


#55



COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link 1 (Web Link)

Started: Sunday, December 20, 2015 2:06:06 AM

Last Modified: Sunday, December 20, 2015 2:37:57 AM

Time Spent: 00:31:50

PAGE 2: Personal Information

Q1: Name	Paul Szyndler
Q2: Affiliation	ccNSO
Q3: Responding on behalf of	au Domajn Administration

PAGE 3: Recommendation 1

Q4: Is establishing an Empowered Community for enforcing Community Powers a solution that is acceptable to you?(Please see Annex 1 - Recommendation #1: Establishing An Empowered Community For Enforcing Community Powers for more information)	Yes, I support this recommendation., Comment auDA supports the Board's comments on inspection rights. The Board's suggested solution is one way to solve the issue...there may be others. The CCWG should discuss.
---	--

PAGE 4: Recommendation 2

Q5: Is empowering the community through consensus: engage, escalate, enforce a solution that is acceptable to you?(Please see Annex 02 - Recommendation #2: Empowering The Community Through Consensus: Engage, Escalate, Enforce for more information)	Yes, I support this recommendation., Comment A process needs to be laid out for how the thresholds will work in the event that there are new SOs or ACs.
--	--

PAGE 5: Recommendation 3

Q6: Is redefining ICANN's Bylaws as 'Standard Bylaws' and 'Fundamental Bylaws' a solution that is acceptable to you?(Please see Annex 03 - Recommendation #3: Redefining ICANN's Bylaws As 'Standard Bylaws' And 'Fundamental Bylaws' for more information)	Yes, I support this recommendation.
--	-------------------------------------

PAGE 6: Recommendation 4

Q7: Is ensuring community involvement in ICANN decision-making: seven new Community Powers a solution that is acceptable to you? (Please refer to Annex 04: Details on Recommendation 4: Ensuring Community Involvement In ICANN Decision-Making: Seven New Community Powers for more information)

Yes, I support this recommendation.,

Comment

Our support is subject to a solution being found for the IANA budget issue raised by the Board and a number of others. Any 'interference' in the IANA budget must only be possible with the agreement of the operational communities. On Standard by changes we note the Board comments and agree that further clarity is required.

PAGE 7: Recommendation 5

Q8: Is changing aspects of ICANN's Mission, Commitments and Core Values a solution that is acceptable to you?(Please refer to Annex 05 - Details on Recommendation #5: Changing Aspects Of ICANN's Mission, Commitments And Core Values for more information)

Yes, I support this recommendation.,

Comment

Whilst auDA is comfortable with the concept of narrowing the mission we remain concerned about the lack of clarity around the 'regulation' paragraphs. We remain concerned that the drafting instructions given to the lawyers will not be clear enough for them to craft the relevant bylaws.

PAGE 8: Recommendation 6

Q9: Is reaffirming ICANN's commitment to respect internationally recognized human rights as it carries out its Mission a solution that is acceptable to you?(Please refer to Annex 06 - Recommendation #6: Reaffirming ICANN's Commitment to Respect Internationally Recognized Human Rights as it Carries Out Its Mission for more information)

No, I do not support this recommendation.,

Comment

A considerable amount of work needs to be done before this would be acceptable. auDA expressly endorses the comments of the Board on this recommendation.

PAGE 9: Recommendation 7

Q10: Is strengthening ICANN's Independent Review Process a solution that is acceptable to you?(Please refer to Annex 07: Recommendation 7: Strengthening ICANN's Independent Review Process for more information)

Yes, I support this recommendation.

PAGE 10: Recommendation 8

Q11: Is fortifying ICANN's request for reconsideration process a solution that is acceptable to you?(Please refer to Annex 08 - Recommendation #8: Improving ICANN's Request For Reconsideration Process for more information)

Yes, I support this recommendation.

PAGE 11: Recommendation 9

Q12: Is incorporation of the Affirmation of Commitments a solution that is acceptable to you?(Please refer to Annex 09 - Recommendation #9: Incorporation of the Affirmation of Commitments for more information)

Yes, I support this recommendation.

PAGE 12: Recommendation 10

Q13: Is enhancing the accountability of Supporting Organizations and Advisory Committees a solution that is acceptable to you?(Please refer to Annex 10 - Recommendation #10: Enhancing the Accountability of Supporting Organizations and Advisory Committees for more information)

Yes, I support this recommendation.

PAGE 13: Recommendation 11

Q14: Is Board obligations regarding GAC Advice (Stress Test 18) a solution that is acceptable to you?(Please refer to Annex 11 - Recommendation #11: Board obligations regarding GAC Advice)

Yes, I support this recommendation.,

Comment

Our support is now dependant on the finalisation of the discussion commenced by Greg Shatan on behalf of the IPC. We see this as a real issue to which a solution must be found prior to sign off.

PAGE 14: Recommendation 12

Q15: Is committing to further accountability work in Work Stream 2 a solution that is acceptable to you? (Please refer to Annex 12 - Recommendation #12: Committing to further accountability work in Work Stream 2)

No, I do not support this recommendation.,

Comment

auDA supports the Board's comments on WS2.

PAGE 15: Additional Information

Q16: Please submit comments you have in addition to the information provided above, including on NTIA criteria, CWG-Stewardship requirements and Stress Tests.

auDA believes that all comments on the draft proposal need to be considered carefully and that rushing to finalisation is an error. auDA is concerend that lack of clarity now will lead to serious and complex problems arising as the bylaws are being drafted.
