ICANN Moderator: Maryam Bakoshi 04-29-16/11:00 am CT Confirmation # 7743893 Page 1

## ICANN

## Moderator: Maryam Bakoshi April 29, 2016 11:00 am CT

Coordinator:	The recording has been started and the call is being recorded. If you have any objection, you may disconnect at this time. Thank you.
Maryam Bakoshi:	Thank you very much, (Regina). Vidushi, over to you now. Thank you.
Vidushi Marda:	Thank you. Hello everyone. Good morning, good afternoon, good evening. This is (Unintelligible) and we are at the (CCWG) (HRV) (Unintelligible) call (unintelligible).
	So this call was actually scheduled so that we could discuss and an (unintelligible) on our (workflow) files and (unintelligible) right now. So that's pretty much the agenda (unintelligible) trying to go through each focused item. And then talk about whether (unintelligible) issues and talk about some (unintelligible).
	Does anyone have any suggestions or additional topics that they'd like to discuss? Okay, I don't see any hands up and I don't see anything in the Chat

(unintelligible) that we need (unintelligible).

So (unintelligible). So (unintelligible) start by discussing the first agenda item which was developed in the schedule. We do have an update on that. Corrine or perhaps Niels is it a (unintelligible).

I'm sorry, can everyone hear me Oh, okay, no I Corrine I thought I saw his name, but then I (unintelligible) again. So (unintelligible) that we could get in. Go ahead.

Vidushi Marda: Hi Niels.

- Vidushi Marda: (Unintelligible) that's fine because (unintelligible) first agenda item -- it is (unintelligible) developing of the table. So that I hope you could give us a short update on what's been going on with that.
- Niels ten Oever: Yes, I'm more than happy to do so (unintelligible). So I haven't been able to be in contact with Marilia yet. But what I did (unintelligible) is I have made in view of several designers that could work with us.

And based on price and the quality of their work -- and what they have been doing in the past -- I selected a visualizer with the models - data visualization in the past. And she was now going through the visualization and I'm having a call with her next week.

I sent her some (unintelligible) of ICANN and the information behind the table -- so we'll be working on that.

Vidushi Marda: Okay Niels that sounds really good -- so that sounds like you've got - can use more than (unintelligible) -- so thank you for that.

Everyone, do - know by way if you could have like a (unintelligible) or do you know of any interesting idea of how it's going to look -- or are you considering - are you electing more than from the (segment)?

- Niels ten Oever: That is completely that's completely depending on what the graphic designer can do. So it's also depending on her understanding of ICANN and the relation of things. So I'll be much better equipped to work on that next week -to tell you next weekend -- I will do the first - soon as I have the call with the designer.
- Vidushi Marda: All right. Thank you so much Niels. That's really excellent. The second item is in (PBC) and (unintelligible) procedures, which I will (unintelligible).

(Unintelligible). So we actually send the (costs) or (docs) on there with you and on the (unintelligible) draft that we can work with.

And basically when we're doing the final (unintelligible), we kind of divided, you know, the (unintelligible) board includes (unintelligible) which is in accordance with the table that we have used.

And we have significant success in it. We have accessed (ability) and diversity. We have accessed security (unintelligible) and (unintelligible).

What everyone exactly - right now with respect to developing this (paper) is to make it more concise -- and also to make it more informative and lesser (unintelligible) so we don't (unintelligible) coming into ICANN just sort of (unintelligible) procedure and different procedures (unintelligible). So that is, as I said, sort of these (unintelligible) and again I really want to kind of give you (unintelligible) have found and (unintelligible) to just some sort of feedback or help us develop this further -- so I will (unintelligible) it.

(Unintelligible) is going to be (unintelligible) as well -- and so we look forward to that. Is anyone - I mean if no one has any more questions, it is - in terms of this item, I can go ahead and ask Aarti to give us an update on the (unintelligible).

((Crosstalk))

Vidushi Marda: See any hands - yes, oh I see.

((Crosstalk))

Aarti Bhavana: (Unintelligible). So (unintelligible) (in New York), I just wanted to clarify that (unintelligible) with issues, then (unintelligible) by what our specific (funding) (unintelligible).

(Unintelligible) has just begun, but there is nothing that's come out yet. They still have the document from the (Unintelligible) Team, so they're just looking at all the (unintelligible) efforts and (unintelligible) and review.

So (unintelligible) documents they're going to onboard and how to (unintelligible) a new vendor and work starting - the new vendor will begin the asking the questions for which there's (unintelligible).

So I was just wondering that instead of (unintelligible) maybe look at (unintelligible) issues from that perspective. (Unintelligible) could begin (unintelligible) launch up -- receive the new version and (unintelligible) work as soon as the system's up. So this could be a more (unintelligible).

And (unintelligible). And so that's - I was also trying to avoid, you know, the last (unintelligible) on (unintelligible).

So I was just thinking what you think about that.

Vidushi Marda: Exactly. So (unintelligible) that I want to know -- are there anyone else having these comments? Does anyone want to comment that it'd be fine to add to that?

Okay, so actually I have simply do agree because I think the idea behind (arguing) for it was to create small (unintelligible) information that would not only have all agreed -- but also helping (unintelligible) in the ICANN.

And I think if we focus on who is the (unintelligible) aspect and we will see if it doesn't (unintelligible) and that would help both cases. So I think it's a great idea to not sign (unintelligible), but also keep in mind that we're looking at (unintelligible) and we want to (unintelligible) in their processes as well.

So maybe, you know, don't depend on them, I guess, but just (unintelligible) to work the angles that they will be looking at. And does that sound okay to you?

Aarti Bhavana: Yes.

Vidushi Marda: Good, so that we can (unintelligible) human rights. And then we can keep our staging as in then more work on that and then I think if we (unintelligible) can take on from there -- that sounds good.

Okay, great. So we look forward to that and then so - I mean - but we (unintelligible) then on the call today. But I'm guessing that he does (unintelligible) in touch, so that'll (unintelligible) if we could get started on that. So thank you -- thank you very much.

## Aarti Bhavana: Yes.

Vidushi Marda: Does anyone have any more questions for Aarti before we move on to the next item? Okay, I don't see any hands up, so we (unintelligible) -- we can move on.

> The next item is (unintelligible) economic access. (Unintelligible), but neither of them are on this call, so maybe we could write to them on the mailing list and ask them to put an update.

(Unintelligible), does anyone else have an update that's - they can give us (unintelligible) -- if you're aware of anything else? No, I don't see any hands and we'll (unintelligible) write them on the mailing list and hopefully (unintelligible).

The next item is Gegraphic reviews. I'm sorry, that is my (unintelligible). The meeting is (unintelligible) is (Doug), so I guess they're going to have to report (unintelligible) Aarti.

(Unintelligible) be good if you could ask (unintelligible) update. At this point, are there any other comments that anyone wanted to add in this? This is (unintelligible) been a very short call -- I think there's going to be another call (unintelligible) call.

But as soon as we've gone to (AB and C), I'm going to list and we're going to (unintelligible) this would be others. (Unintelligible), was wondering what - if there were any other issues about anything else (unintelligible) discuss at this point.

If there is some work we have decided in our first call, we were going to talk about updates in this call. And then in our next call, we're going to focus (unintelligible).

So are there any other issues that we'd like to talk about? Yes, hi Aarti, please go ahead.

- Aarti Bhavana: I just wanted to clarify that (unintelligible) small (unintelligible) small a short drop (unintelligible) procedures?
- Vidushi Marda: Yes, I don't know (unintelligible)...

Vidushi Marda: (Unintelligible) - how big we wanted, but definitely not too long. So I think people (unintelligible) great and, you know, within the time -- putting as much information as we can in this (unintelligible).

So I think (unintelligible) -- that sounds really good at this point.

- Aarti Bhavana: Okay, perfect, thanks.
- Vidushi Marda: Okay, thanks Aarti
- Vidushi Marda: Are there any other questions or comments we need at this time, because and so we've actually come to the end of our agenda items as far as updates that we can (unintelligible) confirm.

So are there any other issues that we need to talk about? Okay, I don't see any hands or anything in the Chat. So this has been, I think, a very, very short call, but thank you to everyone who's joined.

Let's definitely keep in touch over the mailing list so that we understand exactly what each particular focus area is doing. And yes, thank you very much and let's talk again on the next call. I think we are (unintelligible) short calls, so this is good.

Yes, thank you everyone and I thank you for joining and let's talk soon. You can stop the recording now Maryam.

Maryam Bakoshi: Thank you very much, everyone, for attending the meeting. (Regina), you may stop the recording. Thank you for your support today. Thanks.

Coordinator: Thank you so much, ma'am.

END