Section 4.3. INDEPENDENT REVIEW PROCESS	RELEVANT PROCEDURAL
FOR COVERED ACTIONS	QUESTIONS
a) In addition to the reconsideration process described	
in Section 4.2, ICANN shall have a separate process	
for independent third-party review of Disputes	
(defined in Section 4.3(b)(iii)) alleged by a Claimant	
(as defined in Section 4.3(b)(i)) to be within the scope	
of the Independent Review Process ("IRP"). The IRP	
is intended to hear and resolve Disputes for the	
following purposes ("Purposes of the IRP"):	
i) Ensure that ICANN does not exceed the scope	
of its Mission and otherwise complies with its	
Articles of Incorporation and Bylaws.	
ii) Empower the global Internet community and	
Claimants to enforce compliance with the	
Articles of Incorporation and Bylaws through	
meaningful, affordable and accessible expert	
review of Covered Actions (as defined in Section	
4.3(b)(i)).	
iii) Ensure that ICANN is accountable to the	
global Internet community and Claimants.	
iv)Address claims that ICANN has failed to	
enforce its rights under the IANA Naming	
Function Contract (as defined in Section 16.3(a)).	
v) Provide a mechanism by which direct	
customers of the IANA naming functions may	
seek resolution of PTI (as defined in Section	
16.1) service complaints that are not resolved	
through mediation.	
vi)Reduce Disputes by creating precedent to	
guide and inform the Board, Officers	
(as defined in Section 15.1), Staff members,	
Supporting Organizations, Advisory	
Committees, and the global Internet community	
in connection with policy development and	
implementation. Adopted by ICANN Board on	
27 May 2016 17	
vii) Secure the accessible, transparent, efficient,	
consistent, coherent, and just resolution of	
Disputes.	
viii) Lead to binding, final resolutions consistent	
with international arbitration norms that are	
enforceable in any court with proper jurisdiction.	
ix)Provide a mechanism for the resolution of	
Disputes, as an alternative to legal action in the	
civil courts of the United States or other	
civil courts of the Officed States of other	

jurisdictions. This Section 4.3 shall be construed, implemented, and administered in a manner consistent with these Purposes of the IRP.  b) The scope of the IRP is defined with reference to	
the following terms:	
i) A "Claimant" is any legal or natural person, group, or entity including, but not limited to the EC, a Supporting Organization, or an Advisory Committee that has been materially affected by a Dispute. To be materially affected by a Dispute, the Claimant must suffer an injury or harm that is directly and causally connected to the alleged violation.	
A)The EC is deemed to be materially affected by all Covered Actions. ICANN shall not assert any defenses of standing or capacity against the EC in any forum.	
B)ICANN shall not object to the standing of the EC, a Supporting Organization, or an Advisory Committee to participate in an IRP, to compel an IRP, or to enforce an IRP decision on the basis that it is not a legal person with capacity to sue. No special pleading of a Claimant's capacity or of the legal existence of a person that is a Claimant shall be required in the IRP proceedings. No Claimant shall be allowed to proceed if the IRP Panel (as defined in Section 4.3(g)) concludes based on evidence submitted to it that the Claimant does not fairly or adequately represent the interests of those on whose behalf the Claimant purports to act.	Specifying a process for submitting and reviewing evidence against a standard for representation of interests.
ii) "Covered Actions" are defined as any actions or failures to act by or within ICANN committed by the Board, individual Directors, Officers, or Staff members that give rise to a Dispute.	
iii) "Disputes" are defined as:	
A)Claims that Covered Actions constituted	
an action or inaction that violated the Articles	
of Incorporation or Bylaws, including but not	
limited to any action or inaction that:	
1) exceeded the scope of the Mission; Adopted by ICANN Board on 27 May	
2016 18	
2) resulted from action taken in response	

to advice or input from any Advisory Committee or Supporting Organization that are claimed to be inconsistent with the Articles of Incorporation or Bylaws;  3) resulted from decisions of process- specific expert panels that are claimed to be inconsistent with the Articles of Incorporation or Bylaws;  4) resulted from a response to a DIDP (as defined in Section 22.7(d)) request
that are claimed to be inconsistent with the Articles of Incorporation or Bylaws;  3) resulted from decisions of process- specific expert panels that are claimed to be inconsistent with the Articles of Incorporation or Bylaws;  4) resulted from a response to a DIDP (as defined in Section 22.7(d)) request
the Articles of Incorporation or Bylaws;  3) resulted from decisions of process- specific expert panels that are claimed to be inconsistent with the Articles of Incorporation or Bylaws;  4) resulted from a response to a DIDP (as defined in Section 22.7(d)) request
3) resulted from decisions of process- specific expert panels that are claimed to be inconsistent with the Articles of Incorporation or Bylaws; 4) resulted from a response to a DIDP (as defined in Section 22.7(d)) request
specific expert panels that are claimed to be inconsistent with the Articles of Incorporation or Bylaws;  4) resulted from a response to a DIDP (as defined in Section 22.7(d)) request
specific expert panels that are claimed to be inconsistent with the Articles of Incorporation or Bylaws;  4) resulted from a response to a DIDP (as defined in Section 22.7(d)) request
be inconsistent with the Articles of Incorporation or Bylaws;  4) resulted from a response to a DIDP (as defined in Section 22.7(d)) request
Incorporation or Bylaws; 4) resulted from a response to a DIDP (as defined in Section 22.7(d)) request
4) resulted from a response to a DIDP (as defined in Section 22.7(d)) request
(as defined in Section 22.7(d)) request
that is alaimed to be inconsistent with
that is claimed to be inconsistent with
the Articles of Incorporation or Bylaws;
or
5) arose from claims involving rights of
the EC as set forth in the Articles of
Incorporation or Bylaws.
B)Claims that ICANN, the Board, individual
Directors, Officers or Staff members have
not enforced ICANN's contractual rights
with respect to the IANA Naming Function
Contract, and
C)Claims regarding PTI service complaints
by direct customers of the IANA naming
functions that are not resolved through
mediation.
c) Notwithstanding any other provision in this Section
4.3, the IRP's scope shall exclude all of the following:
i) EC challenges to the result(s) of a PDP, unless
the Supporting Organization(s) that approved the
PDP supports the EC bringing such a challenge;
ii) Claims relating to ccTLD delegations and re-
delegations;
iii) Claims relating to Internet numbering
resources, and
iv)Claims relating to protocol parameters.
d) An IRP shall commence with the Claimant's filing  • Formal guidelines for filing claim
of a written statement of a Dispute (a "Claim") with and answer
the IRP Provider (described in Section 4.3(m) below). • Rules for service
For the EC to commence an IRP ("Community IRP"),  • Counterclaims
the EC shall first comply with the procedures set forth  • Can parties amend or supplement
in Section 4.2 of Annex D. Can parties amend of supplement their claims?
then claims:
• What is the scope of allowed
amendment/supplement?
• Should the parties pay a filing fee
or initial deposit?

e) Cooperative Engagement Process	
i) Except for Claims brought by the EC in	Guidelines for CEP
accordance with this Section 4.3 and Section 4.2	How does CEP process get
of Annex D, prior to the filing of a Claim, the	communicated to the IRP panel?
parties are strongly encouraged to participate in a	communicated to the first puner.
non-binding Cooperative Engagement Process	
("CEP") for the purpose of Adopted by ICANN	
Board on 27 May 2016 19 attempting to resolve	
and/or narrow the Dispute. CEPs shall be	
conducted pursuant to the CEP Rules to be	
developed with community involvement, adopted	
by the Board, and as amended from time to time.	
ii) The CEP is voluntary. However, except for	
Claims brought by the EC in accordance with this	
Section 4.3 and Section 4.2 of Annex D, if the	
Claimant does not participate in good faith in the	
CEP and ICANN is the prevailing party in the	
IRP, the IRP Panel shall award to ICANN all	
reasonable fees and costs incurred by ICANN in	
the IRP, including legal fees.	
iii) Either party may terminate the CEP efforts if	
that party:	
A) concludes in good faith that further efforts	
are unlikely to produce agreement; or	
B) requests the inclusion of an independent	
dispute resolution facilitator ("IRP Mediator")	
after at least one CEP meeting.	
iv)Unless all parties agree on the selection of a	
particular IRP Mediator, any IRP Mediator	
appointed shall be selected from the members of	
the Standing Panel (described in Section 4.3(j)	
below) by its Chair, but such IRP Mediator shall	
not thereafter be eligible to serve as a panelist	
presiding over an IRP on the matter.	
f) ICANN hereby waives any defenses that may be	
afforded under Section 5141 of the California	
Corporations Code ("CCC") against any Claimant, and	
shall not object to the standing of any such Claimant to	
participate in or to compel an IRP, or to enforce an	
IRP decision on the basis that such Claimant may not	
otherwise be able to assert that a Covered Action is	
ultra vires.	

g) Upon the filing of a Claim, an Independent Review Process Panel ("IRP Panel", described in Section 4.3(k) below) shall be selected in accordance with the Rules of Procedure (as defined in Section 4.3(n)(i)). Following the selection of an IRP Panel, that IRP Panel shall be charged with hearing and resolving the Dispute, considering the Claim and ICANN's written response ("Response") in compliance with the Articles of Incorporation and Bylaws, as understood in light of prior IRP Panel decisions decided under the same (or an equivalent prior) version of the provision of the Articles of Incorporation and Bylaws at issue, and norms of applicable law. If no Response is timely filed by ICANN, the IRP Panel may accept the Claim as unopposed and proceed to evaluate and decide the Claim pursuant to the procedures set forth in these Bylaws.	<ul> <li>Process for selecting IRP Panel</li> <li>Who publishes IRP decisions?</li> <li>Default procedures.</li> </ul>
h) After a Claim is referred to an IRP Panel, the parties are urged to participate in conciliation discussions for the purpose of attempting to narrow the issues that are to be addressed by the IRP Panel.	
i) Each IRP Panel shall conduct an objective, de novo examination of the Dispute. Adopted by ICANN Board on 27 May 2016 20	<ul> <li>Can the tribunal rule on its own jurisdiction if objections are raised?</li> <li>Does foreign law apply?</li> <li>What law applies? <ul> <li>For privilege issues</li> <li>For interpretation of agreements</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
i) With respect to Covered Actions, the IRP Panel shall make findings of fact to determine whether the Covered Action constituted an action or inaction that violated the Articles of Incorporation or Bylaws.	
ii) All Disputes shall be decided in compliance with the Articles of Incorporation and Bylaws, as understood in the context of the norms of applicable law and prior relevant IRP decisions.	
iii) For Claims arising out of the Board's exercise of its fiduciary duties, the IRP Panel shall not replace the Board's reasonable judgment with its own so long as the Board's action or inaction is within the realm of reasonable business judgment.	
iv)With respect to claims that ICANN has not enforced its contractual rights with respect to the	

IANA Naming Function Contract, the standard of	
review shall be whether there was a material	
breach of ICANN's obligations under the IANA	
Naming Function Contract, where the alleged	
breach has resulted in material harm to the	
Claimant.	
v) For avoidance of doubt, IRPs initiated through	
the mechanism contemplated at Section 4.3(a) iv)	
above, shall be subject to a separate standard of	
review as defined in the IANA Naming Function	
Contract.	
j) Standing Panel	
i) There shall be an omnibus standing panel of at	Should an application form be
least seven members (the "Standing Panel") each	developed?
of whom shall possess significant relevant legal	Should a method of ranking
expertise in one or more of the following areas:	applications be developed?
international law, corporate governance, judicial	_ = =
systems, alternative dispute resolution and/or	Should specific training be
arbitration. Each member of the Standing Panel	required?
shall also have knowledge, developed over time,	
regarding the DNS and ICANN's Mission, work,	
policies, practices, and procedures. Members of	
the Standing Panel shall receive at a minimum,	
training provided by ICANN on the workings and	
management of the Internet's unique identifiers	
and other appropriate training as recommended	
by the IRP Implementation Oversight Team	
(described in Section 4.3(n)(i)).	
ii) ICANN shall, in consultation with the	
Supporting Organizations and Advisory	
Committees, initiate a four-step process to	
establish the Standing Panel to ensure the	
availability of a number of IRP panelists that is	
sufficient to allow for the timely resolution of	
Disputes consistent with the Purposes of the IRP.	
A)ICANN, in consultation with the	
Supporting Organizations and Advisory	
Committees, shall initiate a tender process	
for an Adopted by ICANN Board on 27 May	
2016 21 organization to provide	
administrative support for the IRP Provider	
as defined in Section 4.3(m)), beginning by	
consulting the "IRP Implementation	
Oversight Team" (described in Section	
4.3(n)(i) on a draft tender document.	
B)ICANN shall issue a call for expressions	

of interest from potential panelists, and work	
with the Supporting Organizations and	
Advisory Committees and the Board to	
identify and solicit applications from well	
qualified candidates, and to conduct an initial	
review and vetting of applications.	
C)The Supporting Organizations and	
Advisory Committees shall nominate a slate	
of proposed panel members from the well-	
qualified candidates identified per the	
process set forth in Section 4.3(j)(ii)(B).	
D)Final selection shall be subject to Board	
confirmation, which shall not be	
unreasonably withheld.	
,	
iii) Appointments to the Standing Panel shall be	
made for a fixed term of five years with no	
removal except for specified cause in the nature	
of corruption, misuse of position, fraud or	
criminal activity. The recall process shall be	
developed by the IRP Implementation Oversight	
Team.	
iv)Reasonable efforts shall be taken to achieve	
cultural, linguistic, gender, and legal tradition	
diversity, and diversity by Geographic Region (as	
defined in Section 7.5).	
k) IRP Panel	
i) A three-member IRP Panel shall be selected	
from the Standing Panel to hear a specific	
Dispute.	
ii) The Claimant and ICANN shall each select	Can the parties challenge an
one panelist from the Standing Panel, and the two	arbitrator's impartiality during
panelists selected by the parties will select the	arbitration?
third panelist from the Standing Panel. In the	What are procedures for
event that a Standing Panel is not in place when	challenging/replacing an
an IRP Panel must be convened for a given	arbitrator?
proceeding or is in place but does not have	dioitiatoi.
capacity due to other IRP commitments or the	
requisite diversity of skill and experience needed	
for a particular IRP proceeding, the Claimant and	
ICANN shall each select a qualified panelist from	
outside the Standing Panel and the two panelists	
selected by the parties shall select the third	
panelist. In the event that no Standing Panel is in	
place when an IRP Panel must be convened and	
the two party-selected panelists cannot agree on	
the third panelist, the IRP Provider's rules shall	
the tillupationst, the fixe flowfuct 8 fules shall	

apply to selection of the third panelist. Adopted by ICANN Board on 27 May 2016 22  iii) Assignment from the Standing Panel to IRP Panels shall take into consideration the Standing Panel members' individual experience and expertise in issues related to highly technical, civil society, business, diplomatic, and regulatory skills as needed by each specific proceeding, and such requests from the parties for any particular expertise.  iv)Upon request of an IRP Panel, the IRP Panel shall have access to independent skilled technical experts at the expense of ICANN, although all substantive interactions between the IRP Panel and such experts shall be conducted on the record, except when public disclosure could materially and unduly harm participants, such as by exposing trade secrets or violating rights of personal privacy.  v) IRP Panel decisions shall be made by a simple majority of the IRP Panel.  1) All IRP proceedings shall be administered in English as the primary working language, with provision of translation services for Claimants if needed.	<ul> <li>Where should hearings take place? What currency for the award?</li> <li>What procedures are in place in case parties cannot agree?</li> <li>Should allowance be made for</li> </ul>
m)IRP Provider	translation services?
i) All IRP proceedings shall be administered by a well-respected international dispute resolution provider ("IRP Provider"). The IRP Provider shall receive and distribute IRP Claims, Responses, and all other submissions arising from an IRP at the direction of the IRP Panel, and shall function independently from ICANN.	Should the rules specify requirements for the provider's office functions, e.g. online dockets, etc?
n) Rules of Procedure	
i) An IRP Implementation Oversight Team shall be established in consultation with the Supporting Organizations and Advisory Committees and comprised of members of the global Internet community. The IRP Implementation Oversight Team, and once the Standing Panel is established the IRP Implementation Oversight Team in consultation with the Standing Panel, shall develop clear published rules for the IRP ("Rules	

of Procedure") that conform with international arbitration norms and are streamlined, easy to understand and apply fairly to all parties. Upon request, the IRP Implementation Oversight Team shall have assistance of counsel and other appropriate experts.  ii) The Rules of Procedure shall be informed by international arbitration norms and consistent with the Purposes of the IRP. Specialized Rules of Procedure may be designed for reviews of PTI service complaints that are asserted by direct customers of the IANA naming functions and are not resolved through mediation. The Rules of Procedure shall be published and subject to a period of public comment that complies Adopted by ICANN Board on 27 May 2016 23 with the designated practice for public comment periods within ICANN, and take effect upon approval by the Board, such approval not to be unreasonably withheld.	<ul> <li>Should we use a common law judge or investigating magistrate model?</li> <li>Should there be specialized PTI procedures?</li> </ul>
iii) The Standing Panel may recommend amendments to such Rules of Procedure as it deems appropriate to fulfill the Purposes of the IRP, however no such amendment shall be effective without approval by the Board after publication and a period of public comment that complies with the designated practice for public comment periods within ICANN.	• Should there be periodic review of the procedures?
iv)The Rules of Procedure are intended to ensure fundamental fairness and due process and shall at a minimum address the following elements:	What forms of representation are allowed?
A)The time within which a Claim must be filed after a Claimant becomes aware or reasonably should have become aware of the action or inaction giving rise to the Dispute;	
B)Issues relating to joinder, intervention, and consolidation of Claims;	Joinder, intervention and consolidation rules
C)Rules governing written submissions, including the required elements of a Claim, other requirements or limits on content, time for filing, length of statements, number of supplemental statements, if any, permitted evidentiary support (factual and expert), including its length, both in support of a Claimant's Claim and in support of ICANN's Response;	<ul> <li>What are the page limits and formatting restrictions for filings?</li> <li>What are the service requirements?</li> <li>Time limits for filings?</li> <li>Replies, SurReplies?</li> </ul>

D)Availability and limitations on discovery methods;	<ul> <li>What are the rules for production/discovery?</li> <li>Whether no discovery, some, or full.</li> <li>Whether the panel can conduct its own investigation or must rely solely on the submission of the parties</li> </ul>
E)Whether hearings shall be permitted, and if so what form and structure such hearings would take;	<ul> <li>Can the parties request to keep certain information confidential? (e.g., trade secrets)</li> <li>How is information communicated and exchanged between parties and the tribunal?</li> <li>What are the rules for ex parte communications with arbitrators (and how do they work with "default" judgments)</li> <li>Witnesses</li> <li>How are they examined? Who must be present (physically/electronically/telephonically)?</li> <li>Use of experts</li> <li>Can the tribunal call experts?</li> <li>Can parties call experts?</li> <li>Can parties question experts?</li> <li>Are hearings closed (if possible to be closed and still on the record as required by the bylaws)? In person? Electronic? Telephonic?</li> <li>What are the rules for closing/reopening hearings?</li> <li>Can issues/rules be waived by a party? How?</li> </ul>
F)Procedures if ICANN elects not to respond to an IRP; and	• What happens in the case of one party's default?
G)The standards and rules governing appeals from IRP Panel decisions, including which IRP Panel decisions may be appealed.  o) Subject to the requirements of this Section 4.3, each	
i) Summarily dismiss Disputes that are brought without standing, lack substance, or are frivolous or vexatious;	<ul> <li>Process for early dispositive motions (allowed at all?)</li> <li>Can parties move for dismissal or are those decisions solely made</li> </ul>

ii) Request additional written submissions from the Claimant or from other parties; iii) Declare whether a Covered Action constituted an action or inaction that violated the Articles of Incorporation or Bylaws, declare whether Adopted by ICANN Board on 27 May 2016 24 ICANN failed to enforce ICANN's contractual rights with respect to the IANA Naming Function Contract or resolve PTI service complaints by direct customers of the IANA naming functions, as applicable;	sua sponte by the IRP Panel? (based on the factors under 4.2(o)(i))  What other legal motions are allowed and what are the timing requirements?  Standard used for further submissions?  Is 2/3 majority agreement sufficient for award? (does dissenter have to write explanation?)  Must award be written?  What parts of an award, if any, are non-binding?  Are awards public?  Are punitive/exemplary damages allowed?
iv)Pagammand that ICANN stay any action or	How do parties seek     interpretation/correction?
iv)Recommend that ICANN stay any action or decision, or take necessary interim action, until such time as the opinion of the IRP Panel is considered;	
v) Consolidate Disputes if the facts and circumstances are sufficiently similar, and take such other actions as are necessary for the efficient resolution of Disputes;	<ul> <li>What is the process for intervention, joinder, and consolidation?</li> <li>Can parties request that the arbitrator consolidate arbitration proceedings? Bifurcate?</li> <li>Or are those decisions solely made sua sponte by the IRP Panel?</li> </ul>
vi) Determine the timing for each IRP proceeding; and	How is time counted?
vii) Determine the shifting of IRP costs and expenses consistent with Section 4.3(r).	Cost shifting procdures?

p) A Claimant may request interim relief. Interim relief may include prospective relief, interlocutory relief, or declaratory or injunctive relief, and specifically may include a stay of the challenged ICANN action or decision until such time as the opinion of the IRP Panel is considered as described in Section 4.3(o)(iv), in order to maintain the status quo. A single member of the Standing Panel ("Emergency Panelist") shall be selected to adjudicate requests for interim relief. In the event that no Standing Panel is in place when an Emergency Panelist must be selected, the IRP Provider's rules shall apply to the selection of the Emergency Panelist. Interim relief may only be provided if the Emergency Panelist determines that the Claimant has established all of the following factors:	<ul> <li>What is the process for emergency/injunctive relief</li> <li>How do parties move for emergency relief?</li> </ul>
i) A harm for which there will be no adequate	
remedy in the absence of such relief;	
ii) Either:  A) likelihood of success on the merits; or	
B) sufficiently serious questions related to	
the merits; and	
iii) A balance of hardships tipping decidedly	
toward the party seeking relief.	
g) Conflicts of Interest	
<ul> <li>i) Standing Panel members must be independent of ICANN and its Supporting Organizations and Advisory Committees, and so must adhere to the following criteria:         <ul> <li>A)Upon consideration for the Standing Panel and on an ongoing basis, Panelists shall have</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	How and when are disclosures made?
an affirmative obligation to disclose any Adopted by ICANN Board on 27 May 2016 25 material relationship with ICANN, a Supporting Organization, an Advisory Committee, or any other participant in an IRP proceeding.	Annual updating?
B)Additional independence requirements to be developed by the IRP Implementation Oversight Team, including term limits and restrictions on post-term appointment to other ICANN positions.	<ul><li>Term limits?</li><li>Gift and entertainment rules?</li><li>Post-employment bars?</li></ul>
ii) The IRP Provider shall disclose any material relationship with ICANN, a Supporting Organization, an Advisory Committee, or any other participant in an IRP proceeding.	<ul><li>How and when are disclosures made?</li><li>Annual updating?</li></ul>

r) ICANN shall bear all the administrative costs of	<ul> <li>Should the parties pay a filing fee</li> </ul>
maintaining the IRP mechanism, including	or deposit?
compensation of Standing Panel members. Except as	
otherwise provided in Section 4.3(e)(ii), each party to	
an IRP proceeding shall bear its own legal expenses,	
except that ICANN shall bear all costs associated with	
a Community IRP, including the costs of all legal	
counsel and technical experts. Nevertheless, except	
with respect to a Community IRP, the IRP Panel may	
shift and provide for the losing party to pay	
administrative costs and/or fees of the prevailing party	
in the event it identifies the losing party's Claim or	
defense as frivolous or abusive.	
s) An IRP Panel should complete an IRP proceeding	
expeditiously, issuing an early scheduling order and its	
written decision no later than six months after the	
filing of the Claim, except as otherwise permitted	
under the Rules of Procedure. The preceding sentence	
does not provide the basis for a Covered Action.	
t) Each IRP Panel shall make its decision based solely	
on the documentation, supporting materials, and	
arguments submitted by the parties, and in its decision	
shall specifically designate the prevailing party as to	
each part of a Claim.	
u) All IRP Panel proceedings shall be conducted on	Settlement procedures
the record, and documents filed in connection with	-
IRP Panel proceedings shall be posted on the Website,	<ul> <li>Are unpublished decisions allowed?</li> </ul>
except for settlement negotiation or other proceedings	
that could materially and unduly harm participants if	• All case precedential?
conducted publicly. The Rules of Procedure, and all	
Claims, petitions, and decisions shall promptly be	
posted on the Website when they become available.	
Each IRP Panel may, in its discretion, grant a party's	
request to keep certain information confidential, such	
<u> </u>	
as trade secrets, but only if such confidentiality does not materially interfere with the transparency of the	
1 7	
IRP proceeding.	
v) Subject to this Section 4.3, all IRP decisions shall be written and made public, and shall reflect a well	
be written and made public, and shall reflect a well-	
reasoned application of how the Dispute was resolved	
in compliance with the Articles of Incorporation and	
Bylaws, as understood in light of prior IRP decisions	
decided under the same (or an equivalent prior)	
Adopted by ICANN Board on 27 May 2016 26 version	
of the provision of the Articles of Incorporation and	
Bylaws at issue, and norms of applicable law.	

w)Subject to any limitations established through the Rules of Procedure, an IRP Panel decision may be appealed to the full Standing Panel sitting en banc within sixty (60) days of issuance of such decision.  x) The IRP is intended as a final, binding arbitration	Rules of appellate procedure
process.	
i) IRP Panel decisions are binding final decisions	
to the extent allowed by law unless timely and	
properly appealed to the en banc Standing Panel.	
En banc Standing Panel decisions are binding	
final decisions to the extent allowed by law.	
ii) IRP Panel decisions and decisions of an en	
banc Standing Panel upon an appeal are intended	
to be enforceable in any court with jurisdiction	
over ICANN without a de novo review of the	
decision of the IRP Panel or en banc Standing	
Panel, as applicable, with respect to factual	
findings or conclusions of law.	
iii) ICANN intends, agrees, and consents to be	
bound by all IRP Panel decisions of Disputes of	
Covered Actions as a final, binding arbitration.	
A)Where feasible, the Board shall consider	
its response to IRP Panel decisions at the	
Board's next meeting, and shall affirm or	
reject compliance with the decision on the	
public record based on an expressed	
rationale. The decision of the IRP Panel, or	
en banc Standing Panel, shall be final	
regardless of such Board action, to the fullest	
extent allowed by law.	
B)If an IRP Panel decision in a Community	<ul><li>Process of informing Board?</li></ul>
IRP is in favor of the EC, the Board shall	<ul><li>Board response?</li></ul>
comply within 30 days of such IRP Panel	
decision.	
C)If the Board rejects an IRP Panel decision	
without undertaking an appeal to the en banc	
Standing Panel or rejects an en banc Standing	
Panel decision upon appeal, the Claimant or	
the EC may seek enforcement in a court of	
competent jurisdiction. In the case of the EC,	
the EC Administration may convene as soon	
as possible following such rejection and	
consider whether to authorize	
commencement of such an action.	
iv)By submitting a Claim to the IRP Panel, a	
Claimant thereby agrees that the IRP decision is	

intended to be a final, binding arbitration decision with respect to such Claimant. Any Claimant that does not consent to the IRP being a final, binding arbitration may initiate a non-binding IRP if ICANN agrees; provided that such a non-binding IRP decision is not intended to be and shall not be enforceable. Adopted by ICANN Board on 27 May 2016 27	
y) ICANN shall seek to establish means by which community, non-profit Claimants and other Claimants that would otherwise be excluded from utilizing the IRP process may meaningfully participate in and have access to the IRP process.	<ul><li>ProBono practice rules?</li><li>Accessibility rules?</li></ul>