**#59**

COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link 1 (Web Link)
Started: Monday, December 21, 2015 12:22:36 AM
Last Modified: Monday, December 21, 2015 12:38:53 AM
Time Spent: 00:16:16

PAGE 2: Personal Information

Q1: Name	Ayden Férdeline
Q2: Affiliation	N/A
Q3: Responding on behalf of	N/A

PAGE 3: Recommendation 1

Q4: Is establishing an Empowered Community for enforcing Community Powers a solution that is acceptable to you?(Please see Annex 1 - Recommendation #1: Establishing An Empowered Community For Enforcing Community Powers for more information)

No, I do not support this recommendation.,

Comment
I partially support this recommendation. This is a strong and effective mechanism for empowering the community and one which must be adopted, but it is clearly a sanction of last resort. The community should be empowered with less severe sanctions -- such as the ability to require an individual board member to participate at an ICANN meeting only via remote participation (instead of having their travel expenses reimbursed, for instance) -- in instances where removing the board or an individual board member would simply be too severe.

PAGE 4: Recommendation 2

Q5: Is empowering the community through consensus: engage, escalate, enforce a solution that is acceptable to you?(Please see Annex 02 - Recommendation #2: Empowering The Community Through Consensus: Engage, Escalate, Enforce for more information)

Yes, I support this recommendation.

PAGE 5: Recommendation 3

Q6: Is redefining ICANN's Bylaws as 'Standard Bylaws' and 'Fundamental Bylaws' a solution that is acceptable to you?(Please see Annex 03 - Recommendation #3: Redefining ICANN's Bylaws As 'Standard Bylaws' And 'Fundamental Bylaws' for more information)

Yes, I support this recommendation.

PAGE 6: Recommendation 4

Q7: **Is ensuring community involvement in ICANN decision-making: seven new Community Powers a solution that is acceptable to you? (Please refer to Annex 04: Details on Recommendation 4: Ensuring Community Involvement In ICANN Decision-Making: Seven New Community Powers for more information)**

Yes, I support this recommendation.

PAGE 7: Recommendation 5

Q8: **Is changing aspects of ICANN's Mission, Commitments and Core Values a solution that is acceptable to you?(Please refer to Annex 05 - Details on Recommendation #5: Changing Aspects Of ICANN's Mission, Commitments And Core Values for more information)**

No, I do not support this recommendation. ,

Comment
I do not oppose ICANN refining its mission statement, commitments, or core values. However, I do not endorse the proposed mission statement. This is because it looks to fulfil a business objective over a moral one. Moral objectives create goals which give organisations meaning. These can range from Starbucks - whose goal is to "create a culture of warmth and belonging, where everyone is welcome" - through to Twitter, who aims to "give everyone the power to create and share ideas and information instantly, without barriers." Compare this with, say, IBM, who aims to "translate advanced technologies into value for our customers through our professional solutions, services and consulting businesses worldwide" or ICANN, whose "Mission is limited to coordinating the development and implementation of policies that are designed to ensure the stable and secure operation of the Domain Name System and are reasonably necessary to facilitate its openness, interoperability, resilience, and/or stability" and you see this is a very narrow objective. I appreciate that ICANN today is a technical body -- but further discussion is needed with the community to determine if this is the ICANN we want operating in 10, 20, or 50 years time.

PAGE 8: Recommendation 6

Q9: **Is reaffirming ICANN's commitment to respect internationally recognized human rights as it carries out its Mission a solution that is acceptable to you?(Please refer to Annex 06 - Recommendation #6: Reaffirming ICANN's Commitment to Respect Internationally Recognized Human Rights as it Carries Out Its Mission for more information)**

Yes, I support this recommendation. ,

Comment
This is a very important addition, but ICANN's proposal is weak. Further consultation with the community should be undertaken to determine if ICANN's current mission statement is fit for purpose.

PAGE 9: Recommendation 7

Q10: **Is strengthening ICANN's Independent Review Process a solution that is acceptable to you?(Please refer to Annex 07: Recommendation 7: Strengthening ICANN's Independent Review Process for more information)**

Yes, I support this recommendation.

PAGE 10: Recommendation 8

Q11: **Is fortifying ICANN's request for reconsideration process a solution that is acceptable to you?(Please refer to Annex 08 - Recommendation #8: Improving ICANN's Request For Reconsideration Process for more information)**

Yes, I support this recommendation.

PAGE 11: Recommendation 9

Q12: **Is incorporation of the Affirmation of Commitments a solution that is acceptable to you?(Please refer to Annex 09 - Recommendation #9: Incorporation of the Affirmation of Commitments for more information)**

No, I do not support this recommendation.,

Comment
I do not agree with the statement that ICANN should "enforc[e] its existing policy relating to WHOIS, subject to applicable laws." ICANN's current policy on WHOIS is weak and in need of serious reform. The idea that we should re-affirm it strikes me as problematic.

PAGE 12: Recommendation 10

Q13: **Is enhancing the accountability of Supporting Organizations and Advisory Committees a solution that is acceptable to you?(Please refer to Annex 10 - Recommendation #10: Enhancing the Accountability of Supporting Organizations and Advisory Committees for more information)**

Yes, I support this recommendation.

PAGE 13: Recommendation 11

Q14: **Is Board obligations regarding GAC Advice (Stress Test 18) a solution that is acceptable to you?(Please refer to Annex 11 - Recommendation #11: Board obligations regarding GAC Advice)**

Yes, I support this recommendation.

PAGE 14: Recommendation 12

Q15: **Is committing to further accountability work in Work Stream 2 a solution that is acceptable to you? (Please refer to Annex 12 - Recommendation #12: Committing to further accountability work in Work Stream 2)**

Yes, I support this recommendation.

PAGE 15: Additional Information

Q16: Please submit comments you have in addition to the information provided above, including on NTIA criteria, CWG-Stewardship requirements and Stress Tests.

Respondent skipped this question