## To Dublin, and beyond...

# Work plan



# WP topic allocation

#### WP1

- Community powers
- Community Forum <= follow up from LA discussions
- Model
- AoC reviews

#### WP2

- Mission, Commitments, Core Value
- IRP
- Reconsideration

#### WP3

- SO/AC accountability
- Diversity
- Staff accountability

#### WP4

• Human rights

#### ST-WP

• Stress tests and associated bylaw changes

#### Co-chairs

- Overall remarks
- Work stream 2
- Timeline

### Expectations for WPs by Oct 12

- For each topic :
  - Summarize input received by community into :
    - Areas of consensus
    - Areas needing refinement / further details
    - Areas of divergence
  - Identify potential options for CCWG consideration
  - Submit additional details on proposals when needed, for CCWG approval

## Dublin F2F meeting outlook

- Morning:
  - WP reports : summaries & potential options
- Afternoon:
  - Decisions on key adjustments / refinements to be made
  - Approval of additional details provided by WPs
- More F2F time in Dublin might be necessary, including WP sessions.

# Engagement with Chartering organisations, Board and other transition groups

- CCWG-Accountability is the last missing piece in the puzzle to enable transmission of a proposal to NTIA
- Communication about our progress is key to manage expectations and avoid tensions
- But :
  - CCWG-Accountability (and its subgroups) must remain the forum of substantial discussions
  - Time available for engagement is limited and must be managed efficiently

## **Engagement proposals**

- 1. Engage directly with SO/AC leaders (using their regular calls), and invite leaders of other transition-related groups (ICG, CWG, protocol and numbering communities)
- Ensure that Board briefings on CCWG are (also) provided by CCWG co-chairs
- Reach out to experienced community members (such as Board members) to support the relevant work of WPs (ie Board Finance committee on Budget...) as CCWG participants
- 4. Substantive work:
  - 1. in sub-teams
  - co-ordination by new small group (SO/AC reps, Board, Lawyers, ) to limit risk of friction, no decision making.
  - 3. decision-making by CCWG