IDN Implementation Guidelines (IDNG) Working Group (WG)

Notes from Meeting on 02 June, 2016

Meeting Attendees (in alphabetical order)

WG members:

1. Dennis Tanaka
2. Edmon Chung
3. Satish Babu

Staff:

4. Sarmad Hussain

Meeting Notes

The WG members continued the discussion on the document and the recommendations to be proposed for public comment.

1. **Review of recommendations in Section 2.1: Transition.** The recommendation suggested by CD was tabled until he can join and explain it further.

2. **Section 2.2: Terminology.** As the WG is considering two main options, one to only address relevant glossary items used in the document and other to make a list of broader relevant terminology and recommending it for its consistent use by the community, it was decided to document these options for the community feedback. It was also suggested that beyond deciding on this process, the actual list of terminology should be finalized towards the end of the work, to ensure that all relevant terms are identified and included.

3. **Section 2.3: Format of IDN Tables.** The WG suggested keeping the current recommendation 3 but tailoring it to refer it to the new LGR format. The WG members discussed whether the new LGR format should be recommended as just an alternate to existing ones or it should be required as the only format going forward. It was suggested that the recommendation should be grandfather previous IDN tables but new IDN tables must be in the LGR format. The WG members agreed to discuss further between the two alternative possibilities after DT has suggested text for the recommendation.

4. **Section 2.4: Consistency of IDN Tables.** It was noted that in addition to the consistency in format (in Section 2.3) this section focuses on consistency of substance. Current recommendation 4 will be updated by the WG to include reference to the LGR format.

   WG determined to keep the current recommendation 6, though after some alterations as the new LGR format may contain some to the information currently mentioned explicitly to be separately documented, e.g., the references may be included in the LGR itself.

   For current recommendation 9, reference to root zone LGR work and generation panels should be added.
The topic of reference LGRs for the second level being developed by ICANN was brought up for discussion. The WG agreed to review the topic once the LGRs are published for public comment and then draft an appropriate recommendation. However, it was noted that a separate recommendation should be considered for this purpose. This recommendation should also include some text on what items should a registry consider if it intends to deviate from these reference LGRs.

Current recommendations 6 and 9 will be reviewed and reworded after the reference LGRs are published and available for review by the WG.

5. **Section 2.5: IDN Variants.** The WG noted that the section lists multiple dimensions related to the IDN variants. It was decided to first determine the relevant dimensions and then, based on the short-list, suggest corresponding recommendations. The WG agreed to develop a list of relevant dimensions and layout options to address these items for further discussion.

6. **Face to face meeting at Helsinki.** Staff apprised that no face to face meeting is currently scheduled at Helsinki due to the meeting’s focus on policy. Based on WG’s request, staff will look at the possibility of organizing a meeting (2-3 pm (or alternate) Helsinki time on 30 June).

### Action Items

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S. No.</th>
<th>Action Items</th>
<th>Owner</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td><strong>Staff to update the draft Guidelines document based on the discussion and circulate</strong></td>
<td>SH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td><strong>Suggest updated text for current recommendation 3 in section 2.3 to include reference to the LGR format</strong></td>
<td>DT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td><strong>Suggest updated text for current recommendation 4 in Section 2.4 to include reference to the LGR format</strong></td>
<td>DT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td><strong>Develop a list of relevant dimensions and layout options to address these for Section 2.5</strong></td>
<td>EC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td><strong>Staff to investigate the feasibility of a meeting in Helsinki</strong></td>
<td>SH</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>