```
Michelle DeSmyter:Dear all, Welcome to the Security Framework
Drafting Team meeting on Thursday, 10 August 2017 at 15:00 UTC.
 Michelle DeSmyter: Agenda wiki page:
https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=https-
3A community.icann.org x oIhCAw&d=DwICaQ&c=FmY1u3PJp6wrcrwll3mSV
zgfkbPSS6sJms7xc14I5cM&r=8 WhWIPqsLT6TmF1Zmyci866vcPSF04VShFqESGe
5iHWGlBLwwwehFBfjrsjWv9&m=HX8Isp-
ULh rBLi1eJFac1fb7umOsClwZocfz1-
SveY&s=I2sMh TCd07nCb4m8xdJMDGHonx1No2qJo88Eg9JKac&e=
  Theo Geurts: Your sound is stuttering Dennis
 Michelle
DeSmyter:https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1fVqoATluC5R-
y4ykxdyQSb08b9eONonNZE7CWS86R1Y/edit#gid=500969373
  Theo Geurts: The comments are supportive of our work and there a
few nice to haves in the comments, but overall we can go ahead
  Brian Cimbolic:folks I have to drop off unfortunately a little
after 11:30 Eastern
 Alan Woods:no problem! :)
 Dietmar Lenden - Valideus Ltd:nope
  Beth Bacon:me either
 Kristine Dorrain - Amazon Registry Services: Me either
  Kristine Dorrain - Amazon Registry Services: Is our SOI valid
for all GNSO PDPs, etc.
  Dietmar Lenden - Valideus Ltd:Congrats Alan
 Kristine Dorrain - Amazon Registry Services:Congrats Alan
  Donna Austin, Neustar: Do the GAC or PSWG members complete SOIs?
  Donna Austin, Neustar:sorry Dennis, it was curiousity more than
anything.
 Michelle DeSmyter: there you go
  Richard Roberto (Google):better
 Donna Austin, Neustar: i think we accept the comments
  Brian Cimbolic:I'm admittedly annoyed since they asked for an
extension, then missed their own requested new deadline .... but
for the sake of completeness I'm okay accepting it
 Alan Woods: I agree .... not ecstatic about the delay, but, it
is a good comment.
  Donna Austin, Neustar: Dennis, just to clarify, the comments are
from the NCSG not the NGPC
  Sean Baseri - Neustar:agreee to accept it
  Donna Austin, Neustar:it would be helpful if the summary report
clearly explains why any comment is not accepted.
 Alan Woods: Agreed.
 Matthias dotBerlin:Hello
  Beth Bacon: Agree, Alan.
  Theo Geurts: lol Alan
  Kristine Dorrain - Amazon Registry Services: All, I have another
```

call in a minute so I need to drop. I assume you'll circulate the docs where you leave off for review. Brian Cimbolic:agreed, Alan. I don't think it will be a heavy lift Beth Bacon: Why don't we add it to the chart and we can draft out some language to consider for inclusion? Alan Woods:agree with Beth Donna Austin, Neustar: out of scope is a good reason Donna Austin, Neustar: to the extent that its practical and folks are still around Alan Woods:Agreed Donna Brian Cimbolic:folks I need to drop off, my apologies Alan Woods: Thanks Brian! Richard Roberto (Google): I need to drop off also Richard Roberto (Google):apologies :(Alan Woods: Thanks Richard! Beth Bacon: THe same as the previous could work here as well Sean Baseri - Neustar:+1 Donna Austin, Neustar: Given the approach was worked out between LEA and ROs we shuould be able to state that we believe it is the right approach. Alan Woods:Totally agree Beth Bacon:@Donna, yes. Dietmar Lenden - Valideus Ltd:yes Theo Geurts:yes Matthias dotBerlin:ok Yoshiro YONEYA (JPRS):agree Beth Bacon: I think no to this as it could be seen as the RO providing advice. out of scope Donna Austin, Neustar:agree Alan Sean Baseri - Neustar: I agree Sean Baseri - Neustar:yes Theo Geurts:agreed Donna Austin, Neustar:agree Beth Beth Bacon: +1 Alan Donna Austin, Neustar:its important to clearly state that it is out of scope. Beth Bacon:agree, Donna Sean Baseri - Neustar:agree with Beth and Alan Beth Bacon: I have to drop off Theo Geurts:ditto Beth Bacon: sure thing. thanks, Alan and all. Alan Woods: Thank you all! Donna Austin, Neustar: thanks Dennis Sean Baseri - Neustar: Thanks all!

Theo Geurts:ciao