain.

Users: Automated security processes/systems including but not limited to e-mail servers, firewalls, DNS resolvers, and WAF's.

Data: Creation date, nameservers, registrar

Subsection 1B: Investigate domain ownership or operations for domain tied to real-world criminal/abuse activities

<u>1B-1 Purpose Name</u>: Determining domain ownership or involvement with operating a domain name tied to real-world criminal/abuse activities

NEW VERSION: Access information held on a domain name to enable security professionals and law enforcement to determine domain ownership or involvement with operating a domain name tied to real-world criminal/abuse activities.

Definition: Domain names can be tangentially involved in other cases ranging from online abuses to real-world crimes. Access to information in the RDS may further such investigations by providing ownership or operational connections to a domain name that has come up as evidence or a potential lead in a case focused on behavior not primarily tied to that domain. For example, e-mails may indicate that a miscreant used a domain name to commit fraud or some other act, or an e-mail address tied to a threat like a botnet was used to register one or more domain names.

- 1) Determine that a domain name is potentially indirectly involved with in a crime or incident via an investigation.
- 2) Access RDS data to obtain full registrant and potentially admin contact data.
- 3) Evaluate the information returned to determine if actual contact data is included, or if it is bogus or privacy protected to determine if the domain registration is providing actual data.
- 4) Use real data to significantly supplement tangential investigation. Add bogus or suspect data to investigatory file.
- 5) If applicable, pivot off data found in this use case to expand to other potentially related domains.

Users: Law enforcement personnel, security researchers, CERT teams, first responders **Data**: Full available contact information for registrant and any other contacts (e-mail, phone number, and contact handle most useful), nameservers, registrar, reseller, creation date *Subsection 1C: Scoping infrastructure involved in issue*

<u>1C-1 Purpose Name</u>: Expand knowledge from one known malicious domain to other domains potentially part of the same issue

NEW VERSION: Access information held on a domain name to enable security professionals and law enforcement to expand knowledge from one known malicious domain to other domains potentially part of the same issue.

Definition: Investigate key attributes of a known malicious domain to find others that may be part of the same or related incidents. Since criminals/abusers often re-use common elements for registering malicious domains, once a domain has been identified as being malicious, researchers can take key unique elements from that domain and search for other domains sharing those elements. Such unique elements often include unique nameservers, unique contact data – particularly registrant and/or admin contact e-mail or to a lesser extent, phone number. This purpose requires the existence of some sort of "reverse whois" capability where an RDS, cached database, or third party collection of RDS data can be queried on an attribute and return a list of all domains sharing that attribute. Such domains tend to cluster on less unique elements such as creation date, registrar, and reseller, but using these data elements requires other meta data for correlation. Lists of suspect domains may then be probed to see if they exhibit the same illegal/abusive behavior.

Tasks:

- 1) Obtain a positively identified malicious domain from prior investigation, trusted data feed, or other high-confidence source.
- 2) Query RDS for key attributes that allow for "pivoting" to other potentially related domains. Such information will include nameservers, full contact data for registrant, admin, and in some cases tech contacts (particularly unique elements like contact handle, e-mail address and phone number), and other more loosely associable elements like registrar, reseller, and creation date.
- 3) Determine veracity of supplied information as an informative element. Accurate data is not necessary in this step since repeated bogus data is a strong indicator of associated abuse.
- 4) Build list of domains based on reverse whois lookups on unique elements.
- 5) Examine list of domains for the same abusive behavior or indicators that they may have been or will be used in a similar matter
- 6) Use the gathered data to again pivot on unique elements found within the newly discovered domains.
- 7) Use an investigatory tool like a relationship visualization system to "cluster" domains that have paths of relationships to look for patterns, key elements, and potential clues as to how the miscreant may create new domains in the future.
- 8) Use this information to inform other processes like mitigation or criminal investigations. **Users**: Law enforcement personnel, security researchers, CERT teams, first responders

Data: Full available contact information for registrant and any other contacts (e-mail, phone number, and contact handle most useful), nameservers, registrar, reseller, creation date

<u>1C-2 Purpose Name</u>: Examine all domains sharing one or more key elements tied to abuse to determine if a larger issue exists

NEW VERSION: Access information held on a domain name to enable security professionals and law enforcement to examine all domains sharing one or more key elements tied to abuse to determine if a larger issue exists.

Definition: Individual data elements that appear in RDS data are often identified in investigations as being associated with abuse. For example, these could include a phone number used by a serial scammer, an e-mail address used in prior security incidents or malicious registrations, a PO box of a known criminal operation. Using such elements and reverse whois queries, an investigator can find domain names likely to be associated with malicious activities and examine them for abusive behavior and/or monitor them for future activities.

- 1) Obtain a positively identified malicious or suspicious data point that represents a unique attribute for a domain registration from an investigation, high-confidence data feed, or direct observation.
- 2) Access RDS or other system to build list of domains based on reverse whois lookups on unique elements.
- 3) Examine list of domains for the same abusive behavior or indicators that they may have been or will be used in a similar matter
- 4) Use the gathered data to again pivot on unique elements found within the newly discovered domains.
- 5) Use an investigatory tool like a relationship visualization system to "cluster" domains that have paths of relationships to look for patterns, key elements, and potential clues as to how the miscreant may create new domains in the future.

Use this information to inform other processes like mitigation or criminal investigations. Users: Law enforcement personnel, security researchers, CERT teams, first responders

Data: Full available contact information for registrant and any other contacts (e-mail, phone number, and contact handle most useful), nameservers, registrar, reseller, creation date

<u>1C-3 Purpose Name</u>: Find potentially compromised domains related to an existing hijacking or domain shadowing incident

NEW VERSION: Access information held on a domain name to enable security professionals and law enforcement to find potentially compromised domains related to an existing hijacking or domain shadowing incident.

Definition: When miscreants take over domain names in hijacking or domain shadowing attacks, they often will take over entire groups of domain names due to vulnerabilities in registrar systems, systemic use of weak or compromised passwords, or getting ahold of a

domain portfolio. When one such domain is identified, understanding the scale of the compromise and potential compromise is important to determine as soon as possible to mitigate a larger issue. Miscreants will often change elements of domains involved in such attacks to common infrastructure such as nameservers or update admin or registrant contacts to include new information to allow the miscreant control of the affected domains. Understanding the new and original information for such domains allows investigators and first responders the opportunity to mitigate all domains and not just the reported one(s). This purpose also is greatly enhanced with the existence of "who was" type services that provide historical information on how a domain name was previously listed in an RDS. Such systems are currently run by third parties, and they have been proposed for a future RDS or to be allowed under the framework of a future RDS but those ideas have yet to be explored by the working group.

Tasks:

- 1) Identify a hijacked or shadowed domain name.
- 2) Access the RDS to determine current attributes for the affected domain including nameservers, admin and registrant contact details, registrar, registrar abuse contact, and modification date. Admin contact information is vital in case of a hijacking since transfers are usually controlled via the admin e-mail address.
- 3) Access historical records for the affected domain to obtain the same information. Particularly important is prior registrar in case of a hijacking that involved a domain name transfer.
- 4) Build list of domains based on reverse whois lookups on key unique elements that have been modified for the domain.
- 5) Examine list of domains for the same abusive behavior or indicators that they may have been or will be affected in a similar matter
- 6) Enter notification/mitigation phase with the affected registrar(s) and legitimate registrant.

Users: Security researchers, CERT teams, first responders, registrar abuse teams **Data**: nameservers, full admin and registrant contact details, registrar, registrar abuse contact, and modification date.

Subsection 1D: Automatically scoping infrastructure involved in issue

<u>1D-1 Purpose Name</u>: Automatically expand knowledge from one or more known malicious domains to other domains potentially part of the same issue

NEW VERSION: Access information held on a domain name to enable automated security systems to expand knowledge from one known malicious domain to other domains potentially part of the same issue.

Definition: Automatically investigate key attributes of a known malicious domain to find others that may be part of the same or related incidents. This is the same purpose as 1C-1 except at scale, so the data elements involved will typically be more narrowly constrained (typically

nameservers and key e-mail addresses) to allow for fully automated processing. Since criminals/abusers often re-use common elements for registering malicious domains, once a domain has been identified as being malicious, researchers can configure automated processes to take key unique elements from that domain and search for other domains sharing those elements. Such unique elements often include unique nameservers, unique contact data – particularly registrant and/or admin contact e-mail or to a lesser extent, phone number. This purpose requires the existence of some sort of "reverse whois" capability where an RDS, cached database, or third party collection of RDS data can be queried on an attribute and return a list of all domains sharing that attribute. Such domains tend to cluster on less unique elements such as creation date, registrar, and reseller, but using these data elements requires other meta data for correlation. Lists of suspect domains may then be automatically probed to see if they exhibit the same illegal/abusive behavior.

Tasks:

- 1) Obtain a positively identified malicious domain from prior investigation, trusted data feed, or other high-confidence source.
- 2) Query RDS for key attributes that allow for "pivoting" to other potentially related domains. Such information will include nameservers, full contact data for registrant, admin, and in some cases tech contacts (particularly unique elements like contact handle, e-mail address and phone number), and other more loosely associable elements like registrar, reseller, and creation date.
- 3) Determine veracity of supplied information as an informative element. Accurate data is not necessary in this step since repeated bogus data is a strong indicator of associated abuse.
- 4) Build list of domains based on reverse whois lookups on unique elements.
- 5) Examine list of domains for the same abusive behavior or indicators that they may have been or will be used in a similar matter
- 6) Use the gathered data to again pivot on unique elements found within the newly discovered domains.
- 7) Use an investigatory tool like a relationship visualization system to "cluster" domains that have paths of relationships to look for patterns, key elements, and potential clues as to how the miscreant may create new domains in the future.
- 8) Use this information to inform other processes like mitigation or criminal investigations.

Users: Automated processes configured by security researchers and incident response teams.

Data: Full available contact information for registrant and any other contacts (e-mail, phone number, and contact handle most useful), nameservers, registrar, reseller, creation date

Section 2: Notifications

Subsection 2A: Notifications in cases where domain has been compromised

<u>2A-1 Purpose Name</u>: Notify parties responsible for a domain name that has had its website compromised

NEW VERSION: Access information held on a domain name to enable security professionals and law enforcement to notify parties responsible for a domain name that has had its website compromised.

Information collected to enable contact between the registrant and <who> <to accomplish what>

Definition: Internet security personnel, law enforcement and other investigators working on criminal or abuse issues need to inform those parties responsible for a domain name of malicious activities and potential exposure of PII or other information related to a compromised website. These notices will lead to mitigation of the compromise and gathering of evidence related to the malicious activities related to the compromised website.

Tasks:

- 1) Query RDS for relevant information about contacts for the domain name that has had its website compromised. These contacts would typically include the technical contact (often the web host), registrant (owner), and admin contact (up-to-date responsible party) for the domain.
- 2) Evaluate the information returned to determine if actual contact data is included, or if it is bogus or privacy protected to prioritize contacts towards actual people or well-defined roles.
- 3) Attempt to contact responsible parties in real time.
- 4) Obtain information from contactable contacts to reach actors who can mitigate issues and/or provide evidence/information
- 5) Work with actors who can take action to mitigate issues and deliver information/evidence. **Users**: Law enforcement personnel, security researchers, CERT teams, first responders **Data**: Contact information for technical, registrant, and admin contacts including name, phone number, and e-mail address to facilitate notifications and communications.

<u>2A-2 Purpose Name</u>: Notify parties responsible for a domain name that has had its domain management account compromised

NEW VERSION: Access information held on a domain name to enable security professionals and law enforcement to notify parties responsible for a domain name that has had its domain management account compromised.

Definition: Internet security personnel, law enforcement and other investigators working on criminal or abuse issues need to inform those parties responsible for a domain name of malicious activities and potential exposure of PII or other information related to a take-over of a domain name management account. These notices will lead to mitigation of the account compromise and gathering of evidence related to the malicious activities related to the compromised domain. In these circumstances, currently listed contact records may be false due to miscreant capability to modify these entries.

Tasks:

1) Query RDS for information about contacts for the domain name that has been taken over via the domain management account. These contacts would typically include the technical

contact (often the web host), registrant (owner), and admin contact (up-to-date responsible party) for the domain. However, these may not be reliable since the miscreant may have changed them. Registrar abuse contact becomes primary contact to use if this is likely.

- 2) Evaluate the information returned to determine if actual contact data is included, or if it is bogus or privacy protected to prioritize contacts towards actual people or well-defined roles.
- 3) Determine if contact information is still reliable. Historical or certified contact information of some sort would be useful in this scenario, if it existed.
- 4) Attempt to contact responsible parties in real time.
- a. At a minimum, make sure registrar is aware of the compromised account and takes action to ensure miscreant cannot re-compromise.
- 5) Obtain information from contactable contacts to reach actors who can mitigate issues and/or provide evidence/information
- 6) Work with actors who can take action to mitigate issues and deliver information/evidence. **Users**: Law enforcement personnel, security researchers, CERT teams, first responders **Data**: Registrar abuse contact primary contact point. Others, if not changed as part of incident, include contact information for technical, registrant, and admin contacts including name, phone number, and e-mail address to facilitate notifications and communications.

Subsection 2B: Notifications in cases where domain has been registered maliciously

<u>2B-1 Purpose Name</u>: Notify registrar and/or reseller of malicious domain name registration for mitigation and/or evidence gathering

NEW VERSION: Access information held on a domain name to enable security professionals and law enforcement to notify registrar and/or reseller of malicious domain name registration for mitigation and/or evidence gathering.

Definition: After an investigator has positively identified a malicious domain registration, they may take further action depending upon their goals. In most cases the goal will be to get the domain suspended or removed from the DNS and prevented from being re-activated by the miscreant. In some cases, the investigator will be looking to obtain information from the registrar, or reseller if applicable, about how the domain was registered including items like payment details, IP address of any online order, or data behind a proxy registration.

Tasks:

- 1) Determine that a domain name is malicious via an investigation.
- 2) Access RDS data to obtain official abuse contact information for a registrar or information on involved reseller. Access other resources like a registrar website to get e-mail/phone for abuse desk, customer support or other relevant departments.
- 3) Evaluate the information returned to determine if actual contact data is included, or if it is bogus or privacy protected to prioritize contacts towards actual people or well-defined roles.
- 4) Establish communication with registrar and/or reseller if applicable.
- 5) Request actions including suspension, deletion or transfer of malicious domain name, and/or further information about the actor who registered the malicious domain. In particular,

evidence/information sought out will be about how the domain was registered including items like payment details, IP address of any online order, or data behind a proxy registration.

- 6) Registrar or reseller takes some sort of action to the request.
- 7) Escalate to registry if registrar unresponsive or refuses to take action.

Users: Law enforcement personnel, security researchers, CERT teams, first responders **Data**: Registrar abuse contact primary contact point. If not available, whatever contact information is available for the registrar. If reseller involved, contact information for the reseller. E-mail address and/or phone number for these contacts is necessary. If escalation to registry is required, abuse contact information for the registry.

Subsection 2C: Automated notifications of abuse

<u>2C-1 Purpose Name</u>: Automatically notify affected parties of abuse issues

NEW VERSION: Access information held on a domain name to enable automated security systems to automatically notify relevant parties associated with affected domain names about abuse issues.

Definition: Some actors who process large volumes of abuse (e.g. spam, botnets) provide automated reporting to affected entities. One of those processes is providing automated reports to registrars and registries of maliciously registered domain names. Their goal is usually to get the domains they have identified suspended or removed from the DNS and prevented from being re-activated by the miscreants who registered them.

Tasks:

- 1) Determine that a domain name is malicious via a standardized investigatory process and automation..
- 2) Access RDS data to obtain official abuse contact information for a registrar or information on involved reseller. Access other resources like a registrar website or abuse reporting API.
- 3) Access RDS to obtain relevant information for domains being reported to include with report so registrar/registry/reseller can locate other domains with the same attributes and potentially take action.
- 4) Use e-mail, abuse reporting API or whatever listed contact information is available to establish communication with registrar and/or reseller if applicable.
- 5) Report relevant RDS information that may indicate miscreant activity and request actions including suspension, deletion or transfer of malicious domain name.
- 6) Registrar or reseller takes some sort of action on the request.
- 7) Escalate to registry if registrar unresponsive or refuses to take action.

Users: Security researchers, CERT teams, first responders

Data: Registrar abuse contact primary contact point. If not available, whatever contact information is available for the registrar like an abuse reporting form or API. If reseller involved, contact information for the reseller. E-mail address and/or phone number for these contacts is necessary. If escalation to registry is required, similar abuse contact information for the registry. Reported information will typically include registrant name, e-mail, admin e-mail, phone numbers for registrant and admin contacts, and nameservers.

Section 3: Determine Reputation

3A-1 Purpose Name: Automatically create reputation score for domain names

NEW VERSION: Access information held on a domain name to enable automated security systems to automatically create reputation score for domain names.

Definition: Calculate a reputation score for a domain name that represents a scalar value or set of values for the relative risk for engaging in different communications for a domain. Publish these scores for subscribers who will make decisions on operations like e-mail delivery, network connections, web browsing requests, and other data exchanges. This includes the use of fixed algorithms on subscriber networks as well as updating scoring metrics by the reputation provider. Scoring processes usually take into account abuse reports and white lists to better classify domains or domain elements like nameservers or registrar.

Tasks:

- 1) Deploy a domain reputation scoring algorithm based on prior work and investigations into various forms of malicious and benign domain names. Modern systems use machine-learning for a majority of these tasks.
- 2) Receive a new domain name to score or a previously seen one to update from one of many processes. Inputs in this step include parsing new domains out of daily zone files, observations in passive DNS sensor networks, subscriber requests based on observed connection attempts.
- 3) Access RDS to obtain key elements required by the scoring algorithm. Data needed will typically be those attributes that tend to cluster for abusive domain names including nameservers, registrar, creation date, registrant contact info (particularly e-mail, phone, and name), other contact information.
- 4) Obtain other meta data from other sources to improve scoring including abuse reports, other reputation lists, lists of known DGA (domain generation algorithm) domains, "allow" lists, and known benign infrastructure.
- 5) Score domain name and publish score in file, feed, or as a query response.
- 6) Update algorithm, algorithm parameters, and/or domain element knowledgebase using new information obtained in processing recent domain scores.

Users: Automated processes and researchers working for organizations that provide reputation scores for domain names

Data: Creation date, expiration date, nameservers, registrar, contact information for technical, registrant, and admin contacts including name, phone number, and e-mail address

RDS Purpose: Criminal Activity or DNS Abuse Mitigation DT7 Answers to Questions – First Draft for DT Review

Criminal Activity/ DNS Abuse Mitigation

Definition: The broad category of criminal activity or DNS abuse mitigation covers all use of an RDS to support criminal and other investigations, abuse prevention, security incident response, and other activities to protect people, systems, and networks from detrimental activities. These activities range from criminal activities like extortion, phishing, and provision of child abuse materials to abusive activities including denial-of-service attacks, spam, and harassment.

Criminal Activity/DNS Abuse Mitigation – Investigation From https://community.icann.org/download/attachments/74580010/DraftingTeam7-CrimInvAbuseMit10%20Nov%202017%20clean.pdf?version=1&modificationDate=151044260 2000&api=v2

Purpose Summary: The following information is to be made available to regulatory authorities, law enforcement, cybersecurity professionals, IT administrators, automated protection systems and other incident responders for the purpose of enabling identification of the nature of the registration and operation of a domain name linked to abuse and/or criminal activities to facilitate the eventual mitigation and resolution of the abuse identified: Domain metadata (registrar, registration date, nameservers, etc.), Registrant contact information, Registrar contact Information, DNS contact, etc..

1. Who associated with the domain name registration needs to be identified and/or contacted for investigation of Criminal Activity/DNS Abuse?

During investigation of Criminal Activity/DNS Abuse, users of registration data, such as regulatory authorities, law enforcement, cybersecurity professionals, IT administrators, automated protection systems and other incident responders, may wish to identify the entity or individual who is in control of the domain name registration or who can provide information that would lead to the identification of the entity or individual who is controlling the domain name registration. Generally, this use case isn't for contact but is focused instead on identification. Accurate RDS data is important and can be critical in determining if the registrant is a victim of abuse or the abuser. While accurate data is preferred even bad data can be useful in identifying trends, showing patterns or association with known bad actors.

2. What is the objective achieved by identifying and/or contacting each of those entities?

Identification of the entity responsible for criminal activity could lead to prosecution. The RDS data may be used in conjunction with other data points to build a case. As previously noted even bad data can be useful and may help demonstrate patterns or trends of abuse. The objectives are: 1) Prevention of criminal activity and DNS abuse 2) Mitigation of impacts from criminal activity and DNS abuse 3) When it does occur providing data points to help build a case for prosecution of those responsible for the criminal activity RDS Purpose:

Criminal Activity or DNS Abuse Mitigation DT7 Answers to Questions – First Draft for DT Review This use case generally uses the RDS data for identification but not for contact. In cases where a reseller or privacy/proxy service is used however, then contact with the objective of identifying domain owner (for purposes specified above) applies.

3. What might be expected of that entity with regard to the domain name?

If the entity or individual who is in control of the domain name registration cannot be identified, the party with access to that information (e.g. the privacy/proxy service or registrar) is expected to provide information concerning the entity or individual who is in control of the domain name registration so that the investigation can establish what role the entity or individual played in the DNS abuse and further abuse can be mitigated. If the entity can be identified, it is expected that the entity will either want to be notified of and mitigate any associated crime/abuse, or the entity is the abuser and subject to further investigation.

RDS Purpose: Criminal Activity or DNS Abuse Notification

DT7 Answers to Questions – First Draft for DT Review Criminal Activity/DNS Abuse Mitigation – Notification From

https://community.icann.org/download/attachments/74580010/DraftingTeam7-CrimInvAbuseMit10%20Nov%202017%20clean.pdf?version=1&modificationDate=1510442602000&api=v2

Purpose Summary: The following information is collected and made available for the purpose of enabling notification by regulatory authorities, law enforcement, cybersecurity professionals, IT administrators, automated protection systems and other incident responders of the appropriate party (registrant, providers of associated services, registrar, etc), of abuse linked to a certain domain name registration to facilitate the mitigation and resolution of the abuse identified: Registrant contact information, Registrar contact Information, DNS contact, etc..

1. Who associated with the domain name registration needs to be identified and/or contacted for Notification of Criminal Activity/DNS Abuse?

During Notification of Criminal Activity/DNS Abuse, users of registration data, such as regulatory authorities, law enforcement, cybersecurity professionals, IT administrators, automated protection systems and other incident responders, may need to contact the entity or individual who is in control of the domain name registration or who can provide information that would lead to notification of the entity or individual who is controlling the domain name registration. This entity could be the domain name registration holder (the Registrant), the privacy/proxy service and/or the registrar. This is often an entity being harmed by Criminal Activity or DNS Abuse associated with a domain name – for example, when a domain name has been hijacked or compromised. The who may be another entity associated with the domain name registration (e.g., registrar, proxy) that can help notify the harmed entity. The who in this use case is often the victim of criminal activity or DNS abuse and needs to be someone authoritative for the domain who if necessary can take corrective action to mitigate or stop the abusive activity.

2. What is the objective achieved by identifying and/or contacting each of those entities?

In some cases, the victim may not be aware of any issues, so the primary objective is notification of the problem. The secondary objective is that by notifying the appropriate party of an issue it can be corrected or otherwise mitigated. Enabling notification of the appropriate party (registrant, providers of associated services, registrar, etc), of crime or DNS abuse linked to a certain domain name registration is intended to facilitate the mitigation and resolution of the crime/abuse identified. Mitigation of criminal activity or DNS abuse associated with domain names is essential to promote the security and stability of the Internet, and thus of potential benefit to both victims of crime/abuse and indirectly to all Internet users.

3. What might be expected of that entity with regard to the domain name?

Following notification, the entity in control of the domain name registration is expected to mitigate and resolve the abuse identified. In some instances, action might be expected of an entity other than the owner of the domain name registration. For example, when notified of certain types of abuse, a registrar might be expected to take down a domain name registration or otherwise prevent it from resolving.

RDS Purpose: Criminal Activity or DNS Abuse Mitigation DT7 Answers to Questions – First Draft for DT Review

Criminal Activity/DNS Abuse – Reputation From

https://community.icann.org/download/attachments/74580010/DraftingTeam7-CrimInvAbuseMit10%20Nov%202017%20clean.pdf?version=1&modificationDate=1510442602000&api=v2

Purpose Summary: The following information is to be made available to organizations running automated protection systems for the purpose of enabling the establishment of reputation for a domain name to facilitate the provision of services and acceptance of communications from the domain name examined: Domain metadata (registrar, registration date, nameservers, etc.), Registrant contact information, Registrar contact Information, DNS contact, etc..

1. Who associated with the domain name registration needs to be identified and/or contacted for Reputation Analysis associated with Criminal Activity/DNS Abuse Mitigation?

During reputation analysis to mitigate Criminal Activity/DNS Abuse, various data points are used to determine a reputation score. Who is but one of the elements that may be used by the scoring algorithm. Data needed will typically be those attributes that tend to cluster for abusive domain names including nameservers, registrar, creation date, registrant contact info (particularly e-mail, phone, and name), other contact information.

2. What is the objective achieved by identifying and/or contacting each of those entities?

Enabling the establishment of reputation for a domain name to facilitate the provision of services and acceptance of communications from the domain name examined. A company might make use of a reputation service to determine whether to allow traffic to a site. The objective here would be to protect users of the reputation service from Criminal Activity / DNS Abuse.

3. What might be expected of that entity with regard to the domain name?

No contact would be expected for this use case; however, a domain name owner might be expected to provide accurate and up to date information if he/she is motivated to obtain a higher reputation score.

ICANN61 F2F 14 March 2018 WG Notes

DT7 <u>Criminal Investigation/DNS Abuse Mitigation</u> Investigation, Notification, and Reputation

- Answers introduced by Marc, noting limited participation of DT7 members in drafting answers
- Overall there are two paths: investigate a possible criminal or contact a possible victim
- During investigation, the entity to be identified is whomever is controlling the DN that may not be the rightful owner of the DN
- During investigation, may also be appropriate to contact the registrar, reseller, or privacy/proxy
 provider to identify the possible criminal engaged in the activity or abuse
- During notification, the primary objective is to inform the possible victim; the secondary objective is enabling mitigation of the activity/abuse
- Page 1 Question 2 of DT7 answers: Objective should include reputation?
- How is Question 3 helpful for this purpose? May describe any obligation on response (or lack thereof). May also describe possible benefits to data subjects.
- Were these definitions informed by jurisdiction and limitations imposed by laws in certain jurisdictions? No – application of purposes would depend on jurisdiction and policy, which the drafting team considered outside its remit when simply describing the purpose
- Criminal activities should also include hate crimes, infringement of civil liberties, etc. these should be noted to ensure consideration during deliberation of this purpose
- What constitutes criminal activity varies from one jurisdiction to another
- For example, blasphemy vs. freedom of speech
- What kinds of activities should be pursued through this purpose vs. who should have access to data for this purpose vs. consent given to collect data for this purpose
- This purpose should focus on providing a mechanism to be used in jurisdictions, for activities, where it is appropriate
- What do we do when law enforcement is "bad" and criminal activity is "good"?
- Being able to notify a registrant that their DN has been compromised is clearly useful
- Being able to use reputation scores to deter abuse and crime is good
- Where we disagree is in use of registration data to investigate criminal activity
- Legal processes for accessing data for this purpose will be determined by laws, not policy
- For clarity, this purpose should be titled "Criminal Activity Mitigation and DNS Abuse Mitigation" (or Investigation of Criminal Activity and DNS Abuse, Notification of..., etc.)