
Comments on CCWG-Accountability

Dear Sir or Madam,

I am submitting the following comments and questions in my personal capacity:

* In 388 it is stated that "no limit is proposed to the number of times the community can veto a strategic plan". Nevertheless in 391 there are only thresholds given regarding the first and second veto. What support will be needed for the later vetoes?

* Regarding 407 number 7 (see also 409 no. 7,): "No new call to consider the removal of that same director can be made during the term they are serving on the Board following a vote to remove them failing or no decision being made". This would grant immunity to such Board members which might foster (further) wrongdoing by this director. It needs to be considered what happens if there are any new serious difficulties that arrive with that particular Director within the term.

* 415, 423: What requirements need to be met by this Interim Board? What happens if the SOs and ACs can not agree on directors (Hence, no notice which includes a signed statement from the candidate(s) of their willingness to serve and any other information that the Bylaws require Board candidates to provide prior to election would be given)?

* 429, 430: I do not agree with this minority viewpoint.

I hope, these comments are useful.

Kind regards
Linda Breucker