
TERRI AGNEW: Good morning, good afternoon, and good evening. This is the EURALO Monthly Teleconference, taking place on Tuesday, the 18th of August, 2015, at 18:00 UTC.

On the call today, we have Yrjo Lansipuro, Wolf Ludwig, Sebastien Bachollet, Siranush Vardanyan, Pedro Veiga, Roberto Gaetano, Olivier Crepin-Leblond, Yuliya Morenets, Oksana Prykhodko, Alan Greenberg, and Christopher Bruch. I show apologies from Jean-Jacques Subrenat, Lianna Galstyan, Jimmy Schulz, and Sandra Hoferichter.

From staff, we have Heidi Ullrich, Silvia Vivanco, Gabriella Schitteck, Kim Carlson, and myself, Terri Agnew.

I would like to remind all participants to please state your name before speaking for transcription purposes. Thank you very much and back over to you, Wolf.

WOLF LUDWIG: Okay. Thanks a lot for this whole call and apologies. The next point on our agenda is some action items from the last call. I'm just checking whether this link – no, it's unfortunately not updated. Well, as action items from the last time, some of you may recall we, basically, confirmed what we have decided at this last call and we will go through the details.

At the onset, we will have two parts of 90 minutes each as the program for our general assembly in Dublin. So first part will concentrate on key content and to key topics of public interest, and the second part after

Note: The following is the output resulting from transcribing an audio file into a word/text document. Although the transcription is largely accurate, in some cases may be incomplete or inaccurate due to inaudible passages and grammatical corrections. It is posted as an aid to the original audio file, but should not be treated as an authoritative record.

the lunch break will then be the regular part of the general assembly following the usual requirements.

And it was part of the action items, to my memory, that we will have to prepare an agenda and the draft version for the annual report until our next annual call, our monthly call in September. If there are no questions or comments so far on this agenda point [three, I would like to continue with next agenda item, which is a briefing on ALAC consultation, as usual, and Olivier, you will have the floor.

As you may have realized, I only dedicated ten minutes' time for this point because I think we will need some time after that for the discussions of the main focus of this call. But now, you have the floor for agenda item four.

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: Thanks very much. I'll be faster than usual on this. Can you first hear me correct?

WOLF LUDWIG: Yes, I can hear you pretty well.

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: Okay. Fantastic. Thank you. So we have a number of statements that are currently being drafted. It's a busy, busy summer. We've got one that has been recently agreed and adopted by the ALAC that the draft report on the review of the Generic Names Supporting Organization, but on the ones that are currently being drafted or currently being commented

on, the first one is for the next generation Generic Top-Level Domain Registration Directory Services to replace WHOIS Preliminary Issue Report.

So that, effectively, is the follow-up to the Expert Working Group that convened or was convened a while ago, actually, with the aim to replace WHOIS altogether. As you know, WHOIS is a sort of register of address registrants. So if you have example.com, you do WHOIS on example.com, and you find out the registrant details behind example.com.

The fact is that this system has been broken for various reasons, reasons of privacy on the one hand, reasons of having an accurate detail of those records, on the other hand; and a one-size-fits all scenario doesn't appear to be working, so this new, this Expert Working Group has come up with a whole set of recommendations. We have some people from At-Large who were in that Expert Working Group, and now there is a report – Preliminary Issue Report – that's been drafted to take this to the next stage, and we're now asked to comment on the details of this Issue Report.

Our working group that deals with registrant issues has some discussions. If you click on that, click on the link, you will find the wiki page that deals with it. You can read the initial comment and some of the feedback that was received, and you can, of course, you're very much encouraged to take part in the discussion. The closing time for this consultation is the 6th of September.

Next, the Initial Report on Data and Metrics for Policymaking, Maureen Hilyard is holding the pen on this, and the full point of it is to review standard methodologies for reporting the metrics to inform how policy development and decision making is taking place, and that's primarily, of course, taking place in the GNSO policy development process.

So having some kind of metrics and data around the policy development and finding out if all of the input was taken into account, etc. etc., is important, and so there is some work going on here and I don't see – oh, no. I do see, actually, Maureen has put a number of comments on the wiki already, and I invite you all to read through those and put your own comments before she drafts a final statement on this.

Next, is the IANA Stewardship Transition Proposal. Well, we've now reached the stage where the proposals from the names community, the proposal from the same community being people in ICANN, proposal from the protocols community being the IETF, the proposal from the IP address community that's the Regional Internet Registry, has been put together by the IANA Coordination Group and that final –well, that collated report, if you want, the report – the proposal that's going to go to the NTIA, to the National Telecommunications Infrastructure Administration. That report is ready for comment. Your last chance to comment before small amendments are made, and then it is sent over to the NTIA.

Now, there are, of course, some other things pending with that. There is the ICANN Accountability track, which needs to also, somehow, conclude or at least the first stage of this track should conclude beforehand. But it is important to note where we are at the moment

and how the three proposals might disagree with each other on a couple of points, and then which way that we do prepare pointing.

Now, there was an extensive discussion a couple of hours earlier or just an hour earlier in the IANA Issues and ICANN Accountability Working Group, which is the working group that At-Large has created to be able to follow up on these points, and not very much was agreed on the statement for the IANA Stewardship Transition Proposal in that we do believe or there seems to be a broad agreement that most of what's in there doesn't need any specific comments from At-Large. But if you do find something that you wish to point out, please comment on the wiki.

Next, the proposed ICANN bylaws amendment, the GNSO Policy and Implementation Recommendations. Now, I have noticed that Alan Greenberg is actually on the call, so he might wish to say a couple of words on this one. I'll pass over it. The closing date is the 12th of September.

Next, the Cross-Community Working Group on Enhancing ICANN Accountability. That's the parallel track, the Work Stream 1, which is supposed to feed into the IANA stewardship transition process. There is a first, sorry, a second draft report that is now up for comment. And here, we have quite a long comment that's already -- a long proposed comment that's already in the wiki. It's going to take too much time to read through, but I do point you to this. Please read it, please comment on it, bearing in mind that this has been already discussed, also, in the IANA Stewardship and ICANN Accountability At-Large Working Group.

Alan, should I let you speak for 30 seconds on the proposed ICANN bylaws amendment, GNSO policy, and Implementation Recommendations?

ALAN GREENBERG: Sure, if you wish.

WOLF LUDWIG: Please.

ALAN GREENBERG: Yeah. Those are the bylaws that go along with the implementation of the Policy and Implementation Recommendations. Essentially, the recommendations are creating new mechanisms that the GNSO can use, new, lighter-weight mechanisms than the full PDP that the GNSO can use to give advice on certain issues or, in fact, create consensus policy on certain issues.

The ALAC representatives have been involved in the creation of the process. We support it. We do have a concern, however, on two grounds:

Number one, essentially, what this does is it says that if there are policy-related things that are found during implementation, they have to revert back to the GNSO. That has the potential for making the overall implementation process potentially very long. Because if every time you find a problem, you have to go back and start that process over again, even if it's an abbreviated one, that has implications. Certainly, on

things that are as complex as the gTLD application round or in the future of the WHOIS replacement.

The second concern we have is that in cases where the public interest must be at odds with contracted party interests, it's not clear to us that the GNSO has the ability to resolve these issues equitably.

The Board has a responsibility to balance things. The GNSO does not have such a responsibility other than to set up a working group where people can talk to each other. So we have those concerns, but that being said, we think, going forward and trying this is worthwhile. Thank you.

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND:

Thank you very much, for this, Alan. So that's the current consultations that we have. Now there seems to be a statement that is marked here as currently being stalled. That's the proposal for the Armenian script root zone label generation rules. That's to do with internationalized domain names and with domain names that are using the Armenian script.

Narine Khachatryan is drafting a statement. I note that she is on the call. I wonder whether she has any ability to say a few words on this and how this is progressing. I note that the closing date for this is the end of this month. Narine?

NARINE KHACHATRYAN:

Hello? Can you hear me?

WOLF LUDWIG: Yes. Go ahead.

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: Yes, I can hear you.

NARINE KHACHATRYAN: Hello, everyone. Thank you, Olivier. I would like to put in a couple of words regarding the proposed eight-month-old document for the proposal for Armenian [script and so on]. Label generation [rules]. Overall, the proposal is that the [inaudible] is that the proposal is reasonable and satisfactory, and for the moment, I have only two comments relating to the paper.

The first one is that the one of the letters of the Armenian alphabet, the first letter, and one of the root letters can be considered as close script homoglyphs and this is not included and this can be put as a comment. And the second comment related to the general text of the proposal is like Russian can be included in the list of countries where the Armenian language is widespread, is widely spoken as a country having very big Armenian diaspora, which is 2.5 million people speaking the Armenian language.

So, at the moment, these are two major comments related to the proposal and the – I just repeat myself saying that, overall, the paper is quite satisfactory. Thank you very much.

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: Thank you very much, Narine. Thanks for this brief update. If you could please put those comments on the wiki, then staff would probably be able to follow up with the process of launching the commenting from others.

Finally, two public comments to which the ALAC decided not to submit statements. One was on the design team review of the plan for the DNS root zone KSK change that the key – was it key sequencing? I can't even remember the name of this thing. Root Key Signing Key. There you go. I should have guessed. And that's, as you will have noticed, very technical in nature and as a few people read it, I know that our SSAC liaison has read the report and I've also read it, and this all seems to be satisfactory. So no comment on this.

Finally, the removal of the searchable WHOIS service from .SHARP registry agreement. .SHARP is a brand generic top-level domain, and, therefore, they are not planning – the Sharp organization is not planning to be selling domains to any third party, so it just wasn't seemed to be particularly the important to have a WHOIS to identify whoever was using domains under .SHARP. It'd obviously be someone in Sharp.

And with this, I turn the floor back to Wolf Ludwig, I guess, and I'm ready to answer any questions.

WOLF LUDWIG: Okay. Thanks a lot, first of all, Olivier, for your concise briefing again, and thanks to Alan and Narine for the additional comments. Are there any questions or comments from the other participants on our agenda

item four? This is the moment to raise your questions on the current round of consultations.

I see no hand raised for the moment. Therefore, I guess – yes, there is one hand raised again by Olivier, and Olivier, you have the floor again.

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: Thank you, Wolf. Just to close up, then, since there are no comments here. I've just put in the chat the ALAC public commenting policy development page where people can keep track of all policy development on the ALAC. Thanks.

WOLF LUDWIG: Okay. Thanks, Olivier, for providing this additional link for participants to follow up on as more details now, or even after the call. If there are no immediate questions and further comments, let me continue with our agenda, what the next is agenda item five – the status of legislations for the Dublin General Assembly.

You may remember that in July already, we sent this call for registrations to our members in combination with sort of a small registration form, what was a kind of survey asking some basic questions about the registrations and, meanwhile, as we could see on the workspace out of our 33 members total at the moment, 26 ALSes have responded and are confirmed.

Some also responded but had to indicate that they won't be available in October in the second half to attend our General Assembly. And in my note on the agenda, I have noted that there may be even be two more

candidates who may join. One of the candidates is [inaudible] because there is no candidate from ISOC Finland at the moment registered.

There was a note that replacement may be sent but the replacement was not nominated in time. Therefore, maybe a [inaudible] as a member can also join our General Assembly and the other point was for ISOC Wallonia where I thought if Christopher may be in Dublin in October, he also could, as sort of a replacement, represent ISOC Wallonia. Therefore, we would even two more candidates being present and Christoph, who has apologized already and [Esteban Hublot], who has also apologized for not being available, they told me they will give proxy vote to [the German] ALS representatives who are confirmed.

Therefore, they may have even two more votes for sure, so I think we will have a good level of participation for this important General Assembly.

I don't know whether Heidi would like to add something more. I bilaterally communicated this, Heidi. Heidi told me with 25 participants already be at the edge of our budget, and we do not have any more space to invite or encourage other participants to come due to certain budget constraints.

And I've seen the note from Yrjo in the chat. Yes. I will represent Finnish chapter of ISOC at the General Assembly if this does not conflict with my NomCom duties. This is also a point which was raised bilaterally to me by Bill Drake, who will be a new NomCom member, be seated in Dublin, and we have, somehow, to check that we do not have

conflicting timing with NomCom sessions and our general assembly. But things like this ICANN try to sort out with staff bilaterally.

Heidi, do you want to add anything? Do you have any comments on this issue regarding the status of registrations? And staff will now close the registration list so we do not have much space anymore for latecomers or late registrations. And I've seen Olivier has raised his hand, and Olivier, you have the floor.

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: Thank you very much, Wolf. And just as a matter of points regarding the NomCom duties, I believe that the NomCom only needs after the end of the annual General Meeting since the NomCom would meet for next year. So I would believe that they are probably going to be available during the whole length of the AGM itself.

WOLF LUDWIG: Thanks, Olivier, for this remark. I was not really sure about this because I remember during the last ICANN meetings, some of the NomCom sessions have even started before the end of the official meeting, so I hope that NomCom won't start before Thursday, and that would mean that on Wednesday, when we do have our General Assembly, Yrjo and Bill Drake could be available to participate during the whole length of our general assembly.

You raised your hand again. Olivier. You have the floor again.

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: Thanks, Wolf. This is not a normal ICANN meeting. This is an annual General Meeting. This is the last meeting of the ICANN year and, therefore, the new NomCom is the one that is meeting, and the new NomCom is only meeting after the end of the ICANN year. So in other meetings, yes, the NomCom will meet during the ICANN meeting itself.

WOLF LUDWIG: Okay. Thanks for this clarification, Olivier. That is quite helpful. If there are no questions or comments on agenda item five regarding registrations, I think most of the things, they're sorted out or could be clarified by now.

Let me continue with the next agenda item, what is point six. The proof concept on [inaudible] for the Dublin General Assembly, as I indicated already before, we had a special issue call end of July on some basic questions for the next GA regarding format and structure and content, etc. And we came up at the end of this July call with some basic decisions already.

One of these decisions was to subdivide the General Assembly into two parts, 90 minutes each. The first part, as we agreed, concentrated on content and our key topic, and it was also agreed that we should focus this discussion on public interest and the related discourse at ICANN, and to have, after a lunch break, a second part for the general assembly dealing with regular requirements like presenting, approving the annual report, and to discuss further questions how to improve our members' participations and then, of course, we will have the elections again at this GA in the second part of – in the last part of the General Assembly.

So does anyone would still like to comment on these basic decisions we have taken at the last call? There was broad approval at the last call on these issues, and therefore, I consider them as being decided already but, of course, we can still discuss on details and there are still a lot of details that needs to be discussed.

As we announced at the July call, as an introduction into the content part. On the public interest, we will present a thesis paper on the issue, which is a summary of a longer paper I prepared some time ago, and this short version of the thesis paper will be presented before the September call that we have enough time to circulate it in our community to give our members a chance to have a look on it.

It's not a long thing. It's just a summary on what two pages max, so it gives some background. And I can say already it's more or less an effort of raising the public interest, the notion of the public interest in the European context. And in the European history, that means you could find out that the term and the notion is nothing new. It hasn't [started]. This Internet public interest has very long and old story already. You can trace it back even to the Greeks, and you can trace it back in the European history to the period of Enlightenment. You can trace it back to [inaudible] and you can trace it back. And the public interest, by the way, is also mentioned in various European constitutions.

So it's a very common thing, so to say, but as we hear from time to time in the ICANN context that some constituencies even question the importance of the meaning of the public interest. I think this can be a very good and important discussion, and it's a part of our consideration.

We start with a brief discussion on this in Buenos Aires already in a small group of EURALO people who were present in Buenos Aires, and we thought we will present such a paper to our membership. We'll have discussion in the first part of the General Assembly and then try – perhaps we can agree on a consolidated paper version, and if we can't agree on such a consolidated and approved version by the General Assembly, we could consider it as an input from EURALO being forwarded to other RALOs and going even further to the ALAC.

So I think this may be a good point of interest for several of our members and I have received some very positive feedback on the idea and on the topic. So I guess this may be an encouraging element and part of the General Assembly. And then, afterwards, we have to start drafting now the agenda for the second part of the General Assembly and start drafting to the annual report 2014-2015 to be ready until the September call. Are there any questions or comments so far from your side?

Let me also point that just before the July call and this call, there have been some comments from Roberto and from Yrjo on the particular item how to improve members' participation at EURALO. I think this question should be a key issue for the second part of the General Assembly, but we can do afterwards just to encourage more members' participation on a regular basis, etc. and this, in my opinion, is a very important issue and we should also start with this discussion or continue with this discussion on a broader basis before the start of the General Assembly.

Further questions and comments from your side on this part approved concept and format? I see no hand raised.

And I also touched or went over to agenda item seven, drafting team for agenda and annual report. I think we should at least have three to four people who will help for drafting this agenda, and I of course count on Olivier for this, who will be my presumable successor. So I think Olivier should be closely involved, as Yrjo had raised some very good points. I would also appreciate his participation. I don't know about others. If anybody else is volunteering, I could also imagine that Roberto representing the individuals could part of this drafting team. It's only a drafting effort.

So, finally, agenda will be submitted for further comments to the broader community to all members, and starting after the September call, everybody has a chance to make comments on our draft so it's nothing definite, so it's just – it gives just the structure, and it's nothing but suggestion of what has to be approved at the opening of the General Assembly in Dublin by the members. And, therefore, it's important that the agenda, and according to our bylaws, it needs to be circulated a minimum two weeks prior to the General Assembly.

So can I count for this drafting team on Olivier, you and Robert including me? I have seen the [hooks] on the Adobe Connect, and I would like to thank all of you who have volunteered and who will support this kind of drafting process.

Are there questions or further comments from your side on agenda item seven? Drafting team for agenda annual report and, basically, we

have to think what further infos could be needed or useful to be submitted in advance, but will help to have a smooth General Assembly. Olivier, you have raised your hand. You have the floor.

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: Thanks very much. Two things. First, I know that Heidi has put her hand up. I don't know whether it's to comment on this, but in the action item box, the recording of the session, your name is missing on there, and I believe you did say, "Including me." So you'd be on this. [inaudible], thanks.

WOLF LUDWIG: Well, I was thinking. I make a first proposal, as sending it to you and then on this first proposal, we start discussing we completely revise it or we completely change it so it will be on the group, but the first input will be, yes, I have seen Wolf is included by default in this working groups. So I think it's clear now. I've seen Heidi, you have raised your hand. Heidi, you have the floor.

HEIDI ULLRICH: Thank you, Wolf. This is Heidi. Just I wanted to comment that, basically, staff will be preparing a welcome pack for everyone, to all the members of EURALO arriving in Dublin, that will have some of the key documents, the agendas, and basic information so they have that all at hand, and we'd be encouraging them to make sure that they come prepared to the Wednesday session. They'll also try to get little ribbons for everyone to wear that identifies them as EURALO members. Thank you, Wolf.

And just to comment, I am not at the AC room right now, so that hand will remain up, but I will let you know if I do wish to speak. Thank you.

WOLF LUDWIG:

Okay. Thanks, Heidi. This is much appreciated. Also support from At-Large staff, what we have with these so far, as they are always working in the back, the whole registration procedure already was a lot of work to create the survey. Special thanks to Ariel and Heidi, who have pushed a lot in this. So I have on my list already what will be as a printout. It will be submitted before, as I said, but it would be good to have a printed version of all these key documents for this welcome package.

One element will be thesis paper on public interest, the draft agenda, the final draft version to be approved at the opening of the general assembly. Then a copy of the annual report and we may consider some more documents, as we discussed at our July call, that some paper, some additional guidelines could be useful for further discussion.

We had a discussion on the functioning of the EURALO Board or the not functioning of the EURALO Board. Therefore, we suggested at the July call to draft some performance criteria for new Board members based on the experience we had over the last years with nonworking Board. So I think performance criteria for this would be very helpful. Also, I think it would be helpful to have some performance criteria for the secretariat function, as well.

I do not think that for Olivier, we need performance criteria for the chair function after he has chaired the ALAC over various years, in my opinion, brilliant way. I think there are, no doubt, in this direction. So,

therefore, my suggestion will be that this welcome paper may also include a draft version of such performance criteria for the Board and for the secretariat function. Heidi, is this an old hand or a new hand? Heidi?

HEIDI ULLRICH: That's going to be up. I cannot just remove that hand, so just ignore it.

WOLF LUDWIG: Okay. So I'll just ignore it. Okay. If anybody else has some further ideas what documents could be useful for the General Assembly as an input paper, of course, as the members are free to submit something for it. So let us know and we will go through this list during our September call again. So this is just still sort of brainstorming process to be prepared what we need to present and what will be the task for the drafting teams we just decided about.

This was agenda item seven. If there are no comments and questions for the moment, I would like to continue with the next agenda item, what is eight, EURALO networking event.

This was also part of our July discussion and I think there was a clear decision from participating members not to have a showcase in the otherwise usual way. And I think showcase type of event will not be very interesting. It is the majority of our members and at least is [five]. I know them, so it should be a different format. It should be much more based on networking and less on any show elements or presentations.

It should include that members of calls have the possibility to present themselves so if members who are present at the General Assembly and do have any flyers or background material reports from their ALSes, they are all invited to bring there will be a big table during this networking event where member ALSes can display infos about themselves.

And Olivier raised the question directly to me that probably to have to organize something interesting, we should try to find some sponsors for this, and to have some sort of additional budget within all available for this networking issue, and I confirmed to Olivier already that I would very much appreciate any effort in this direction. And as he raised his hand, Olivier, he has the floor again.

OLIVIER CREPIN-LEBLOND: Thank you very much, Wolf. And just saying that in reading your response, I got in touch with Afilias. I haven't had a response yet. Afilias is a registry for .info and they are based – they're headquartered in Ireland. So it would be a good opportunity for them to sponsor our event, and I hope that we'll get a positive reply. There are several other companies that are based in Ireland, or indeed in Europe. that could be potential sponsors. If you do think of anyone, then maybe we can contact them.

I don't think it's going to be such a large amount of money that we need or in-kind contribution of such, and correct me if I'm wrong, Wolf, but the format that we have is going to be primarily very interactive, no showcase, and it was going to be primarily aimed at people to network

with each other. And so I believe that any kind of drinks and maybe a few canapés would probably be able to help as far as getting the exchanges going.

WOLF LUDWIG: You're quite right, Olivier. The only element I could vaguely think about is, perhaps, some short music element in between as we are in Ireland and as Irish folk is not only well-know and much appreciated in Ireland, so I could imagine having some elements like this.

Perhaps for organizing and networking event, it came to my mind that in this exercise, we should probably include Michele Neylon who is a native, and knows the scenery. Michele is a famous person so we can be very helpful giving us some more hints. He should also be part, as he is a member of the EURALO Individuals Association. So I can imagine he may be interested, as well.

HEIDI ULLRICH: Wolf?

WOLF LUDWIG: Yes?

HEIDI ULLRICH: Yeah, this is Heidi, if I may.

WOLF LUDWIG: Yeah, please.

HEIDI ULLRICH: Yes, I'm wondering – I thought that there was going to be some discussion during this networking event. Maybe just the first 20 minutes or so for the discussion from various civil society groups, At-Large, NCSG, NPOC, etc. on the issue of public interest. And then it would go into a networking event. Rather than having it be strictly an interactive networking event.

WOLF LUDWIG: Well, it will be a sort of introduction, of course, Heidi, but we have not yet fixed on the time, the exact time, of this introduction. Of course, it must be in line with our members. Our members are mainly NGOs. Perhaps, we could ask [Bokdon], who our representative from [inaudible] Romania. [Bokdon] is member of the [inaudible] network, which is very well-known and [outspoken] civil rights network.

So we could ask some of our members who are multiple engaged. Also, like how to improve our outreach amongst ISOC chapters if Frederic Donck, the head of ISOC Europe will be most probably in Dublin, so we could also invite Frederic for this. So it should give some incentives and some thought [inaudible].

But I would, as we discussed earlier, limits of introductions to 15 minutes maximum, and then, really, to give the space and floor to our members. If some of them would like to make a contribution, they are

welcome, but it should be mostly interactive type of event, in my opinion.

Any further suggestions, ideas on this? We are running short of time, otherwise. We still have some time, if something comes up into your mind the next days, please, let us know via the list or directly and we can include any new ideas in our considerations.

The next item on the agenda is point nine, nomination procedure for next EURALO officers and timeline. As you may have realized, there was a call yesterday sent out by At-Large staff referring to the respective workspace, and there are now exactly two weeks' time for nominations and support for nominations, etc.. And then early September, the nominated people have to accept the nominations, etc., and election itself will take place at the end of the second part of the General Assembly.

So I think all details are mentioned in the call for nominations, the guidelines and procedures, etc., and if there are no immediate questions on this issue, I would like to go to the next agenda item. We invited Dev tonight for this call, who will give us a short briefing on decisions regarding procedure for the EURALO outreach document. Dev, you have the floor.

DEV ANAND TEELUCKSINGH: Thanks. Thanks for being on this call. What I was here to talk about is just to talk about a little bit about a call for persons from EURALO to join the At-Large Outreach and Engagement Subcommittee. And the subcommittee is seeking persons from EURALO to help develop At-

Large strategy on reaching out to new potential members of the At-Large community, develop an At-Large strategy to engage new and existing members, and then work with in coordination with other working groups, such as the Capacity Building Working Group and the New Meeting Strategy Working Group, to ensure that those strategies are implemented successfully.

So if you are a person in EURALO that is interested in helping At-Large get new members and to get existing members more engaged in At-Large, please join the Outreach and Engagement Subcommittee, and you can just e-mail staff.

So just to highlight some of the things that the Outreach and Engagement Working Group has been working on, we were developing outreach and engagement strategies for each other the RALOs, and we need members from EURALO to help develop the one for EURALO. It's important this time around because one of the outreach activities that ICANN provides is something called CROPP, which is the Community Regional Outreach Pilot Program, which allows for outreach travel to various events [inaudible].

But this year, our strategy has to [be filed] by each of the RALOs with the Regional Global Stakeholder Engagement before any proposals can be filed. So that's why it's important to get members involved now because there's a deadline for submitting the outreach strategy to the Global Stakeholder Engagement Teams, which is end of September.

I see Silvia has posted the link to the Outreach and Engagement Working Group page, so please read it, and please join. I'm here to answer any questions about Outreach and Engagement. Thank you.

WOLF LUDWIG:

Okay. Thanks a lot, Dev, for this quick briefing. I think the present members of EURALO, I cannot recall all of them. There was Yuliya in the subcommittee. I think Sebastien was in the subcommittee. I was member of this subcommittee, and there was recently a call for renewal of the members. So anybody who is on this call who is particularly interested in this effort, how to improve our outreach and engagement and to define some sort of strategy for EURALO is highly welcome.

I think I have still time, or is the nomination period already closed then?

DEV ANAND TEELUCKSINGH:

No. Persons can join the Outreach and Engagement Subcommittee at any time.

WOLF LUDWIG:

At any time.

DEV ANAND TEELUCKSINGH:

Yes. But we do have to select a person on the Community Regional Outreach Pilot Program Review Team. That's the At-Large team in charge of reviewing CROPP proposals. We need to have a select

somebody from the Outreach and Engagement Subcommittee. So we need persons from EURALO to help our selection there. Thank you.

WOLF LUDWIG:

Okay. And there's a question: "Will we have a separate program for in-reach?" That's a very good question, Oksana. I think we need to develop such a program or an approach concentrating on inreach in Dublin. I hope this would be part of the outcomes of the Dublin discussions, how to improve member engagement. This is an in-reach issue, reaching out to new potential members is an outreach issue. So I think we have to discuss to consider both points, but the in-reach aspect is a very important one, and we need to concentrate during our Dublin discussions on this.

If there are no questions on this Outreach and Engagement [inaudible], anybody interested in this subcommittee can always stand up and join in between so there is no limitation for this. I did not really confuse the agenda when I went to point 11 to give Dev a chance after he waited almost for an hour for his contribution and his call for additional members for the Outreach and Engagement Subcommittee.

There is last small point on the agenda, which is a briefing on the decisions. We had an additional call early August, [5th] August on the EURALO Outreach Document. We had an hourly discussion on this and we decided, for the moment, not to update the existing EURALO flyer. We would like to submit the flyer to the members in Dublin again to have the feedback on what could be improved on this, so this will be another bottom-up exercise and not just the decision by few of us in

presenting something, but we agreed at this early August call that we will produce a business card.

So [inaudible]. This is At-Large organizational, this is At-Large flyer, and I thought we will give you a short look on the last draft version three of the business card, but will be produced until Dublin, will be presented, and any member can take a pile of these business cards with some basic information. Yes, it's exactly one.

So it's very simple business card from EURALO with our basic message we agreed upon before ATLAS II in London. EURALO slogan is "The Internet is Yours." And on the back of this card with some basic hints to links on Twitter, Facebook, on social media tools. And as we do have a EURALO LinkedIn group, we do have EURALO Facebook group already, so the respective links listed here on the back of this business card. And I think it's rather simple idea and a rather good idea, and it will be in the year as you see the dominating colors is blue, what is EURALO color.

I think it's a good idea and it's a good draft, and we just wanted to shortly present it to you during this call. If you have comments on this, you need to be extremely quick and send any comments to me or to At-Large staff. We had some previous version [process] Version three is the [reworked] one already, which in my opinion, is fairly good already and could be approved for printing, but anybody who is seeing a detail he doesn't like or he has a better idea how it could be changed. So you need to let us know immediately. Any questions, comments on this?

And these are tools we need to have in line of our outreach discussion when we come to some outreach priorities, etc. And we will have those

discussions also together with Jean-Jacques Sahel because as we repeatedly discussed, I think EURALO outreach strategies should be closely coordinated with any efforts from Jean-Jacques Sahel and his team, and we should really go into the same direction.

Therefore, if you will have this discussion in Dublin, it's of course, in my opinion, a must that Jean-Jacques and members of his team should be present during this discussion. Any further question and comments?

HEIDI ULLRICH: Wolf, this is Heidi.

WOLF LUDWIG: Yes, please. Heidi.

HEIDI ULLRICH: Yes. I wanted to add on that point, which I completely support, there's currently a civil society engagement document in draft form that is out for comments on the At-Large list, including the EURALO list. If you could please comment on that document and, also, add any regional events within EURALO that you think might be able to be an event where At-Large and EURALO could coordinate and collaborate with the GSE team, that would be really key point. Thank you.

WOLF LUDWIG: Okay. Short reply on this question already. There are not many events for the rest of this year. There is a global IGF in Brazil, which is on our

agenda. There are some events around business WSIS+10, etc., but otherwise, I do not know many more events.

HEIDI ULLRICH: Just very quickly. It's fiscal year '16, so that would include up to June 30, 2016. And I know [inaudible]...

WOLF LUDWIG: Okay. For next year, we have to see. We will at least have essential events. The Southeastern European Dialogue, which will most probably be organized in the region, perhaps in Belgrade, and prior to EuroDIG, so we will have early June EuroDIG and we will have, in May, the Republica Fair, and I have seen that the Republica Fair was already mentioned on this document. So we will do some further research.

But some dates may not be confirmed, at least [dates yet], so it will be sort of work in progress, and we have to update this regularly. But thanks for pointing us to this document. I have seen the circulation and the info, etc., to At-Large, to EURALO, and we will keep this in mind.

Any further questions, comments? We are just ten minutes behind. Yes, there is Dev raised his hand. Dev, you have the floor.

DEV ANAND TEELUCKSINGH: Thank you. Just looking at the business card, which looks great, I noticed the URL for the Facebook EURALO's group on Facebook, it's a very long URL. Just point out that a Facebook admin can change the URL. So it could be like Facebook.com/EURALO, if that name has not been taken.

So you might want to just do that so that it's not such a long URL for anyone to type. Just a possible suggestion. Yeah, that's it.

WOLF LUDWIG:

Okay. Thanks a lot. Very good point, Dev. It also jumped into my eyes that this URL doesn't look – so if we can find out about shorter or concise version of this link, it would be helpful. And I've seen that Silvia noted – staff has noted it to be changed. Any further comments, questions, ideas, points?

If this is not the case, I would like to thank all of you for participating at our official or regular monthly August call. I was very pleased about number of participants at this call, and I still hope that we even may have some more participants in the September call what will be the last call before the Dublin meeting and our next General Assembly. And I think it's a good moment for mobilization for our members so that members do not only come to the General Assembly, but may, perhaps, also be interested to join our September call. Thanks a lot to all of you and I wish you a pleasant rest of the evening.

Thanks and goodbye.

UNIDENTIFIED FEMALE:

Thank you, Wolf. Thank you, everyone. Bye-bye.

UNIDENTIFIED FEMALE:

Thank you, everyone. Bye-bye.

TERRI AGNEW: Once again, the meeting has been adjourned. Thank you very much for joining. Please remember to disconnect all remaining lines.

[END OF TRANSCRIPTION]