NATHALIE PEREGRINE:	Good morning, good afternoon, and good evening, everybody, and welcome to the At-Large Technology Taskforce call on the 5th of October, 2015.
	On the call today, we have Dev Anand Teelucksingh, Glenn McKnight, and Olivier Crepin-Leblond.
	We received an apology – a tentative apology – from Judith Hellerstein.
	From staff, we have myself, Nathalie Peregrine.
	I'd like to remind you all to please remember to state your names before speaking for transcription purposes. Thank you ever so much, and over to you, Dev.
DEV ANAND TEELUCKSINGH:	Thank you very much, Nathalie. On this October 5, 2015 call, I just thought it would be best to just simply go over what we're going to be doing at the Technology Taskforce meeting at the ICANN 54 meeting in Dublin.
	I started with preparing the slides. What I intend to do is simply walk through, get some feedback as to what we should or shouldn't do, or should add or shouldn't add. For that I'll probably just share my screen.
	I posted a link to the presentation. Hopefully, I'm going to do this correctly. Okay, I think people should be seeing my screen now.

Note: The following is the output resulting from transcribing an audio file into a word/text document. Although the transcription is largely accurate, in some cases may be incomplete or inaccurate due to inaudible passages and grammatical corrections. It is posted as an aid to the original audio file, but should not be treated as an authoritative record.

GLENN MCKNIGHT: Sorry, I cannot.

DEV ANAND TEELUCKSINGH: Of course. Okay, that's right. [As follows] very, very closely what was done for the previous meeting. Let me just walk straight into it, and one can jump in and make any suggestions.

What I propose for the Dublin agenda would be introduction to the Technology Taskforce, a summary of the Technology Taskforce activities since ICANN 53, then do a Technology Taskforce newsletter update. That could be either you, Glen, or Judith. The next steps for At-Large proposal, e-books, and captioning. Then, an update on the LACRALO mailing list issues. Then the six items, the At-Large Summit II recommendations progress report.

I'm thinking that's all we really need to cover for the Dublin meeting. Any thoughts? Is there anything else that we want to add to this agenda or for Dublin or that's okay?

GLENN MCKNIGHT: It's fine with me.

DEV ANAND TEELUCKSINGH: Okay, great. And, of course, [inaudible], so it's hard for me to see the chat. Then with that in mind, I can [inaudible] slide and just move on to the introduction to the Technology Taskforce.

I updated the introduction to the Technology Taskforce, and I restated it like this, to say that the Technology Taskforce evaluates and reviews information and communication technology that can help the ICANN At-Large community better be able to accomplish the At-Large and ALAC's role in ICANN activities. Anyone interested in information and communication technology and can be applied [inaudible] ICANN At-Large and other ICANN [inaudible] are welcome to join.

I'm thinking that type of text will be able to be used for our outreach message [inaudible] e-mail to the other SOs and other ACs in ICANN, for people to join this group.

Seeing no one mentioning anything, I'll take it as that's good.

One thing that I also did, I updated the Technology Taskforce member maps. At least we now have an increase in persons from Europe [inaudible] 100%, but we do probably need more persons from Europe.

Also, just to note, well, we have a lot of persons, but at the same time, not all these persons are active. Should we do anything before Dublin or should we just list them as such and then afterwards decide what to do? Especially for those persons that don't participate even on the mailing list. I see some persons that may not attend calls, but at least they e-mail a comment about something on the mailing list. There's quite a few that are not active in any way, no e-mail communication, they don't send apologies or anything of that sort. Any thoughts on what should be done there?

GLENN MCKNIGHT: I was curious, first of all, what were you thinking of doing in terms of engagement with those, I guess you would say, absentee members? What was your plan?

DEV ANAND TEELUCKSINGH: Thanks, Glenn. Good description of team members. What I was thinking of doing was keeping them on – well, keep them for the Dublin meeting. But then, afterwards, reach out to those absentee member and ask them are they willing to be involved in this group or not? Probably not as blunt as that, but just to say that we've noticed that you haven't been seen on the calls, on the mailing list, and we want to know what's up. That was going to be my suggestion. Do you have any other thoughts on that?

GLENN MCKNIGHT: Yeah. I think you should maybe – I would suggest that we, each of us, take a number of individuals and do a personal e-mail to them, doing the soft approach instead of saying get on the pot or get off. We miss you. More of a gentle, personal e-mail. If you had the list and you identified people who are not coming, just split that list as co-chair and Judith, I don't mind taking a third. And if you take a third and Judith takes a third, we do that personal e-mail thing, "we really miss you" sort of approach. That might be a better way to approach it.

DEV ANAND TEELUCKSINGH: Absolutely. It is probably what I was trying to say, Glenn. Maybe we could add that as an action item for after Dublin, to reach out to the absentee members of the group in order to get them to engage more.

GLENN MCKNIGHT: Yes.

DEV ANAND TEELUCKSINGH: Okay, let's capture that as an action item. Second thing is I noticed, actually, there were quite a few people that joined the Technology Taskforce calls that were not formal members. For example, the LACNIC call was actually very informative. It was most popularly attended. Alfredo Calderon, [inaudible] Hussain, Siranush Vardanyan, Carlton Samuels who attended the call. Maybe we should, probably as another action item, is to contact those persons that did attend the call – TTF call – and ask them if they're willing to join the Technology Taskforce.

GLENN MCKNIGHT: When I was on the webinar for NCUC last week, on the capacity building event document, that unfortunately very little people in ALAC actually responded to, the interesting thing is how they were interested in how to get educated on many of the technology. Given the size of NCUC, we may be able to get a lot new blood into the working committee by reaching out to that constituency in particular. Now there's a new chair, so it's probably a good time to reach out to them.

DEV ANAND TEELUCKSINGH: Okay, thanks, Glenn. Well, in that case… Although we essentially started really describing in a simpler form what the Technology Taskforce is about, perhaps let's see if we can get that outreach message issues before the call, and then point them to where the meeting is in Dublin. So to say, "This is the Technology Taskforce. Here's what we do. We are meeting in Dublin at date and time in whatever room. We welcome anyone to attend." Let's see if we can get that outreach message because it's pretty much complete in terms of what the introductory text is and so forth.

Nathalie raised a question. Let me read it for the record. "Would it be possible for TTF members to be part of the onboarding program for new ALSes and assist [inaudible] accredited ALSes with the communication tools used in At-Large? And [inaudible] being a mentor might prove to be the work that some TTF members like to be involved in." Thanks, Nathalie, for that.

My answer to that would be yes. I recall that it wasn't very specifically the TTF, but it was myself and you, Nathalie, that worked on the communication tools for the capacity building webinar. We went through all of the different communication tools that we use.

I do think that… Especially as part of the outreach and engagement – well, I would say this is more engagement aspects. I think perhaps we do need to really look at structuring some sort of mini-tutorial. I mean, onboarding program, definitely yes, but we need tutorials for any new person to get involved in working group. I'm thinking a little screen [inaudible], short videos, that type of thing.

I was looking at things as part of the Outreach and Engagement Working Group, things using ICANNLearn and so forth. But to be quite honest, it could just be simple videos with links on the wiki, just to [inaudible] what we want.

I think somebody's hand is raised. Nathalie, go ahead.

NATHALIE PEREGRINE: Thank you for this, Dev. I agree with what you say completely. I also wanted to share with you something we've seen with the recent accredited ALSes. In our onboarding program, we're giving them the tools to find knowledge on information. We're not giving them the tools to take part. I agree that videos on the wiki are good, but they still need to know how to connect to the wiki. They still need to understand just how to take part in a confuse call.

We had a closed series of webinars where each ALS was to showcase the organization to the RALO chairs and the ALAC chair, and nine out of ten of them could not connect to these [inaudible] connections despite e-mail instructions, etc. Maybe the organization [inaudible] to the complete flood of information that they get to At-Large.

So I was wondering, hence why I was talking about the mentoring, is that maybe simple one-on-one calls. We don't need to do Doodles. There aren't that many ALSes… We're not accrediting one a week. Maybe we could do it more personalized because some people have gaps of knowledge where others don't. Equally bringing this back to the TTF members who are disappearing off the grid. Initially when the TTF started, it was based on testing tools. There was a lot of tool testing

every week. We had a [normal] TTF call and an additional tool testing tool. This has died down a little because we have slowly [inaudible] running out of tools to test, and ICANN is taking on a bigger role regarding this.

Maybe we can work out better with some members needing to show what they know, therefore giving them a role as mentor will still mean they go through tools. Maybe someone fiddling around with the wiki will find out upgrades and other [inaudible] macros that we haven't because we always use the same thing.

But this could be a path to stimulate additional kind of role as a TTF member.

DEV ANAND TEELUCKSINGH: Thanks, Nathalie. I'll note the Adobe Connect room chat here. I think this probably is the way to go. I think, perhaps, all of the engagement aspect is something that's captured more by the Outreach and Engagement Subcommittee.

I think definitely the TTF can play its part, especially in the terms of the communication tool, in terms of how to use the wiki, how do you connect to a working group meeting? I think we can really do something in that regard and I guess be part of that mentoring group.

Also, you both mentioned a very good point. What you can do is probably get the absentee members of the TTF involved in doing like a show-and-tell aspect where they show some technology they are using. I think that was an item that Glenn had and I thought it was a very good

idea. We need just to highlight what tools patient are using in their ALSes that ICANN may not even be aware of, or we may not be aware of.

Any thoughts or comments from Glenn?

GLENN MCKNIGHT: Yeah. When I did a survey of the past year's attendance on our own RALO, we had 51% of our ALSes, which are actually relatively new, were not attending any calls. So we have a huge number are completely absent. All kinds of excuses not to come in, and there isn't a proper substitute. They may put a name in their application, like, "If I can't make it because I'm sick or whatever, so-and-so will do it." Well, not really. I don't think they take that seriously.

Now, for example, San Francisco, Constantine [inaudible] was the representative for the Bay Area. [inaudible] has come on board. Very good. A really good example of someone who has actually come on board. She's [inaudible] fellowship [inaudible]. I'll see her in Montreal. I'm mentoring her. I'm not going to be able to save everyone to get involved. I can only mentor so many people. That's what I did with Judith. Now she's very motivated and she's active.

But our mentorship program that we did in London was a disaster. People didn't even meet their mentors, never mind anything else. And they didn't even talk to them prior to going out to find out what their needs were. I don't know about this mentoring thing. It's not well-funded. It's an ad hoc concept.

I don't mind if we all get allocated. The ones who are active, assign themselves to one person who's not active, find out what their needs are talk to them personally, find out what their interests are. They may be really fascinating people. Get them to come in and give them a task to do a presentation on something.

As one of the examples a couple of months ago, I did a presentation on captions, which was an open source tool of converting. Very simple presentation. It doesn't take a lot. Only a few slides. But it was an example consistent to the tools we're trying to suggest. That's the sort of things that we [inaudible] turned on saying, "I'm doing some interesting stuff," and assign them 10 minutes of presentation. They have skin in the game.

The problem is we have too many calls of big-mouth people never shutting up and just constant drone and it drives people away. You [inaudible] and they're tired of it. We've got to get them involved and engaged.

DEV ANAND TEELUCKSINGH: Okay. Well, this is more of an outreach and engagement aspect for the subcommittee part of it. By all means, when you have the discussion at the Dublin meeting at the outreach and engagement meeting, share these good points, Glenn.

Going back a little bit, trying to get on the Technology Taskforce side of things, I think definitely, as the TTF can assist on part of the engagement aspects, especially in terms of how do we use the communication tools, be it Adobe Connect, be it the wiki and so forth, [it does] help.

I think, yes, including the one-on-one, but definitely come up with a plan of how the wiki is used. The thing is, of course, all of this is in flux itself. [inaudible] challenge. One of the big changes that's going to happen is the At-Large website in terms of how people in the At-Large community get their information about ICANN activities.

I think, definitely, let's start on some ideas for mini-tutorials for the wiki, for Adobe Connect.

GLENN MCKNIGHT: Sure.

DEV ANAND TEELUCKSINGH: All right. Let's swing right back to the agenda quickly, to the PDF, to the presentation. Highlighting the TTF members. I also [inaudible] slide just to note the other TTF members that are outside of the At-Large community that [inaudible] join the TTF.

Then a summary slide about the Technology Taskforce, [inaudible] previous slide for the Buenos Aires meeting. Who can be in the TTF? How often do we meet? The workspace where we [talk about] activities, and the TTF wiki page.

Then moving on to slide 11, just to highlight exactly what the TTF [activities] have been doing since ICANN 53. We've now held five conference calls. Just to summarize quickly what we've done… I'm sorry, I switched away from my screen. My apologies.

July, yes. From the July meeting, we talked to Steve Allison of ICANN to discuss Kavi. We evaluated TeamUp, a group calendar, for tracking outreach events. On August 24th, we did a follow-up call with Steve Allison from ICANN to discuss Kavi. We got an update from you, Glenn, on the e-book and the captioning from Judith. We also had a discussion of the LACRALO mailing list issues and also went through the ATLAS II recommendations.

August 25th was also special purpose call with Josh Jenkins to discuss the LACRALO mailing list. Then the September 21st call with LACNIC was a very important session, to discuss the policy development process and the tools they use with Gianina Penski and Kevin Swift from LACNIC. Josh Baulch, also from ICANN, demo'd the ICANN global app. Of course this call right now which [inaudible] for the 54 Dublin meeting.

I see Carlton who has joined the call. Welcome, Carlton. Just [inaudible] comments [inaudible] question. There's always value to constant messaging on technology tools for communication, engagement, and interaction. We know some tools are better than others and some tools, like the translation ones, are not fit to purpose.

So from the technology perspective, the task is to constantly scan for better tools, ones that are more fit to purpose. This is a full-time job, and in [inaudible], I need to have [inaudible]. Thanks, Carlton.

I guess this is perhaps something that we probably do need to survey a little bit more carefully with ICANN. I see [inaudible], specifically Chris Gift in particular. One of the challenges has been that – in terms of technology tools, I hear things in the grapevine as to something that

ICANN is working on. It really should not be like that. It really should be a little bit more closer collaboration between… Not just the Technology Taskforce, but I would say with the ICANN staff of all the ACs and SOs then, and ICANN IT.

I find out certain things that appear indirectly in conversations with other ICANN community members with Global Stakeholder Engagement and so forth. I'll hear something that's happening. This indirect lack of communication needs to be a little bit more focused. It needs to be… As Carlton says, it needs to be on the record. "Here's what we're doing. Here's a summary of our needs, what we're working on." And we have this dialogue – a better dialogue – back and forth.

Chris Gift is on our Technology Taskforce mailing list, but it probably needs a more direct one-on-one type of conversation. Perhaps that can happen at the Dublin meeting, Glenn – well, Judith – to when we meet face-to-face at the TTF meeting in Dublin. I think definitely we should raise this up with them. Because we are interacting with the other ICANN IT staff members – Steve Allison from ICANN, Josh Jenkins from ICANN, IT staff, Josh Baulch from ICANN IT – on various things. It's happening at the operational level, but not at the strategic level. Let's put it that way.

GLENN MCKNIGHT: Sorry, can I interrupt, Dev?

DEV ANAND TEELUCKSINGH: Sure, go ahead.

GLENN MCKNIGHT: Where we are failing is our knowledge management system, which we set it as one of the ATLAS II recommendations. That doesn't seem to be going anywhere. It came up again and again. We met with Ashwin. He throws it back to us. Well, what do you want? And what's the scope of this project?

We need simple things on tracking. We need action items that are tracked. We need communication with our staff that is transparent and up front.

As an example, this capacity building event document, it just went to the wayside. Virtually nobody even noticed it. As an example, if we have a knowledge management system that works, with a proper tracking system, that wouldn't have got lost in the mix because virtually nobody commented on it. So I'm not sure what we're doing with that. I think that's probably the thing that jumps to me as our Technology Taskforce task. That knowledge management system seems to be not going anywhere.

DEV ANAND TEELUCKSINGH: I would say that we have [inaudible] better knowledge on recommendation 26. The challenge is I think it's really… And I think we're discovering now that it's more [inaudible]. We looked at Kavi, for example, and we found it didn't really fit the purpose in terms of policy management. The policy management process system would've incorporated all of these elements about knowledge management,

communication, and better automated collaboration. But you're right. It is a challenge.

GLENN MCKNIGHT: We cannot be shuffling the [inaudible] on the Titanic. That's what we're doing. We keep looking at other tools. Isn't this nice? Oh, it doesn't work. Let's go on. We're not solving the problem. And that's the scope of this ATLAS II recommendation is to have an effective knowledge management system. If anything that jumps out at me that we haven't accomplished or any scope of project is that one. I think we've done a lot.

I'm not demeaning your accomplishment or our accomplishment as a whole, just that one jumps out at me. We can meet with Chris, but the thing that, to me, we need to move forward is this knowledge management system.

DEV ANAND TEELUCKSINGH: Thanks, Glenn. Any other comments or questions from Olivier, Carlton? I see a comment from Carlton as being fully with you, Glenn.

GLENN MCKNIGHT: I'd like to know Olivier's opinion. He's very quiet. Maybe he's…

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: If I'm able to unmute, yeah, I have [inaudible]. Thanks very much. Can you hear me?

GLENN MCKNIGHT: Yes.

DEV ANAND TEELUCKSINGH: Yes, we can.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: I really haven't got very much to say on this. I guess with regards to Kavi and with regards to the tools and so on, it's probably going to be a difficult thing to go against whatever the GNSO has decided to go forward with. If it decides not to go forward with Kavi, then we really have to continue with our own tracking system.

My view, I guess, is to continue with our main policy management – would you call it policy management process system? Continue the development of that.

But frankly I don't see any ICANN-wide move at the moment to working smarter using additional tools than what we currently have.

What I do note, though, is there is going to be some significant changes to the way we work, thanks to our website, our new website. That should be up and running very soon. I think that's our best bet in the short term.

DEV ANAND TEELUCKSINGH: Okay. Thanks, Olivier. I agree. I know that the website is probably one huge badly needed aspect that [inaudible] compared to our old At-Large website.

I think, definitely, let's bring it up with Chris and Ashwin if they are there at the Dublin meeting. I'm [making] it for you, Glenn and Judith. Let's see how can we just work better together in terms of here's our strategic goals, how do we work better with this? Is it that we probably just have an informal chat that's off the record maybe? Maybe they don't want to have something on the public record about upcoming plans and so forth. Maybe something privately, as an idea.

Okay, anything else?

GLENN MCKNIGHT: Yeah, my reaction to that. We've got an FY 17 budget considerations. Maybe we should just put… [They've] always said submit something. No limitation on amounts. Maybe we need to put something on paper, let them react to it.

DEV ANAND TEELUCKSINGH: Okay. Indeed, I think we could also put towards certain things like the various technology tools. For example, I'm more convinced that a group chat system like Slack would be the best thing for the At-Large community… You might need to be muted, Olivier. Let's move on there.

There are projects that we think are useful, and the challenge of course is to finalize on one project that is accessible for all the At-Large community in terms of mobile and platform and accessible –

accessibility in terms of access for differently abled persons and so forth.

So once we have that one solution that we want promote or do testing or whatever, then we can probably submit something to the FY 17 budget.

Just going back to the TTF activities to the slide deck, I mentioned that TTF activities [inaudible] Buenos Aires. I've noted five conference calls we had. I also noted the [Net10] newsletter that was produced for all the ICANN face-to-face meetings. Also the updates that were [inaudible] to the TTF [inaudible] for ATLAS recommendations. For example, I updated translation tools and so forth.

Then the presentation will then go into the TTF newsletter updates. Glenn, you and Judith can update content on that. Then next steps for ATLAS proposals, e-books, and captioning. I have this slide deck from Buenos Aires [inaudible] done. It's [inaudible] update those slides regarding e-books and regarding the captioning project.

Next, I will [inaudible] LACRALO mailing list translation. I was hoping to have done… I see a lot of progress has been made on the mailing list. That call in August was actually very informative with Josh Baulch – I'm sorry, Josh Jenkins. My apologies. A lot of progress was made.

I think the decision point to make is: is this good enough to transition over to? According to Josh Jenkins, it's like five minutes to do so, just to switch over. But the challenge has been to have some sort of presentation to LACRALO in order to explain the changes to the new translation engine.

I'll update the slides accordingly at that point. Then on the slides that have the [Summit 2] recommendations progress report, what was done for LACNIC in terms of recommendation 26, update on the translation tools, and so forth.

I'll probably keep all the slides as-is. I'm thinking let's look at the updates that have been done as part of the reporting done for the ATLAS II Implementation Taskforce. [inaudible] going through the recommendations and updating the notes for each of the recommendations.

Even though it will look like a lot, I'll just go through the highlights of what we've done between Buenos Aires and Dublin.

That would be it in terms of the [Summit 2] recommendations. I'm now seeing two hands raised here. Olivier, go ahead.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Thanks very much, Dev. Can you hear me now?

DEV ANAND TEELUCKSINGH: Yes, we can hear you.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Okay. I was muted earlier and I tried speaking the last time. Okay, I completely missed the boat. I was a bit confused.

A couple of things. First, on the discussion regarding FY 17 budget. If we want to put together some costings, we really need to work on these

very carefully and start thinking how much we estimate things to cost. The best way, really – the best way not be working with ICANN staff, not in a public session, but just on a side session. And that would include Ashwin Rangan and finding out how much things are likely to cost, because some of the work could probably be done in-house. Some of it would probably have to be done outside. That was my first suggestion.

Secondly, I think that our needs might have changed with the new website. We would need to really evaluate how many of our needs have changed with the new website now being there.

By that I mean, yes, the needs are the same, but obviously some of the needs might be catered for by the new website or might be eased or helped by the new website, which would simplify our policy management process system.

I know that Carlton mentioned in there, basically saying let's do something separately and not with ICANN central in the game. As I said, the concern I have is at the moment the emphasis in ICANN is IANA stewardship transition, ICANN accountability, and the rest of it is really… There are a lot of cycles that are getting lost out there in trying to get all of that stuff working. I'm concerned that we might be delayed if we wait so much for ICANN.

Let's do as much we can ourselves. Let's put together the proposals and evaluate them and then see how maybe ICANN can learn from the work that we've done.

As you can see here exactly, it looks so much better. This new website looks so much better with all of the [inaudible] proposals and things.

DEV ANAND TEELUCKSINGH: Well, this is LACNIC—

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Oh no, that's the LACNIC one. Yeah. That could be helpful also. That could also be helpful, this sort of thing. That was one point.

The other point that I wanted to make was to do with the overall deck of slides that you've shown. I'm really concerned. Is it 40 slides that I saw there? If you want to have any significant discussion on the points that you're making – and I think that there needs to be discussion, perhaps not with regards to the summit declaration progress, but in the early parts of the slides, then you're going to run out of time. You'll never finish those. I don't know what to do there. Thank you.

DEV ANAND TEELUCKSINGH: Okay, thanks, Oliver. What I can do is probably – perhaps have a section of this ATLAS II recommendation [inaudible] key discussion points, in terms of FY 17 anyway. I mean, I will say one of the key things will be the tools that we are looking at – for example, TeamUp for the group calendars, for the Outreach and Engagement Subcommittee, that tool. There is also the LACRALO mailing list. Perhaps [inaudible] transition, after we switch over, have that more formally recognized as a proper project, so more resources could be devoted to that, rather than the one volunteer doing it in his spare time.

Things like the group chat applications, like Slack, for example, so we can have a better group chat experience that is suitable. We've already lost a lot of information through Skype.

What I can do, if we are discussing from key ideas for FY 17, that should be an agenda item in the slides, as opposed to ATLAS II reporting. What happened is that the slide, ATLAS Summit II recommendations progress report, we can just change the focus as possible FY 17 projects that we are looking at and just do it like that. How about that? Would that be a better approach? Or is this something for the actual separate session at Dublin for FY 17 budget requests? You may be muted, Olivier. Go ahead.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Thanks very much for this, Dev. I was, indeed. I always forget to press the button. I think there will be a separate session for FY 17 budget discussions. Unfortunately, I do not remember the schedules offhand like this. I know there will be budget discussion that is organized by the Finance department that is specific to the Finance & Budget Subcommittee and the At-Large Finance & Budget Subcommittee.

We would someone, one or two people, there. But it's not usually the time when specific budget items are discussed. I know that Alan Greenberg has mentioned in a recent discussion he wants to have a call of the Finance & Budget Subcommittee or maybe a face-to-face discussion. It's highly overdue. I would suggest it's during one of those calls of the Finance & Budget Subcommittee that this issue has to be brought forward.

DEV ANAND TEELUCKSINGH: Okay. Thanks, Olivier. What I can do, then… Let's adjust the TTF agenda, then, for Dublin and let's have possible FY 17 budget requests by TTF. Then have a discussion based on those ideas, rather than a straight reporting of ATLAS II Summit requests. Then those slide ideas can then… Because I don't think it's just about finance and budget alone. We'll have to first talk it over with ICANN's IT in terms of Ashwin, Chris, and other persons first, just to get some idea of what they're planning or what they're thinking, and then formally submit it as a proper finance & budget item for FY 17.

Glenn? I don't know if Glenn is still on the call.

GLENN MCKNIGHT: Yeah, I'm here. Let me react to the comment a couple of minutes ago by Olivier. Yes, it is a lot of slides. The only thing I can say is I wouldn't reduce it, but I would just… The slideshow will be available, but you can skip or just go quickly over a number of them. You've got to leave at least 15-20 minutes for the participants to discuss stuff. Right now, if we gave even a minute-and-a-half per slide, we use up our hour.

DEV ANAND TEELUCKSINGH: Yeah, understood. What I was planning to do is I would just keep a complete record for somebody who comes in for the very first time and doesn't know what the heck this ATLAS II thing is about. I was going to say we haven't done [inaudible] since we accomplished that, [inaudible] and so forth. Then just literally what we did in terms of looked at Kavi, get a screenshot of Kavi, what it does and why we rejected it, etc.

Yes, it looks long. I don't really intend to delve into each and every one in detail. I wouldn't be too, too worry about the length of the presentation. It's really, just as I say, more for complete record, especially new persons that are coming in that are attending for the very first time.

GLENN MCKNIGHT: Yes, that's fine.

DEV ANAND TEELUCKSINGH: But I think definitely we need to add FY 17 budget ideas for the TTF. I think let's make that an action item, to add FY 17 budget ideas by the TTF. Then we could list some of our project ideas. That can generate substantive discussion as to what are our gaps, our communication gaps, collaboration gaps, etc.? Then the ATLAS II reporting will be much less. Okay, great.

Actually, we've come to ten minutes towards the top of the hour. I don't think there's any new slides to really present. All of the slides that you see from ATLAS II recommendations from the reporting from Buenos Aires. It's now just to continue working on that.

Switching over to the chat. I'm seeing some comments here from Carlton. I'll read it, if Carlton can't say it himself. "Regarding comments to Olivier, regarding comments to the solution, totally agree. All I'm saying is that we have done the work. At least as I see it. I understand the major distractions, but we cannot make progress without ICANN's central engagement."

He goes on to say the translation, captioning issues are well-aired and well-known. But we don't have to take as much traction, insight to solution. Good points, indeed.

All right, well, I don't think we have any other issues or any comments. Any other business? Going once, going twice, going thrice.

Okay, thank you. I think we can end this call and have seven more minutes to go outside and experience the fresh air or have a cup of coffee.

GLENN MCKNIGHT: Okay, thanks, everybody.

DEV ANAND TEELUCKSINGH: Bye-bye. Thank you, everyone, for attending this call. See you all in Dublin and on the mailing list. This call is now adjourned. Thank you, all.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Thanks so much, Dev.

[END OF TRANSCRIPTION]