

Thoughts on ALS Operational Expectations – DT-E

Alan Greenberg

From my discussion paper

- Report annually on its leadership, membership, major activities and "linkages" with ICANN (ie why they are an ALS). To the extent practical, this reporting process will be streamlined and easy.
- Redistribute regular ICANN updates to its members (these updates will be created by staff but might be augmented by the RALO)
- Reference ICANN and At-Large prominently on its website, either on its home page, or on a secondary page which is obviously linked to the home page (such as a pointer for group memberships or affiliations).
- When specific issues are brought to the attention of ALSes, each ALS should evaluate whether they can contribute or not, and if they can ALS members should be involved.
- Respond to specific requests from the RALO as needed.

New

- Voting, but de-emphasized – should not be the major criteria for being “active”
- Monthly RALO Teleconference participation (at some reasonable level, need to define participation: presence or activity)
- Grace period for ramping up involvement (1 year?)
- Some substantive participation (webinars, WGs, regional statements)?
 - Perhaps as a requirement for travel
- Define “inactive” status?