JPNIC Response to the third version of Draft Proposal on Work Stream 1 Recommendations by CCWG

JPNIC Response to the third version of Draft Proposal on Work Stream 1 Recommendations by ${\tt CCWG}$

We would like to thank the CCWG for its tremendous efforts in developing the third version of the Draft Proposal on Work Stream 1 Recommendations, balancing inputs from various stakeholders.

As we have expressed in the first and second versions of the proposal, JPNIC believes in the following general principles as priorities in considering ICANN Accountability, including its implementation.

- * Accountability proposal should ensures open, bottom-up and community based decision making process in names related policy development.
- * Maintain the stability of ICANN as an organization operating the management of the critical internet resources and policy development forum for names related policies.

We should be careful of over considering accountability measures which could lead to destabilizing the organization.

Further, overly complex system often leads to instability, with unintended affect which makes it harder to be identified when making changes.

- * Accountability mechanism should be simple, to be comprehensible to be used and pragmatically adoptable in reasonable time-frame.
- * Accountability proposal and implementation should not be a delaying factor in the IANA Stewardship Transition

Based on these principles, JPNIC supports the third version of the proposal on WS1 recommendations.

- The Single Designator Model sufficiently addresses the principles described above. We defer to the consensus in the CCWG and the wider community on the required legal status and a model of the community mechanism.
- We welcome clarification made in the third version of the proposal on a binding IRP, as well as details of the community process which sufficiently accommodates community discussions.
- On the mission statement, we support the position expressed by the NRO that the ICANN Mission Statement must be factually accurate, and therefore recommend that the CCWG does address any material inaccuracies in a timely manner.

Best Regards,

Shigeki Goto President Japan Network Information Center (JPNIC)