RECORDED VOICE: This meeting is now being recorded.

FABIEN BETEREMIEUX: Good morning, good afternoon, good evening everyone. Welcome to a meeting of the IGOINGO, identifiers protection policy and implementation, on Wednesday April 20th 2016. My name is Fabien Betermieux with the global divisions of ICANN. Before we start, I'm just making sure we have a [inaudible] of participants who [inaudible] on the call. On the call we have [inaudible] with us. Pierre, are you with us?

PIERRE: Sorry, I'm here, yes.

FABIEN BETEREMIEUX: Thank you. And I believe you are the only participant from the IRT, so let me just check I'm not forgetting anyone. If any other IRT members are on the call, please identify yourself.

Okay. Hearing none, so I think this is [inaudible]… So what we suggest doing is going through our update. We want to provide [inaudible] do this shorter than usual so that we don't take up too much time, and then ICANN members that are not present may not be able to capture it on the recording and transcript.

UNKNOWN SPEAKER: That's a good solution. Thanks.

Note: The following is the output resulting from transcribing an audio file into a word/text document. Although the transcription is largely accurate, in some cases may be incomplete or inaccurate due to inaudible passages and grammatical corrections. It is posted as an aid to the original audio file, but should not be treated as an authoritative record.

FABIEN BETEREMIEUX: Thank you [inaudible]. So in terms of our agenda, I believe it is on the screen.   Can   [inaudible],   thank   you   very   much.   [Inaudible] announcement in a minute, then we'll go over our review status of the project.   We'll talk about the INGO identifier's contact data, what's going on with INGO identifiers, and we'll request input with respect to the IRT expectation for ICANN 56.

And then we'll finish the meeting on the IRT schedule and Wiki. Before we get to the agenda, we wanted to announce that today [inaudible] is going to take over the leadership of this policy implementation. As you may be aware, [Dennis?] has joined our team a few months ago, and it was back in Dublin in October. And he has been helping us in providing his expertise, expertise and experience in managing complex projects, and that has already brought a tremendous value to our project.

And as of today, he will start leading this implementation. I will take a seat into the team, I will remain involved, but Dennis will be the leader of this implementation. So please, Dennis, the floor is yours.

DENNIS: Thank you Fabien. So just to make sure that it's clear. Fabien will be a member of the implementation project team, as we call it, ITT. But he will be more than that, as he has been mentoring me on this process, so he'll remain there to guide me throughout for a successful outcome of the policy implementation.

EN

So going to our overview and status, let's just look at the timeline quickly here. This is the same timeline we presented at ICANN 55, and it has not changed, and we're going through our IRT [inaudible]. Our intention is a monthly meeting. This is our April meeting, and we will take more about the IRT type meeting at the end of this hour.

But our major milestone that we're looking at is releasing of the draft implementation plan for public comment in August, and in [inaudible] in January of next year. And its effective date in July or August of next year. So we're still tracking to those milestones and we do not see a reason that we need to change. Just one more thing that I should tell you is that ICANN and GDD in particular, is going to a six month train model.

So we are trying to combine our policy implementation effective dates and announcement in six month duration, so every six months, we want to do this together for our projects. So that's why you will see end of the year and middle of the summer milestones line up as we go. So current status, and the policy, the documentation, the policy language, we've issued the version three in early March, and we looked at it 12 March and we have not received any other comments from IRT.

So we're going to go ahead and start developing the version two. In addition to the consensus policy language document, we have implementation procedures. We have top level reservation, and the second level reservation with exception procedures complete. And now we're trying to design the 90 days [inaudible] implementation process.

In terms of the list and labels that we need, we have the Red Cross IOC list, and then IGO we're seeking up to two languages, and we'll talk more about that when Steve presents his progress. I mean, IGO is Mary and INGO is Steve.

So, we'll get on with it for our next agenda item, which is INGO. Is Mary on the phone?

That's you, sorry. Steve, INGO the reason that I put INGO, well, go ahead.

STEVE: No problem. This is Steve [inaudible] from the policy development support team. I support the GNSO. I just want to provide a short update on the INGO work. Just as a reminder, the policy recommendations identified in two scopes. Scope one identifiers are the general consultative status of the echo soft list, and that's a little under 200 names so it represents a more restrictive list.

The scope two identifiers, well at the time of the policy development, were 3,000. That's the special [complicated?] status list of the [eco soft], so that represents obviously a much larger number. The protections for these two, or for scope one and scope two, at the top level, it's just scope one, which is the shorter list I mentioned.

And those will be placed on an authoritative list for reservation from registration in future new gTLD procedures. The other set of protections is, at the second level, both scope one and scope two will be provided in 90 day claims. And so what was reported at ICANN 55 is

EN

that we were having trouble establishing a working relationship with echo soft. And so we have a good update. We're happy to report that we have been able to establish contact, utilizing some of the existing contacts in ICANN that have relationships with the UN.

We've been able to establish a contact with them, and we have provided them with the data requirements for the claims service. And so right now, at this point, we're still waiting for the response and we're looking forward to developing a better working relationship with them, as well as fully developing the process for both this initial set up for a claim service and the upkeep of the service.

So that's about it, I think a good update to say that we've made some progress, and I think I'll turn it back over to Dennis. Thanks.

DENNIS: Thank you Steve. Indeed, a good progress. IGO, scope one identifier. Is Mary on the phone?

MARY: Yes, I'm here.

DENNIS: Hi.

MARY: Hey Dennis, hi everybody.

DENNIS: Go ahead and tell us a little bit about this one, with your draft letter.

MARY: Okay. Actually [inaudible] the information is already on the slide, so I'll go through it. I probably don't have that exciting news as Steve did for the INGO, but I think overall, we are making some steady progress on the IGO perspective.   This is the international governmental organizations, and this slide is really just looking at the scope one identifiers, what you see in the bullet point, basically those IGOs that were on, that are on the GAC list sent to ICANN in March 2013, and it would be the full name of those IGOs on that list in up to two languages.

You see here the scope of that protection at the top level, as well as on the second level, which would be a reservation. [Inaudible] will be done, you see here as well that it will be listed on the reserve names list, with the link updated [inaudible]. The implementation challenges is what we have been focusing on, as well as staff proposals to try to overcome those challenges.

You see here that, and if you look at the GAC list you can [inaudible] that while we have all of the IGOs in that limited universe provided by the GAC, we have the full names, those are only provided in English. The GNSO policy does allow for implementation of the policy in up to two languages.

So what we need to do, and the draft letter that Dennis mentioned, is something that staff is working on. We need to go back to the GAC, and

to seek the assistance to get those two languages from the IGO. Because the language of the policy said up to two languages, it obviously isn't mandatory, so therefore an IGO can opt to just have one language, and that language could be in English, for example.

So we're going to put that into a letter, which is now in draft form, and we hope to get that sent out to the GAC very shortly. Dennis, I think that's it for now, unless there are questions or you have anything to add.

DENNIS: I don't have anything to add. Is there any questions from anyone?

UNKNOWN SPEAKER: Yeah, sorry. Just one quick question. When you said that language could be English, what are the other options?

MARY: Thanks for the question. That is a very good question. If we go back to the GNSO policy, as we said, the quote here, up to two languages. So the policy was very careful to not specify what those languages would be. The GAC list does mention the possibility of languages, and it would appear that the GAC had intended to provide ICANN with a list of those languages, but that was not done.

And so I think from our perspective, we are not looking from implementation site, we're not looking at any particular list of languages. I think our preference is to work with the language of the

policy, and to leave it to the GAC and the IGOs to tell us what those two languages maximum might be for each IGO. Does that help?

Okay, great. Thanks [inaudible] and thanks Dennis.

DENNIS: Thank you Mary. Next topic is ICANN 56. [Inaudible] you are the only one from the IRT so you represent the whole IRT here. And our ICANN stuff here, and especially GDD stuff, was interested in finding out what the IRT's expectation for the ICANN 56 in Helsinki. If you know, this is our first format B meeting, it's short in duration, to be focused on policy development.

STEVE: Sorry, just to interrupt. This is Steve from staff. I just wanted to note that Crystal [inaudible] is also on the call right now.

DENNIS: Oh hi Crystal, welcome, perfect timing. So we're talking about ICANN 56. This meeting is being recorded, so we'll send out the link and you can catch up on it. But we're at a point where we're trying to find out if IRT, well implementation review team, has any expectations for the ICANN 56, or what the expectations are. Can you speak to that?

CRYSTAL: I'm not going to be attending Helsinki. I'm happy to call in, but for me, a face to face in person meeting isn't necessary.

EN

DENNIS: Thank you. [Inaudible]?

Is he typing or…?

Okay, well if you can, you can always give us an email on your expectation. Let's move to the next topic agenda. And [inaudible]?

UNKNOWN SPEAKER: This is [inaudible] from registry services. So sort of future IRT calls, we thought of introducing a monthly call concept, such as having one hour call once a month. I want to hear from you if you have any comments on this or a preference at the time of the meetings. Will it be too rare or too often if we have this one a month?

And the other thing is, if we can reschedule or cancel depending on the availability of the people. Is there any feedback on this?

UNKNOWN SPEAKER: Hi. I'm not sure if we need a monthly meeting, but at least every second, and of course, with also the possibility to cancel, but also with the possibility to have a meeting if there is a very specific topic that came up, that we need to discuss, otherwise we also had a possibility to have an email communication in between. But I don't know to the staff to decide or reply on the question if we need monthly meetings.

UNKNOWN SPEAKER: Okay. Any other feedback?

Hearing none, I also want to talk about our community Wiki page. For those who are in the Adobe room, you can see the link of our community page, community Wiki page. I was wondering if you have any feedback on this page as well.

[Inaudible]?

Okay, there is no feedback from Crystal.

DENNIS: Okay.

UNKNOWN SPEAKER: So I think, yeah, we can move to the next slide.

DENNIS: I think we can just go ahead and proceed on as we have, unless we get any more comments. So the, my Adobe Connect quit on me so I'm trying to reestablish my screen view. And the slides were here, the next steps. As [inaudible]…

Hold on. Share my screen. Share. Yes? Okay.

So the next step is to continue our work. The step is to go ahead and produce version four, and put it out for comments. And we are going to utilize more emails as [inaudible] suggested, so try to get work that way. It seems like it's really hard to get this IRT together.

And our next meeting we anticipate and expect in May, and we'll see where we are by then. And hopefully we'll have more good news for you there. Part of the slides that I guess, we had prepared, we had background slides. We're not going to discuss this, but we just wanted you to know that we're going to maintain a set of background slides, so that we can remind ourselves especially what's in scope and what's out of scope at the moment for this project.

So that's all for now. Any other business, questions, anything else that you want to bring up, please bring it up at this moment.

Hearing none, seeing none, we'll go ahead and conclude this meeting. Thank you for participating and we'll go ahead and…

Bye everyone.

[END OF TRANSCRIPTION]