

COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link 1 (Web Link)
Started: Thursday, December 10, 2015 9:21:30 AM
Last Modified: Monday, December 21, 2015 2:49:21 PM
Time Spent: Over a week

PAGE 2: Personal Information

Q1: **Name**	Gordon Chillcott
Q2: **Affiliation**	North American At-Large (NARALO)
Q3: **Responding on behalf of**	Greater Toronto Area Linux Users Group (GTALUG)

PAGE 3: Recommendation 1

Q4: **Is establishing an Empowered Community for enforcing Community Powers a solution that is acceptable to you?(Please see Annex 1 - Recommendation #1: Establishing An Empowered Community For Enforcing Community Powers for more information)**	Yes, I support this recommendation., Comment YES This assumes commitments to participate from three SO's (GNSO, CCNSO and ASO) and two AC's (GAC and ALAC).

PAGE 4: Recommendation 2

Q5: **Is empowering the community through consensus: engage, escalate, enforce a solution that is acceptable to you?(Please see Annex 02 - Recommendation #2: Empowering The Community Through Consensus: Engage, Escalate, Enforce for more information)**	No, I do not support this recommendation., Comment NO Not as currently written. GTALUG is troubled by the notion that an abstention might allow, in particular, removal of a director or even the entire board with only three SO's or AC's supporting the action. For enforcements this critical, all of the communities need to be "on deck".

PAGE 5: Recommendation 3

Q6: **Is redefining ICANN's Bylaws as 'Standard Bylaws' and 'Fundamental Bylaws' a solution that is acceptable to you?(Please see Annex 03 - Recommendation #3: Redefining ICANN's Bylaws As 'Standard Bylaws' And 'Fundamental Bylaws' for more information)**	Yes, I support this recommendation., Comment YES

PAGE 6: Recommendation 4

Q7: Is ensuring community involvement in ICANN decision-making: seven new Community Powers a solution that is acceptable to you? (Please refer to Annex 04: Details on Recommendation 4: Ensuring Community Involvement In ICANN Decision-Making: Seven New Community Powers for more information)	Yes, I support this recommendation., Comment YES GTALUG notes the need for consideration of Limitation of Liability

PAGE 7: Recommendation 5

Q8: Is changing aspects of ICANN's Mission, Commitments and Core Values a solution that is acceptable to you?(Please refer to Annex 05 - Details on Recommendation #5: Changing Aspects Of ICANN's Mission, Commitments And Core Values for more information)	No, I do not support this recommendation., Comment NO GTALUG notes that references consumer trust and consumer choice do not appear in the current draft of the proposal

PAGE 8: Recommendation 6

Q9: Is reaffirming ICANN's commitment to respect internationally recognized human rights as it carries out its Mission a solution that is acceptable to you?(Please refer to Annex 06 - Recommendation #6: Reaffirming ICANN's Commitment to Respect Internationally Recognized Human Rights as it Carries Out Its Mission for more information)	No, I do not support this recommendation., Comment NO GTALUG believes that, a Human Rights statement does not belong in the Bylaws unless it speaks directly to the management and operation of the organization In any case, including what is, in effect, a hard project deadline in a Bylaw is ill-advised. This is especially true where the proposed deadline is rather ambitious.

PAGE 9: Recommendation 7

Q10: Is strengthening ICANN's Independent Review Process a solution that is acceptable to you?(Please refer to Annex 07: Recommendation 7: Strengthening ICANN's Independent Review Process for more information)	Yes, I support this recommendation., Comment YES

PAGE 10: Recommendation 8

Q11: Is fortifying ICANN's request for reconsideration process a solution that is acceptable to you?(Please refer to Annex 08 - Recommendation #8: Improving ICANN's Request For Reconsideration Process for more information)	Yes, I support this recommendation., Comment YES

PAGE 11: Recommendation 9

Q12: Is incorporation of the Affirmation of Commitments a solution that is acceptable to you?(Please refer to Annex 09 - Recommendation #9: Incorporation of the Affirmation of Commitments for more information)	Yes, I support this recommendation., Comment YES

PAGE 12: Recommendation 10

Q13: Is enhancing the accountability of Supporting Organizations and Advisory Committees a solution that is acceptable to you?(Please refer to Annex 10 - Recommendation #10: Enhancing the Accountability of Supporting Organizations and Advisory Committees for more information)	Yes, I support this recommendation., Comment YES

PAGE 13: Recommendation 11

Q14: Is Board obligations regarding GAC Advice (Stress Test 18) a solution that is acceptable to you?(Please refer to Annex 11 - Recommendation #11: Board obligations regarding GAC Advice)	Yes, I support this recommendation., Comment YES

PAGE 14: Recommendation 12

Q15: Is committing to further accountability work in Work Stream 2 a solution that is acceptable to you? (Please refer to Annex 12 - Recommendation #12: Committing to further accountability work in Work Stream 2)	Yes, I support this recommendation., Comment YES The target suggested here seems reasonable for most topics.

PAGE 15: Additional Information

Q16: Please submit comments you have in addition to the information provided above, including on NTIA criteria, CWG-Stewardship requirements and Stress Tests.	*Respondent skipped this question*