

# Major Issues: IRP

- Funding, costs, accessibility, independence
- Scope –
  - Reconciliation of expert panel conclusions
  - “fundamentally irrational” decision
  - Community/single member accountability
- Standard – abuse of discretion vs. *de novo*
- Standing:
  - Prospective harm (governmental confusion)
  - Individual constituencies without community support; “community” IRPs where minority does not support (IPC)
  - “Permissive” approach vs. abuse prevention
- Abuse:
  - Require participation in PDP for standing
  - Fee shifting for frivolous actions
  - Other abuse mitigation?
- Board: “roll back to 2013 standard”
- Prompt action to establish CCWG sub-group to work on details