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## Accomplished up to now

1- Recommendations to RALOs: Due diligence \& comments on
application kept restricted to mailing lists. RALO engagement from start of application with observer status, leadership welcome and potential mentoring

2- HOLD option: triggered by applicant, ALAC Chair or staff.
Additional week added prior to the ALAC member vote should
eliminate need for hold period during ALAC vote.

## Throughout the procedure

## Upon application

- Applicant to provide $3^{\text {rd }}$ contact person, 1 at least to be a member of the Board
- Application form to cater for organization name in original language, EN, acronym


## After application - automated email \& website

1- Sent to 3 contact people confirming application has been received

2- Send to the company email address too?
3- Redacted contact person information (tel, email address) to show on website

## During Due Diligence \& Regional Advice

- If applicant unresponsive to staff questions? Hold, reach out to RALO leadership, ALAC members of RALO?
- If contact person already ALS member, can they intervene in RALO discussions for Regional advice or should they liaise off-list with RALO leadership?
- RALO engagement from application moment? Specific RALO leadership notification?


## Moving forward -

- Community discussion times? Is no objection the same as support? Is this RALO leader responsibility?
- Guidance for RALO engagement with applicant (email to RALO leadership introducing applicant)
- Additional questions to add to the application form:

Guarantee of information circulation within the ALS
Question of preferred mode of contact: email, skype, tel?
What experience, knowledge are THEY bringing to At-Large?
How did they hear about ALS accreditation? $-\rightarrow$ tool to further engage the ALS?

- Adjusting the internal timings of the procedure? 3 months to be redivided int0 more realistic steps?


## And next?



