IDN Implementation Guidelines (IDNG) Working Group (WG)

Notes from Meeting on 11 August, 2016

Meeting Attendees (in alphabetical order)

- WG members:
  1. Dennis Tanaka
  2. Jian Zhang
  3. Kal Feher
  4. Mats Dufberg

- Staff:
  5. Sarmad Hussain

Meeting Notes

The WG members continued the discussion on the document and the recommendations to be proposed for public comment.

1. **Updated Recommendation 6, Section 2.4.** It was suggested to move the revised recommendation to a new section on User Acceptance. The title of the section may be reviewed later.

2. **Current Recommendation 9, Section 2.4.** The recommendation was reviewed and it was suggested that it may be considered in conjunction with the new recommendation on reference second level LGRs, as discussed further below.

3. **New recommendation on reference second level LGRs, Section 2.4.** The proposed recommendation was discussed by the WG. It was suggested that the current wording may not be effective as it is too detailed, especially the last part, which recommends publishing the deviations from the reference LGRs. This is because these LGRs may change and cause burden on the registries, with not significant benefit for the end-users. It was also discussed that this recommendation has a possible overlap with Recommendation 9. Thus, the two would be considered in each other’s context and be revised for further discussion. This may result in one or more revised recommendations.

4. **IDN Variants, Section 2.5.** The WG members agreed to take this up in the next meeting.

Action Items

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S. No.</th>
<th>Action Items</th>
<th>Owner</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td><strong>Staff to move Recommendation 6 to a new section as suggested</strong></td>
<td>SH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>In Section 2.4, review and suggest changes to the new proposed recommendation regarding the Reference 2nd Level LGRs and merge with Recommendation 9, which is on more general IDN policy and collaboration in other aspects of IDNs in the future. This may result in one or more revised recommendations.</td>
<td>DT</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>