

ICANN

Moderator: Maryam Bakoshi
July 10, 2017
10:00 am CT

Coordinator: Recording has started.

Maryam Bakoshi: Thank you very much, Eunice. Good morning, good afternoon, good evening. This is the NPOC ExComm call on Monday the 10th of July 2017. On the call today we have Joan Kerr, Juan Manuel Rojas, Poncelet Ileyeji, Olevie Kouami, and from staff we have myself, Maryam Bakoshi.

I'd like to remind all participants to please state your name before speaking for transcription purposes. Thank you very much and over to you, Joan.

Joan Kerr: Great. Thank you, Maryam. I hope everyone can hear me. Good morning, good afternoon, good evening. I've finally gotten it and welcome to our first 2017, 2018 NPOC call. Yay! We won guys. So we do have a busy agenda. We don't have Raoul or (unintelligible), but we'll catch up with them later. But hopefully this is not going to be the state of affairs in the future.

So again welcome and congratulations to everyone. So let's start right away with our agenda so that we can get through it today. Maryam, can you put up the agenda? Great. Thank you so much. All right. So our first - well, we all

know each other. So in terms of our positions and stuff, we've been elected to them and the only one that I think that we have to spend some time defining is maybe additive positions.

So we'll talk about that and what his - will be from the secretary because Maryam of course performs a lot of those duties. So we'll have to work at that perhaps later. So let's get to the things that we need to make decisions about. The travel spot is something - slots that have to actually respond to NCSG by the 20th of the - so the 18th we should have a decision.

So as it stands right now NCSG, there's - Poncelet and I represented NPOC on the last EC and what we stated was we'd have a rotational process, him and I. I ended up getting them a few times. That's because he didn't attend, but obviously we need to have - before we do the travel stuff, we need to know who is going to be on the NCSG. So we'll talk about that later. And actually that's probably the first thing that we should address.

So right now in terms of travel slots anyway, NCSG in terms with NPOC, we've got one on rotational basis for NCSG and we've got three for NPOC as a whole. So let's go to the number three and start to talk about how - the representation and then we can come back to how we address the travel slots. Does that make sense? Anybody got any thoughts?

And I didn't ask if - I assume because no one said anything about the agenda, it was fine. And was there any more business that anybody wants to address? Just let us know what that is. So we're on number three anyway. So I'm going to put it out there.

We're all rotation on the committee, because I really do believe NPOC ECs should look at the executive jobs that we have to do and any representation we

should actually throw it out to the community first before we commit ourselves to being on committees, although we have to do that, but it's - let's start to open it up and be more inviting to rotational to our members. Is everybody okay with that?

So we need to have two representatives on the NSCG EC and on the policy committee, two reps and any others. They're not required, but I just thought I would put it there. Right now for the EC, I think we should send out an invitation to get to that.

For the policy committee, Poncelet, you're representing us on the policy committee anyway because it is an EC position. So we need to send it out to one - to the membership and ask for another rep. Does that make sense, everyone? Is that Poncelet's hand up? So Poncelet, go ahead.

Poncelet Ileleji: Hello. Poncelet speaking for the record. Joan, can you hear me? Yes. Poncelet for the record. I just want to say, since we were in the EC for one data stop already, I think that the - you can hear me, Joan? Hello?

Maryam Bakoshi: Poncelet, sorry. You're not (unintelligible).

Poncelet Ileleji: Hello?

Maryam Bakoshi: This is Maryam speaking. Poncelet, can you hear me?

Poncelet Ileleji: Joan? Okay. Can I repeat myself?

Maryam Bakoshi: Yes. Please repeat yourself.

Poncelet Ileleji: Can I repeat myself?

Maryam Bakoshi: Yes. Go ahead.

Joan Kerr: Yes, please. I can hear you now. Can you hear me, Poncelet? Yes, I can hear. Okay. I can hear.

Juan Manuel Rojas: Joan, can you hear me?

Joan Kerr: I can hear you, yes.

Juan Manuel Rojas: Okay, Joan. Good morning everyone. Good evening. Good afternoon for everyone. This is Juan Manuel. I am a little confused, but we are talking about point number three of the agenda, right?

Joan Kerr: Yes. Yes we are.

Poncelet Ileleji: (Unintelligible).

Joan Kerr: Okay. Poncelet, can you hear me? Poncelet.

Maryam Bakoshi: Hey Joan, I think it's hard to speak now. I had to mute your line because it was causing a bit of interference. So if you ...

Joan Kerr: Oh, okay. Can you hear me?

Maryam Bakoshi: Please mute your line. Thank you.

Joan Kerr: Can hear me okay now?

Maryam Bakoshi: Yes, we can.

Joan Kerr: Okay. Can you hear me now? Okay, great. Please Poncelet and I'll mute while you're speaking. Poncelet, you're on. Poncelet? Okay. Can you dial out? Juan, you were saying something? You were asking about routine? Go ahead while we wait for Poncelet.

Poncelet Ileleji: Can you hear me, Joan? Poncelet speaking. Can you hear me?

Joan Kerr: I can hear you now. Go ahead. Poncelet, please go ahead.

Poncelet Ileleji: Okay. What I said was that in regards to the NCSG - okay, I'm speaking. Poncelet speaking. In regards to the NCSG slots, I think we have done one year. I think we should still do another year because a lot of changes are coming up and elections and such like and we are already in the mix of things.

So it is better we do one more year so that we finish what we have started probably before we want to be replaced, we are replaced. That's why I'm speaking for myself and Joan. I think if not - if we break and change our EC reps now, it will create a situation that won't be good for NPOC because the other person has to have a custodian, what is happening in the EC and it takes time. We already know the technicality since we're dealing with it. Thank you.

Joan Kerr: Thank you, Poncelet. It's great we can hear you. There was a bit of noise in the background, but that's okay.

Poncelet Ileleji: Hello.

Joan Kerr: So I'll wait for others ...

Poncelet Ileleji: Hello?

Joan Kerr: Yes, I can hear you. We'll wait for others. Manuel - Juan, you're up next please. And Poncelet, is that an old hand or you want to continue?

Juan Manuel Rojas: Hello. This is Juan. I wish to continue. Hello?

Poncelet Ileleji: Hello Joan. Okay, I'm getting a dial out on my phone. Let me give you my phone. Hello?

Juan Manuel Rojas: Okay. While Poncelet is improving his communication, I just want to say that okay, I think that NCSG EC it must be by - every bricks by Joan and maybe Rahul. And policy committee, it could be by - new lead by Poncelet and maybe I, if you are agreeing to be the product of your presentation of committees of PDP on that. I was replacing, merging policy committee. And then I already know how this policy works. That is my suggestion. Thank you.

Poncelet Ileleji: Agreed. Thank you. It's Poncelet speaking for the record. Sorry now - I'm not using the Adobe Connect again. I'm using my phone. So can I talk?

Maryam Bakoshi: Poncelet, please go ahead.

Poncelet Ileleji: Hello?

Maryam Bakoshi: Poncelet, please go ahead. (Unintelligible).

Poncelet Ileleji: Yes. Okay, thank you, Maryam. Poncelet speaking for the record. I just wanted to say, I would support Joan's comments that within the policy committee, I see Joan thinking. I started with maybe policy committee and

we have worked well together and it's maybe a tough one. Our presence has been noted.

I work on the right review mechanism on the comments. I led the comments and I've been reading a lot of stuff there. So I want someone out there that who has - who is already in sync with the process because the NCUC are very strong within the policy committee and I think Joan has replaced (Martin) and he has started volunteering on certain things.

I think we should do it that way. My thinking is that things that we have already have continuity, we have not had any reasons - we have no reason that is not working to stop it. We should keep it. I don't know what we can change. But things I will know that our working has been effective. We should keep, you know, and strengthen. That's my take. Thank you.

Maryam Bakoshi: Hi everyone. This is Maryam Bakoshi for the record. Please, if you're not speaking, can you mute your line? So it's star six on your handset please. Star six on your handset. Mute your line if you're not speaking. Thank you very much.

Joan Kerr: Hello? Hello?

Maryam Bakoshi: Yes. Hi Joan. We can hear you. Please go on.

Joan Kerr: Okay, great. Oh, finally. I don't know. Anyway, some on the phone every - guys. Sorry about that, but I did hear the comments from both Juan and Poncelet. Now, Juan, you still have your hand up. Are you - I can't hear you speaking so. Okay, great. Is my - is everyone hearing me okay?

Poncelet Ileleji: Yes I can.

Joan Kerr: Oh, wonderful. Okay. So here's - Poncelet, thank you for your comments and Juan as well. So here is - and I agree with you, Poncelet. We've really made an impact on the NCUC committee, but here's my suggestion. We need to open it up to our membership, not just that we have to do everything.

And I think that is one of the issues that NPOC has not dealt with is that EC has done so much and has become busy just addressing committee work as opposed to doing some of the region strategy development that we need to do and focusing on the policy.

I really think that we need to have a strong strategy of getting our other members to participate in different committees and that we help them and be mentors to them since we have some of those experiences. So I'm going to suggest that for the NCSG, that - obviously Gangadhar should be on that committee. So he's the membership chair.

And then we open it up to the membership to become involved. And you and I can - you and I Poncelet can act as mentors for those, and answer questions and guide them through it. I really, really, really believe that we need to open it up to the membership.

That's number one, and take on those roles that we've been talking about as mentors because that hasn't been done. And regarding the policy committee, Poncelet, obviously you have to be on that committee as well. And again we open it up to the membership committee because remember, we do have duties to do as chairs and to lead things, which really has not been done.

So we need to look at what does the EC have to do? What are those policy comments that we need to explore and to be developing policy statements for?

This is what we need to do and we need to do it right away because this is a new NPOC and we need to focus on that.

So that's not to say that we won't end up being the reps, but I think that at least if we put it out there that we're the new NPOC and we're transparent and we want the members involved right at the onset, and if there is no takers, then we obviously have a problem and we need to inform and educate people more. But then we're willing to take on those jobs.

So that's where I was coming from, from that because NPOC belongs to the community and we should start to make that an active strategy. So that's where I was coming from in terms of the representatives. Does that make sense?

Juan Manuel Rojas: Joan?

Joan Kerr: Yes.

Juan Manuel Rojas: Yes. It has sense, but I get lost in the - how we will going to work in group presentation committees of we are talking about our, you know, EC and policy. I was lost with the names. Can you repeat it please?

Joan Kerr: The representatives? So for the NCSG Executive Committee, so we're on number three, we are required by the charter to have two reps on that committee. Okay?

Juan Manuel Rojas: Okay.

Joan Kerr: So one of them, I'm recommending to be the membership committee since they deal with membership primarily as part of their duties. I was on that

committee so I know and so was Poncelet. And I'm saying for the second one that we open it up to the membership. Does that make sense? Hello?

Juan Manuel Rojas: Hello. I think - okay, yes. But we are talking about NCSG executive committee, right?

Joan Kerr: That's correct. But it's a suggestion. As it stands right now, both Poncelet and myself were on that committee and his suggestion is that we continue to be on it. And I'm suggesting that we propose that the membership chair from NPOC, NPOC membership chair and one community member, so you would, as the communications chair, would then send an invitational email out inviting our members to - and we will have to define what the duties are and all that to the membership to invite them.

So what we're sending is a clear message that we're involving our members is, I guess at the end of the day what's happening.

Juan Manuel Rojas: Okay, yes. I agree. Okay.

Joan Kerr: And then your job is then to oversee the communications rather than to - not that you can't be on those committee. You can - any committee is open. You can always - I'm saying you as, you know, as an example, not that you have to do it. You can join those communities so that - those committees so that you can know what's going on, but our job is to actually oversee and involve our members. I guess that's the innate messages I'm trying to get to.

Juan Manuel Rojas: Okay.

Joan Kerr: And so for the policy, so I guess we need a decision on that. We'll need everyone to decide on it, but the first option was by - Maryam, are you taking down the action or?

Maryam Bakoshi: Yes Joan, I have.

Joan Kerr: Okay, great because we will have to send these out to the - and I don't want to be doing this afterward that, you know, our members should be on the committee, the committee call. And then the second - the first option by Poncelet is that him and I continue.

And the second option is that the membership chair represents NPOC as an executive committee member and that it's the - an invitation is emailed to the memberships for representation. Those are the two choices. So we'll send those out for people to vote on. And then we can do the appropriate communications after that. Okay?

So the action is that we'll send out an email so people can vote on it, our EC votes on it. The next one is the policy committee. So that's number two. Obviously our policy chair should be on that I think, should be on that. No. We should open that up to - that's the NCSG committee, right?

So we need two reps for that as well and we send that out to the membership to be involved in that. Are we recording - Maryam, do you know that if the policy chair has to be on that committee as well? Maryam, for the record - sorry, can you come - can you - for number three part two, there has to be an EC member for that one as well, right?

Maryam Bakoshi: No. It doesn't have - there is no rule on - for or against it, but it just makes sense I think to have it.

Joan Kerr: I think it makes sense that there is a representative.

Maryam Bakoshi: Yes.

Joan Kerr: Yes. I mean this is - to us right now, they may change it in the future, but I think that our policy chair should be the same as our membership chair on the EC. The policy chair should be on the policy committee. So we've got our policy EC member representing us and then we'll open it up to another rep as well, to the community.

Olevie Kouami: (Unintelligible).

Joan Kerr: Go ahead, Olevie. Just one second, Olevie. One sec. Poncelet, you have your hand up first and Olevie, I'll let you ...

((Crosstalk))

Poncelet Ileleji: Yes. I have my hand up.

Joan Kerr: Okay.

Poncelet Ileleji: Yes. I have my hand up. What I want to say - Poncelet speaking for the record. What I wanted to say, Joan, I think I disagree with you on your two suggestions, opening up to the community. One, because when you stand election - when you stand for election, you look at NCUC, you look at any body representing in any committee, whether it's NCSG, the policy committee or the EC of NCSG, is a member of the executive of that constituency.

It makes life easier because we cannot start mentoring people. There's a lot of

politicking here and there, but if we would put it on the vote and that is what the addressing won't find, but me I'm totally against it because if you want to mentor people, and that is what NPOC - I have tried it, I remember I reached out to members.

I asked you especially for some time back, especially for members who are lawyers from our membership database. I emailed them details to join policy calls and none of them refused. So if we go and pick people that we have not mentored them - because for you to get people into positions, the first thing you have to do is that let them start joining any of the working groups and then from there we mentor them. But just putting them straight into a committee, we might end up (unintelligible).

Just a good example is among ourselves. How many people have been able to make the meeting on time and we are the executives? Not to talk of membership. So I'm really strongly against what we're trying to do openly to the committee when they have - none of them apart from executives, none of them are active in any working group.

So what are we trying to - they want to - if membership wants to start working, we have to first start encouraging them to be part of the working group. When they're part of the working group, then we mentor them in a working group. So that is my say. Thank you.

Joan Kerr: Yes. It's Joan for the record. Thank you. I think it's a really good point and obviously I don't disagree with you. What I'm proposing, first of all on the NCSG EC, both Monika and Robin are not EC members for the - for NCUC. And we want people to be part of a working group. We need to open it up. There's four, five of us and we need to be getting our members to get educated. Even if they stumble, that's okay. They still need to learn.

I stumbled because I just - was just thrown into it personally. and so I mean that's part of the learning process, but if we don't get people ready, next year we're going to be the same people running for the - I mean that might be okay, but we need to open it up. We need to get people involved. We need to get them to know what's out there in the community, not just - I mean we can still be involved. That's all I'm trying to say.

When we send the invitational email out, it's not like oh, can you be on it? It's these are the duties. You know, tell us how your experience, tell us what working groups you're involved in at the present time. It's not just that we're going to be throwing it out there. That's the point. But I mean I hear you.

Poncelet, I'm just trying to open it up so that - for example, one of the things that we have come under criticism for is our lack of involvement in policy statements and we need to track those. That's a huge question which I'll address later in the NCSG review.

You know, we couldn't say these are the ones - these are the comments that we're involved with that we can't - policies that we were commenting on. So, you know, that's our job and getting people into the development process. That's our job.

I mean I've been looking a lot at the review, the charter and we have not been doing our job. And part of it is the lack of resources. You know, one person being on so many different committees, by the time you're on the committee, you can't be doing the policy comments, but if you have an EC member that's overseeing it and helping, that's what's going to make - it's going to help to make a difference.

So for example, with you as policy chair, which of those policies does the EC, NPOC EC need to look at so that we can have a statement? And to help you do an NPOC statement, you can do an individual statement obviously, but we need to work on statements on a monthly basis. What are they? What is our position? And submit it and then track them so that we can show that we are involved in the policy development process.

Poncelet Ileleji: Thanks for the record. What has been happening is that within the NCSG policy committee, people are recommended to take up and to leave their comments. So it started - the NCSG is the one that decides, you don't have an NPOC statement. You don't have an NCUC statement. You have one statement on the NCSG.

So I would suggest - so that's what I've - I mean since I've been involved. So this year like I've been doing comments, a lot of comments and I've led one whole comment session for every - so we'll have a situation whereby anybody can - NPOC will make its own comment because NPOC and NCUC, they have to be - I think they have to be in sync for their, what do you call it? For comments.

So NPOC doesn't release the comment on its own and NCUC doesn't release the comment on its own. All of us to come within the NCSG policy. And under NCSG, discuss usually when there's a comment that comes out, which all NPOC members get to that same role. You can join in and say you should - you can join and say you should be responsible to it. So everybody has to be first on the NCSG discourse. So that's what I would say. Thank you.

Joan Kerr: I agree. That's probably happened. What I'm trying to say is, when I was doing the review, NCUC review, I could not find anything that we could track

to say - that said we specifically said this was our position. We did not - I could not find it.

We need to submit a statement on behalf of the EC through the policy committee that says this is our position. And of course it goes to NCSG, but that has never been done. I've looked at it for, you know, when - for the last few months because I took it upon myself to see, we need to have an NPOC present, otherwise why are we here?

And we need to work as a team to do that. That is not just you. It's everyone. So go ahead, Juan.

Juan Manuel Rojas: No, no. You know, so I'm thinking that Joan, I agree with you. Yes, we need to do statements as NPOC to have a presence in NCSG because if we do that, maybe the - another positions that we are - that are feeling that in NCUC, inside NCSG, it could be filled by us.

But first we need to do the work. And then, you know, just to participate in policy committee inside of NCSG, but participate ourselves in that process, in any process of public comment. But yes, right now as you said, it's a teamwork job and we have to do that if we want to improve the image or the goodwill that it has NPOC, that we know that is not any good at all.

Joan Kerr: Yes. Thank you. I mean I think you're both correct. We need to represent - both you and Poncelet are correct. We need to have an NCSG presence. Obviously there has to be a statement that's submitted on behalf of NCSG. However, when a policy - to me, when a policy comes out, NCSG commented from their point of view, representing their community.

We need to have it from our point of view and then discuss it how, then once -

then it goes to NCSG and that has not been done. All we've done is agree or disagree with NCUC's statements. We have not done our own and we need to start to create value within NCSG and also to communicate and involve our members in the policy development process. That's basically what we're about. So it's always down and dirty, but we've got to do that.

All right. And then of course we need to track that we see - here was a policy and here was our statement and track those that we are doing that. So that's the other thing is that we're going to do monthly tracking of duties and policy statement. Who - you know, here's the statement. Here's what we've done and here is how it was received.

That's basically - that's the job of the policy committee. So all right. And so we need to know first of all, how do we do that? And unfortunately Rahul is not on the call. So we can't discuss a lot of the work plans, but we can discuss those later.

So is everybody in agreement in terms of sending out an email for number three? We've identified the two reps for - one is membership and one is policy and we invite two reps to be on those committees. Are we good with that that process then? And then Poncelet, you can also put your comments, you disagree or disagree, which is - that's the way we're going to do it. It's the discussion.

All right, let's move on to number four if everybody is okay with number three. Are we good with the action? Yes. Okay, number four then. So I suggested that there are two separate positions that we should take. One is as an EC. So we have things like the charter, the reviews, tracking of the work and identifying the policy priorities.

So that's our job as the executive committee. And then we have another duty, which is to communicate to our members all the activities. I'll go through each one in a second. I'm just doing an overall.

So the first thing I thought that we could do right away is to have just a quick document to our members saying this is what we've done. This is the new committee. This is what we're proposing, telling them that we're reviewing the charter. We're reviewing the NCSG document, things like that, just to give them - just to communicate with them. And then of course to have work plans for everyone and how they can become involved in the policy development process.

So those are the overall that I'm proposing that we do. So let's just go through them each one. The charter is Rahul and Juan and myself worked on the charter quite a bit in Johannesburg. Unfortunately we couldn't get you, Poncelet on it because we were moving to different places and it was tricky with the internet. So we thought oh, we'll just try working on it and then everyone can comment on it.

So we went through it and it - oh my gosh, I don't know who created it, but it was so cumbersome. So we have - what Rahul did was did the old, did a table with the current and the new proposals and that's on Google doc. And there's quite a bit of work still to be done, but we did an excellent job.

I think, Juan you were there. We did an excellent job in actually starting it and discussing it and what it is that we want to accomplish. So I'm proposing that for the charter, if we could meet every week to get that one done as soon as possible, and if we can have it done by ICANN 56 - 60, sorry, that would be so fantastic, obviously before, but at least if we can have that as a target

date to have it completely completed in terms of our proposal, sending it to the membership and then submitting it to the board. That would be fantastic.

So how does - we'll send out - Maryam, I guess we could send out a Doodle for a date for the charter. We could do that, right? For our weekly discussion and we can decide how we will do that on those calls. Yes, Juan. Go ahead. No. Did you change your mind? Yes? Okay.

Juan Manuel Rojas: No, no, no. I was talking that he's left mute. Okay. I think that the main issues of the charter, it was solved in Johannesburg and just we have to read and to check the writing and just to modify or suggest something that maybe are not good as well suggested by Tapani and by (Cathy). We need to do this and think is always on, to start our first iteration on this charter before we go out and to approval.

Joan Kerr: Right. Yes. I mean we did some excellent work on the mission statement and identifying the priorities, and if we can start looking at those priorities now and implementing those for our comments, then we've started the job itself. So yes, you are correct. And Maryam has put up the link to the document. So all right.

So one of the things when we were identifying the priorities is that we did have Maryam as well who, you know, was our cop, which was really good because she pointed out what we should look at and really questioned I think in terms of from ICANN's position, because obviously we're coming from the community position, which kept us on track as well. So thank you Maryam for doing that.

So and I think Maryam, you'll be really, really critical in going forward as well, so. And you were very patient, because I think the discussions became

quite intense and - but you know, it just goes to show that we were actually doing the work. So it was great.

Now, number two, the NCSG review. We did do one - at least it was left for us to do it. But I just wanted to let the committee know that we should actually - we have an opportunity to actually add to the NCSG review and add some things that were - for example the list, how do we do business, things like that.

So if everyone could look at it and put some updates on what we're doing and now that it's done. And I think that Tapani actually recommended that we should - because I didn't think there were anything, he said no, add everything as much as possible. So I'm going to do that and send it off. It's not much work to do. So I will do that myself actually and send it to the committee, if that's okay.

Rahul is not here, but we're going to create - has everyone signed up for the travel? if you haven't, please do so because that's where we're going to really track the work of what we - what everyone needs to do and what needs to be done. And so please sign up for that. If you haven't signed up for it, please send Rahul a request and then we will do a work plan with Maryam as well to track the work and so that we really, really identify work that needs to be done.

Poncelet, if you don't mind, if you could talk a little bit about how you would like the policy - like we've identified some policy priorities in the new charter going forward. Maybe I shouldn't put you on the spot, but if you'd like to speak on it that's fine. If you could take a look at those and - because those were identified as operational concerns. That was a discussion - we spent

hours and hours and hours defining what operational concerns are and how we need to look at it.

So if you don't mind to take - if you could look at - Poncelet, you can hear me I hope. If you could take a look at those and start to identify what policy statements are in the GNSO that we need to deal with. Those are specific ones that we need to deal with.

So maybe I will let - what I will do, if it's okay with you, is I'll get - I will do it as well, get Rahul to identify those and send them to you and then maybe we can talk about those via email and by the next meeting, start to discuss those if that's okay with you. Go ahead, Poncelet. Hello?

Poncelet Ileleji: Yes. Poncelet speaking for the record. Can you hear me?

Joan Kerr: Yes we can hear you. Go ahead.

Poncelet Ileleji: Okay. So what I'm going to do, I'm going to put all of our policy priorities together and we'll use those policy priorities as a start. Any - within the PDP for starters, anything that is important that we have to be on, like the new general top level domains that we have to be on. I'm going to put us in the policy and I'm going to share it with people. I will cite it down on the Adobe Connect also. Thank you.

Joan Kerr: Okay, great. Thank you. That's wonderful, but we'll also send you the priorities that we identified. If you look on the Adobe, you'll see the scope. Those are the things that we need to deal with and to have policy comments on. So if you could use those as your guide. We may as well start to look at them now because those are the issues for the community. So that's great. Great. All right.

So we can work with you with that by the way. You don't have to do this by yourself. You know that. Maryam, could be put the agenda back up please? Great. Thank you. All right. So Poncelet, can you give me a timeline when you will have that done? Because I think - next Wednesday? Okay, great. Great. Thank you so much.

So that's Wednesday. Gosh. Do you realize that it's the 19th already? My goodness. Okay, great. Thank you Poncelet. I have one - go ahead, Poncelet. Is that an old hand or a new hand, Poncelet?

Poncelet Ileleji: Sorry. It's Poncelet speaking. It's an old hand. Sorry.

Joan Kerr: Okay. All right. No worries. So Juan, you put a link in public comments. Oh, okay. Yes. Poncelet can take a look at those. So that's all right. All right. So our next - I guess we're running out of time. Are we okay for time, Maryam?

Maryam Bakoshi: Hi Joan. Yes, this is Maryam. This call is booked for an hour and a half so you are

Joan Kerr: We're good? Okay, good. Yes, we have half an hour. Oh, wonderful. Okay, so our next focus for the work plan is communicating activities to our members. So we I guess haven't done this. We need to really thumb this up. And Juan, you've done a really great job whenever we need something to send it out. So thank you.

So now we need to really pump it up. And we can get Olevie into this workspace as well, but do you think that it's possible for us to do a quick year in review right away to our members just to communicate with them? Is that

something that you could do right away, Juan? Obviously write it and send it to us and we can add to it as well?

Juan Manuel Rojas: Yes. I think it's possible. Maybe a kind of newsletter or something like that, year in review Yes.

Joan Kerr: Yes, and some pictures if - you know, a couple of pictures with people. And, you know, Poncelet did a session this year in January. I know that Olevie has done events, you know, like just to mention that we are actually doing work and our members don't know about them.

So and that may be a comment on the last two or three ICANN conferences. You know, this is what we were involved in. This is who attended, that sort of thing, just very quick and informational stuff. So the ...

Juan Manuel Rojas: Yes. I will - I just will need the information to gather, information to do one just - choose one bucket. I think that Rahul information about (unintelligible) and Olevie and Poncelet as you said before.

Joan Kerr: Yes. Okay. If everyone could just send something in to Juan to help him. If you want to be included, what you have done, how you've been involved, that would be fantastic. So - and I will do the same for, you know, the event we had in India and that's where we got Gangadhar actually to become part of the EC as well.

So it does have an impact. So as small as it seems, it still does help, right? So that's the first thing. So will you at least start that for us, then - and everyone can add to it, Juan?

Juan Manuel Rojas: Date. I think that maybe for the next week it could be done if I have the information before.

Joan Kerr: Okay. All right. So let's say by end of next week for the - well, today is Monday so we're - yes, I guess ...

Juan Manuel Rojas: Yes. I think that if I had information this week, maybe deadline to be sent out will be 21, July 21.

Joan Kerr: So you need information from the committee by when/

Juan Manuel Rojas: Information, 14 of this week.

Joan Kerr: By the end of this week?

Juan Manuel Rojas: Yes. The information for - the information being gathered.

Joan Kerr: Okay. So that's the - if everyone can send something to Juan by the end of this week and then he'll put together a communication email for - or whatever form you want to do it for the membership by the 20th, correct?

Juan Manuel Rojas: Correct.

Joan Kerr: Okay, wonderful great. And like I said, it's just a high level description, right? Just, you know, where to start telling our story. Think of it - that's where we're going to start telling the story of NPOC. All right. So, I think we've heard from Poncelet regarding policy comments.

Did you want any - did you want to say something else, Poncelet in regards to communicating with our members about the policy? Because that's every

month we need to send out - if there's a policy statement, send it out to our members. This is an opportunity. We need your feedback. You know, we need to represent you. It's not just our own thoughts. It's the membership thoughts. Did you want to say anything, Poncelet?

Poncelet Ileleji: Yes. Poncelet speaking for the record. What I'm going to encourage people to do, within this is new second term note policy share is once all the policy meetings are coming up, I will share it with members. Like we have the policy meeting tomorrow.

Joan Kerr: Yes.

Poncelet Ileleji: I'm going to do share the time of the meeting, early tomorrow morning so that people can decide to join because it's important. It's for you to join this policy meeting. It's important. So that's what I'm going to do. Thank you.

Joan Kerr: Wonderful. Great. Thank you so much. And so we'll do a work plan. I'll do a work plan with - first I'll start it with Rahul, Maryam and then we'll send it out for people to add to it so that we, you know, know what's happening and who's doing what and people can add to it or say no, this is not - it shouldn't be there, whatever. It's going to be an open situation.

So I think that's it for number four. Anything anyone want to say before close that one? Now, Olevie, are you still on the on the line?

Olevie Kouami: Yes. I'm still on the line.

Joan Kerr: You are. Okay. Please go ahead. I think you wanted to say something earlier and I didn't get you. I'm so sorry. Go ahead. You can comment on - from one to four if you like. So please go ahead.

Olevie Kouami: Can you hear me?

Joan Kerr: Very barely. Can you speak or? I can't hear. Is anybody hearing?

Maryam Bakoshi: No, I'm not.

Joan Kerr: No. I'm not hearing either. Olevie, we can't hear you. I heard you when you said yes. All right, until that's solved, maybe Olevie if you could send us something via Skype or the EC discuss list so that we can address what you have to say, that would be wonderful.

It would be really great if you can look at the agenda and maybe address those issues as they come up. Or even in the decision, if you agree or disagree, that'll be wonderful. All right. So then let's go to business arising or to things we have to deal with.

Unfortunately I did not get to speak to (Sam) this morning. He lives in Canada and I called him. There was no answer on his home phone. And so I have no update on the funds and the transfer from (Rudy). But I will follow up on that as well because we need to resolve it somehow.

It wasn't (Rudy's) fault from what I understand. It was just some misinformation that happened. So I don't think there's an issue with it. It's just that we have to resolve the banking numbers and all of that. So I don't think it's an issue we have to do with. I do think that - sorry. Somebody wants to say something?

Olevie Kouami: Yes. (Unintelligible). I have just two comments about the (unintelligible). And you know the functions (unintelligible) strategies. I wanted to ask if

Rahul is online, if he can share the charter, the constitution as it's where we are going. And the last (unintelligible) our commitment is - the conflict also with the NCUC increasing. And so individually it's among the NCUC. That issue is also need to be clarified (unintelligible). Thanks.

Joan Kerr: Oh, boy. I could hardly hear. So if anyone else heard that, if they could let me know. Unfortunately I couldn't hear very well. Did anyone hear what was said? Please? No. Olevie, we cannot understand and can't hear. So is it possible for you to just - like I said before, just write something if you don't mind? We want to hear you but couldn't understand you. Sorry. I mean I hear sounds. I just can't hear what you're saying. Okay?

Olevie Kouami: Yes. My issue is (unintelligible) the position and (unintelligible).

Joan Kerr: Your position?

Olevie Kouami: (Unintelligible).

Joan Kerr: Okay. Say yes or no if I'm correct. You're asking about your position, correct?

Olevie Kouami: Yes. I need you to - I need for you to correct (unintelligible).

Joan Kerr: Right. We need to address your position in terms of secretary. Is that what you're asking?

Olevie Kouami: (Unintelligible) on the line (unintelligible).

Joan Kerr: I don't know if that's a yes or no. So Olevie, we cannot hear you. So if you could just please write either on this NPOC Skype or send us something so

that we know what you're saying. And - but I also think that (unintelligible) your position in terms of secretary and what you do on the EC. There's lots of work to do. So there's no lack work. So let's just define something for you, okay? No issues.

So any other business? So we'll put that down as part of the business arising is to address your position, okay? We'll have that as a discussion, Olevie's position. And I'm sorry we can't hear you. We would love to discuss it, but if we can't hear what you're saying it's hard.

Okay. Anything else that we need to discuss at the moment? Anyone? Anything? Anyone? No? Okay, Juan is typing. We'll wait for that. Poncelet, you're good? Oh, he says no. Okay. Maryam, is there anything as staff that you think we should be addressing, if you don't mind while I wait for Juan?

Maryam Bakoshi: This is Maryam for the record. No, nothing from my end.

Poncelet Ileleji: (Unintelligible).

Joan Kerr: Okay. All right, great. Well, Juan, you're typing a long time.

Man 1: Hello?

Joan Kerr: Oh, who's that? Who's this? Okay. Juan says, I was wondering about point one, which is the year in review. Which one, number one?

Juan Manuel Rojas: Yes. That is better at speaking. No, but I think that is after the poll that we are applying, right, about the vote on proposal that you said we have to decide on, right? That about the decision we take, right?

Joan Kerr: Yes.

Juan Manuel Rojas: Okay. That's it. You know, I was just confirming what we are going to do in that, for that, you know.

Joan Kerr: Okay, great. Thank you. All right, well it looks like we're done. This is wonderful. Hey. I could do with this. so if there are no other comments or if - please everyone, please follow up with your duties and Olevie we'll talk - we'll start to get you into the picture and find a role for you, you and us so that everyone's happy.

So if there are no other questions, comments or debates, I can call this to a close. Yes? Well, congratulations everyone and thank you Maryam for all of your support of course and your guidance. And thank you guys. This is - I really look forward (unintelligible).

I think we're a really great team and we're going to do what we're supposed to do and we're going to make sure our members are involved and informed and that we act as an actual executive committee from the ICANN ecosystem and that we become part of the whole system and become respected. And that's what we're going to do. And so - and I think we're the great team to do it. So thank you all. And I will say it. This meeting is brought to a close. Thank you.

Maryam Bakoshi: Thank you everyone for attending the call. (Eunice), you may stop the recording and disconnect all lines. Thank you very much for your time today. Good bye.

Joan Kerr: Bye.

Maryam Bakoshi: Bye. Thank you.

END