WOLF LUDWIG:	Welcome to this Single Issue Call that we agreed on during the Buenos Aires ICANN Meeting lately. Usually, as you may remember, we have a seasonal summer break in July without a monthly call, and we would have continued with this tradition, but in the group who met in BA, we said it's now getting urgent to start the first preparations for our next face-to-face GA in October in Dublin, and to have such a single issue call for such preparations. Before I go to the review of the discussions and preliminary conclusions from the brainstorming in BA, I'd like to ask for staff to start the roll call and apologies.
TERRI AGNEW:	Certainly Wolf. Thank you. Good morning, good afternoon and good evening. Welcome to the EURALO Single Issue Call on Dublin General Assembly Preparation, taking place on Tuesday, 21st of July 2015 at 18:00 UTC. On the call today we have Wolf Ludwig, Olivier Crépin-Leblond, Narine Khachatryan, Yrjö Lansipuro, Oksana Prykhodko, Roberto Gaetano, Sébastian Bachollet, Yuliya Morenets, Rudi Vansnick, Sandra Hoferichter and Christopher Wilkinson. We have apologies from Bill Drake.
	From staff we have Heidi Ullrich, Silvia Vivanco and myself, Terri Agnew. Joining us shortly will be Gisella Gruber. I would also like to remind all participants to please state your names before speaking for transcription purposes. Thank you very much, and back over to you, Wolf.

WOLF LUDWIG:	Thank you very much Terri for this roll call of today's call. Let me continue with our Agenda, #3 - review of discussion and preliminary conclusions from the brainstorming at the BA Meeting. At least half of you were at this early morning meeting in BA where we had a rather productive brainstorming and some first thoughts, in my opinion, and I tried to structure today's single issue call in a similar form or manner, like we started in BA.
	First, on some procedural questions, then I think the format was an issue at the BA discussion, and how we could make certain improvements, be more encouraging, et cetera, or improve what we've done in the past so far. Then we had a first preliminary discussion on a potential key topic for the GA. The idea was that we would like to not only have a regular formal meting, where we continue with the usual procedures given by the statute, but also having a content part.
	One of these ideas was 90 minutes, like we had last time during our GA in London, would not be enough to accommodate all the needs and points we should discuss and focus on. Another point is we should have in Dublin, every two years, some elections on the next EURALO Regional Leadership. These are the points I would now try to recapitulate and re-discuss with this group again. I think we should come up with some first decisions on the format, on the next key topic, nomination procedure, et cetera, and also on the question…
	I think we all agreed in BA that we do not want, like other RALOs usually do at ICANN Meetings, to have a traditional type of a showcase. We wanted to discuss other formats and a more appropriate method of how to present EURALO. The first thought about this was a sort of a

networking event afterwards. I don't' know whether you think my summary was conclusive enough, or whether anything who's been in BA, like Olivier, Roberto, Rudi, Sandra, would like to add something onto my review of discussion and preliminary conclusions from the BA brainstorming?

Meanwhile, there have also been some exchanges of comments from Roberto, Olivier, and Yuliya. I think some of the points Roberto raised, and Olivier and Yuliya responded to, are quite substantial, but this is more for the Agenda design or outline afterwards; questions like how we could encourage our Membership more, how we could receive or ensure more inclusiveness, how we could improve participation of the majority of our ALSes in regular EURALO affairs, et cetera. This point I think we have to pick up during the discussion when we do some first drafting of the Dublin Agenda for the GA.

Are there any questions, comments, so far? Anything people present in BA want to add to my short summary? I see no hands raised so far. Therefore let me suggest we continue with the next Agenda Item, which is formal procedures like registration, invitation to the Dublin GA. As you may have realized, I think it was yesterday when a first invitation or reminder was sent again, referring to the first announcement and confirmation of the face-to-face GA, during our May monthly call.

Meanwhile, those who have not participated at this monthly call in May, all Members yesterday received an invitation with a registration form in the format of Survey Monkey, and everyone is invited, or should fill in this registration form, because we need as soon as possible some reliable figure, number, of how many Members we may have for the

next GA and the Dublin Meeting. This is also essential for Constituency Travel, who need this data as well. I think you will get separate invitations from Constituency Travel in the near future. I would like to encourage everybody to respond to this invitation ASAP.

I am aware that due to the holiday season there may be a number of people who are away at the moment, who have not seen the invitation from yesterday, or will not immediately see the invitation from Constituency Travel, and we have to remind them very soon again. In any case, this formal procedure like registration, and travel bookings, should be done and accomplished in early August. So that's not far away anymore, but it will come up in the near future again, and I'm sure it will come up before our next monthly call in August.

Are there any questions regarding this formal procedure; registration, invitation? Or are there any comments? I see no hands. Yes, I see Sébastian's hand raised. Sébastian, you have the floor.

SÉBASTIAN BACHOLLET: I just wanted to be sure that what was sent to the ALS representative from the last GA, I guess, and to be sure that all the others who are participating in the EURALO Board, ALAC, whatever organization around the At-Large, are also invited to the GA.

WOLF LUDWIG: Well, invited or supported - the people who have regular functions like the ALAC Members, the NomCom delegates, and the Regional Officers who are Members at the same time, but to my knowledge, there are at

the moment no other invitations. Who precisely are you thinking about? Who else should be invited, Sébastian?

SÉBASTIAN BACHOLLET: First, the list you gave, for example I didn't receive any invitation. Maybe I missed my email, but there are other people…

WOLF LUDWIG: Sébastian, you are booked as the next incoming ALAC Member.

SÉBASTIAN BACHOLLET: I just wanted to be sure about that. Okay.

WOLF LUDWIG: Yes, and to our contact data, it's Matthieu Camus who is the first contact point of ISOC France. The invitation was directly sent to him as the first contact point of your organization. You will be covered, like Yrjö, and Sandra, and Jimmy, and Olivier will be covered, and Yuliya and me will still be covered. So being the first contact point of my ALS, I received this invitation, but in cases like Olivier's or yours, or Jimmy Schulz, you may not have received this invitation so far, but you will be contacted by Constituency Travel rather soon.

Yes, NomCom delegates are covered by the NomCom. That's the case for Yrjö. I don't know whether staff would like to add anything to this information?

HEIDI ULLRICH: Just very quickly, as I've written in the chat, we have given a deadline of the 7th of August. So if you could register and confirm your participation one way or another, as early as possible, that would be greatly appreciated. Even though Constituency Travel will be sending you an email, regardless of having registered, once we confirm with them who's going, they'll be able to move ahead with the travel plans. The system will move much quicker if you can register.

WOLF LUDWIG: Yes. I'd just like to underline what Heidi has said - for those who are available to do their registration as soon as possible, the next day, then we can concentrate afterwards on those returning from holidays and chase them to get registered in time. I've also see Rudi's comment in the chat. The point is: "This is a problem we faced over the last two or even more GAs - the certain first contact point of Member ALSes who are not responsive."

Therefore in some cases, like it was for ISOC Belgium, like it was for ISOC [unclear 00:18:22] and others, I suggested to put the second contact point in copy, let at least two people in the respective ALS be aware, and then the second contact point may push the first one to respond and make clear whether he wants to participate or not. In your case, Rudi, I know that you are covered already, and therefore it would be possible for France to participate as well. I hope he will be more responsive this time than he was in previous cases.

This is a well-known phenomenon, and we have to run behind the people. There is also the question of if the first contact person for an

ALS is already covered by another function, he or she may nominate another person who can step in, taking over the travel and ICANN support slot. But this you must discuss internally in your ALS. This is nothing we can prescribe from the outside. But it's important that we receive confirmations as soon as possible, as Heidi said. Any further questions?

[unclear 00:20:13] by email [unclear] telephone conference. Yes, Roberto, I would just like to finalize these formal procedures. They are important. I had a lot of discussions with Heidi and staff in a bilateral manner, but when more people are involved and know about these necessities, it's better. I think everybody in this group should feel somehow co-responsible for getting through this very important phase, which is more or less mobilizing our ALSes, mobilizing our communities to get registered ASAP. This was the last remark on this point.

The next one is discussion and decision on proposed format for the Dublin GA. This is something that took quite some time during the brainstorming, and to my memory I think we came up with some very reasonable suggestions already. We will have two parts of the GA - one part for content, and the key topic, which is not only based on formalities but to really discuss about policies and content, and not only about procedures and [regularities 00:22:02] - to my memory, the group present in BA approved to have this in two parts and to dedicate at least 90 minutes to each of them.

We also discussed about potential dates for the GA, and staff have done some research on this, and we came up with a proposal to have this on the Wednesday. Are there any comments, questions from your side?

Did I forget anything on the format? Discussion? Could I take it as an agreement of this group, of the broader organizing group, that we will have these two main elements, and later we'll again discuss about the showcase question, or how we can present EURALO in a suitable and attractive way by avoiding any [folkloristic 00:23:37] things, and by avoiding some misunderstandings?

Presenting our Members, most of them at the moment are not participating a lot in EURALO or ICANN, and therefore a conventional showcase wouldn't be a good idea. Something like improving our networking would be much better. I therefore take the silence as approval on the format issue.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Wolf, sorry, my AC has dropped. Thanks very much Wolf. I'm not quite sure whether we actually have… At the moment we're speaking both of the GA but we're also speaking of the showcase. I wanted to make sure we're all set for the GA itself. You mentioned the last one that we had was 1.5 hours long, we wish to have it longer than that. What was the agreed time for this GA? Then perhaps drill in on the topics - are we just going to work on the input that Roberto and Yuliya and myself have brought forward? Or do we have other suggestions for this?

Then I think we can talk about the showcase or whatever we'll call it. I'm in total agreement with you on this. We obviously have to reach out inside our heads and think of the kind of thing we want to do on that. Thanks.

WOLF LUDWIG:	Thanks a lot. I will come back to your point later. Next is Yuliya.

YULIYA MORENETS:	Thank you Wolf. I was just saying that I do agree with what was just said, on your comment concerning the showcase or the event. I actually quite agree with what Roberto sent by email; proposing a multistakeholder event, or even the networking event, what you were just saying - to have a very short presentation of ALSes in order to give them the opportunity to know each other. I'm quite sure a number of ALSes don't know each other, and they don't really know the daily activities of each other.
	That's [unclear 00:26:47] also to invite to this kind of networking event the GNSO representatives as well as the GAC Members from Europe. I think this will help to put forth EURALO greater, and also to give the information to GAC Members that this exists, what we do, et cetera. My viewpoint. Thank you.

WOLF LUDWIG:	Thanks Yuliya. I think let's concentrate on the social evening element; what was usually a showcase, on #9, because it's a different element in my opinion. This is much more outreach. It's also outreach-oriented, et cetera, and let's keep concentrated on the main elements, which are the content and the regular part of the GA, under #5. The next on my list is Yrjö. Yrjö, you have the floor.

HEIDI ULLRICH: Wolf dropped, so we're just dialing out to him. Bear with us for just a moment, and we'll see if we can get Yrjö on as well.

WOLF LUDWIG: I'm back again. We are still waiting for Yrjö.

HEIDI ULLRICH: He's not on the bridge. I think he's trying to use the AC room, or you can write your question on the chat?

WOLF LUDWIG: This would be an option. Let me come back to Olivier's remarks. Yes, I think we basically agree on the point that it's not a showcase event, it's a social element, but now we should concentrate on the GA itself, and we said we will have a content part, which will be discussed under #6. Because we somehow agreed in BA already that the public interest would be a major topic, and from some feedback we got from people, this was mostly supported as a content element.

I think it can encourage more interest of Members to discuss on specific content elements than only having a GA like last year. Due to our regular procedures we need to have this formal element of the GA where we will present the report from last year, and also the element of elections of next EURALO Officers. What was on the table is to have the GA, as it was confirmed by Heidi, on Wednesday afternoon, and to have it in two parts, starting with what I would suggest is the content-related element, and then having the procedural part afterwards. Each of the two must be 90 minutes.

This is a format question that needs some kind of reconfirmation now that we have the framework. Once we know the framework, I think it will be much easier to concentrate on other details. Any comments, questions? Yrjö is still on the AC room, but he obviously doesn't have any audio. Yes, Heidi?

HEIDI ULLRICH:　　I'm just wondering if there is any interest with this group to hold one of the 90-minute sessions perhaps in the morning, followed by a group lunch, of all the EURALO people? We could help organize that. Then the second 90-minute session would be in the afternoon on Wednesday.

HEIDI ULLRICH:　　It sounds like a good idea to me, especially having such an element as a group lunch, which may be helpful to socialize. I would personally be very much in favor of your idea and I'd like to ask the group for comments.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND:　　I'm in favor of all that. Excellent idea. Thank you.

WOLF LUDWIG:　　Thanks Olivier. I see another approval from Sébastian and from Roberto. I think this can be considered as a good idea, Heidi, and approved. Let's follow up. And from Yrjö. Let's follow up with this procurement in our planning, to have the first part of the GA, the content part, in the morning, then after the content part have a lunch

break, then after the lunch break have the procedural part of the GA. I take this as a decision from today's call, and this can be recorded among the AIs, even if it's just a decision regarding the framing of this next GA.

If we have the decision or the confirmation on the format part, which is #5, it's now up again to think about the key topic. There were several options, like the European strategy, to discuss in Dublin. There was some discussion in the past already on this element, and we had this even as a short part in our London GA. But due to the fact that the public interest is an upcoming interest at ICANN, and by some constituencies in a controversial manner, we thought this could be a good initiative from EURALO to start with such an issue.

As I know the majority of our Members, I can guess that there will be some considerable approval from our Member side as well, and therefore I think it would be a good idea to have this as a key topic for Dublin, and there will be a paper circulated in advance; a brief two-page discussion paper, delivering an input on the topic. Then if we agree on the public interest then we can also think about one or two input persons at the GA itself who could make some contributions on the issues. I'm sure that we have enough excellent and knowledgeable people in our membership who could contribute to this topic.

So in my opinion there would not even be a need to invite anybody external. I think we can perfectly [frame 00:38:00] a session on the public interest by our own people and our own resources. This was an idea from BA, which is now on the table. I'd appreciate any further comments from your side on this. Questions, comments? At least I've

not seen, heard any objection. Yes, I see Sandra's hand raised. Please Sandra, then Roberto.

SANDRA HOFERICHTER: Thank you Wolf. I just want to make a comment on the last part you said, where you said we have enough people in our region and we don't have to invite anyone from the outside. In fact, that's right. But to make this about the public interest thing, and to challenge us a little bit more, I would propose to invite somebody from a totally different region; America, Africa or Asia, or maybe all regions, so that we can really work out the European position and not just discuss among ourselves.

I think this would make such a discussion a little bit more interesting, and as I proposed in BA, I would like to end up with a sort of declaration or paper where we say, "This is the result of our GA and this is the point of view from the At-Large community of Europe." I think a discussion inviting a key person from every region, or from some regions of the world, could make such a discussion, which is going to be very punchy, sharp… We don't want to bore people with another ongoing discussion, and we also don't want to dance.

So we have to find something in-between that's sexy enough to attract people, but on the other hand is more into content and not this type of showcase we all agreed we don't want to have. These are just my two thoughts. Thank you very much.

WOLF LUDWIG: Thanks a lot for this input and suggestion, Sandra. Next is Roberto.

ROBERTO GAETANO: Yes. Thank you Wolf. I think I'm happy that I see things are rapidly getting into shape. I like this separation between the morning and the afternoon. I think however that we should also make sure that we have a little bit of flexibility in the next weeks to discuss what points of content we need to debate. In other words, I agree about the general public interest as the overarching issue, but I think that we might find out in the next couple of weeks that there are some specific items that we need to discuss, and we need to really find a container for that type of discussion.

That is either in terms of the general public interest, like for instance the participation to the ICANN policy development [evening 00:42:08] sub-item of the public interest, or we have other issues to discuss, that are more administrative. I think that this is a good first classification of the time, but I think that in the Working Group that would work specifically for the organization, has to, in the next two, three, four weeks, come up with a more detailed definition of the content of the two parts. Thank you.

WOLF LUDWIG: Yes. Thanks Roberto. I think more or less the role of today's call is to discuss, to re-discuss, and to decide on some key points and framings and once this is decided and we will have a reconfirmation that it will be the public interest as a key topic, then we of course have to concentrate on and discuss - as I've said already - how to implement it during the Dublin Meeting. Of course it needs to be prepared by a paper. I have

already a paper I made for Swiss OFCOM on the issue, which is a long version with a lot of detail.

I doubt whether this long version would be useful for such a discussion, but we can make a short version, maximum two pages that people will really read before they come to Dublin, and not in previous years when most people arrived without having even read the Annual Report. I think it would be pragmatic and better to have a shorter version of such a paper with some key elements explaining the historical context. Due to my researches on this, the public interest is clearly, from its origin, rather European. It can be traced back to the first Greek philosophers, et cetera, and even in early history it has some sources.

So this is basically a very European - culturally, politically, historically - issue, and therefore we thought it's a good idea if EURALO could concentrate on something from its own origins, so to speak. As Sandra suggested, to invite representatives from other RALOs could be an option. Another option could be before we open the discussion in the broader At-Large context, let's concentrate for a moment on our own region, but to invite people from other constituencies, like GAC representatives, because the public interest is a key element for any GAC policy development.

So another option would be to invite somebody from the GAC and to invite somebody from the GNSO, and to have this kind of more inter-constituency oriented discourse at the Dublin Meeting - versus the idea of having an inter-regional discourse already. But this is a detail in my opinion that we can re-discuss afterwards once we've taken a decision on the basic questions; whether we agree on the topic, as such. We

have only 10-15 minutes left for this call. For the moment I'd just like confirmation, re-confirmation from your side, on the key topic, as such. The way we can implement it can be an interesting discussion in our subsequent August call. Olivier?

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Thank you very much Wolf. Can I just get clarification on this: is this a topic for the GA or a topic for what's replacing the showcase?

WOLF LUDWIG: It's for the GA. Don't confuse both of them. This is not a topic for the showcase. It's the content part of the GA.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Okay. Thanks Wolf. I think that's great. I think that would really help, ad certainly having GAC Members, if they can make it - and obviously we'd have to see if they're not in-session at the time - would be quite good. I think we've heard on several occasions, some GAC Members say, "The only part of ICANN that looks out for the public interest are the governments, because that's our business." Certainly I think there's some disagreement within the At-Large community, be we've certainly made most of our, if not all of our judgments on any of the policy in ICANN to benefit end users. If that's not the public interest then I don't know what is. That would be a good starter, yes. Thank you.

EN

WOLF LUDWIG: I totally agree with you Olivier. I think that the GAC and ALAC are the two constituencies where the public interest may be of foremost interest, but nevertheless, as I observed over the last years, it's a contested element in the ICANN community from the business stakeholder groups. There are a lot of people who tell you in private talks, "This is bullshit, nobody knows what the public interest is," et cetera, and therefore I see a real need to have such a discussion and to perhaps come up with a clearer definition about what we really understand about it, when we talk about the public interest.

I think I have understood from the reactions we've gotten so far that we can confirm this content element, or the key issue of the public interest for the content part of our next GA. I would quickly like to ask you for your approval. If you can click in the AC with a green flag. If you disagree you can also use the red one. If we have a good majority on here, as we had in informal talks already before, I think it's a good idea. So we've decided today now about the principles to do it, to adopt it, and the way we implemented and presented the issue, and the way we discuss and in what combination, this is the next step and will be discussed, and should be decided, during the August call.

I take this as a strong approval. I would like to thank you all for this strong approval, because I really think it's a good idea. I can tell you, I've informally discussed this with a lot of GAC people and even GAC people told me if EURALO would do such a thing, it would be an important initiative and it would also be appreciated by others. So we have a decision on this element. Time is running short.

Agenda #7 can be shorter. It's just the nomination procedure for the next EURALO Officers, and timelines. As some of you may recall, some weeks ago when we had to select our next ALAC Member, Sébastian, and to our NomCom delegate, Yrjö, there was already on the workspace an option for nominations of the next EURALO Officers. Even the call was not officially opened, there were some nominations. Now we have to officially launch this nomination procedure again. I would like to suggest we have to launch this nomination procedure in August and try to come up with a list of nominations for the two positions by the end of August, latest.

Staff will circulate, in collaboration with me, an announcement on this, with a link pointing to the workspace. Then people can nominate via the list, or can nominate in direct mail to staff, so the nomination procedure must be opened early enough to come up with a list of candidates by the end of August. Heidi?

HEIDI ULLRICH: Just to confirm, Wolf, that the people who have been nominated, who were nominated earlier, and the support that they've received, those will not count and everything starts afresh? Is that correct?

WOLF LUDWIG: Yes, I think we should start from the baseline, even if there was more or less a clear base of support for Olivier as the candidate for the next Chair. We can start this again, and even if we know that the nomination of Olivier is not contested at all, I think it would be a better way; to start

from zero, or the baseline. Some people may still remember who were the candidates already, or who were the supporters.

I think it's much less confusing to start from the baseline, if there are no contradictions from your side now? It's not a big issue. It's a call for nominations, and I think the rules are fairly known, that after nominations there are supporters or no supporters, and then also supporters will be listed, and within a short period we'll find out whether we have one or two candidates for the two positions. But we have to do it again, and it will be just the nominations for the two EURALO Officer positions, and then the timelines after that where nominated people have to accept their nominations - this can be rather late, but of course before the GA so we know the outlines, the composition, and we can prepare this procedural part of the GA.

Any questions, comments, from your side? Roberto Gaetano: "What about the EURALO Board?" Well, let me be very provocative. Where was a EURALO Board the last two years? It's a very valid question we have to raise. While it still exists on the paper, it still exists, but I haven't seen much contributions, engagement or involvement from this EURALO Board. Never before Lisbon, and not after Lisbon, when there was so much discussion in Lisbon. Yes, we have to revitalize the Board, and some even suggested that there should be Board calls.

I clearly said at the time, if the Board wants to make this happen then the Board must organize this itself. This is not part and was never understood as being my part of the job. So there was no initiative, there was no activity that I know about. Therefore we have to bring this point up - whether it makes any sense to keep such an element in our

structure, like a EURALO Board, who is a sleeping animal in-between GAs. Is your question, Roberto, that we can keep this as a point when we do some first drafting for the EURALO GA? I see Roberto's hand raised.

ROBERTO GAETANO: Since I also had the impression that the Board was not doing or even not existing, if I remember correctly there was an unlimited number of people that basically everybody that wanted could join the Board. I think this is probably something that we need to address with the change of our constitutional documents. Probably we can discuss that via email and see how people feel about it. Thank you.

WOLF LUDWIG: Thanks a lot Roberto. I agree with you. We should discuss details perhaps via email, but we should keep in mind to have this on the Agenda, because it's an important element of our structure, which is evidently not functioning. Just one clarification: to my knowledge, there are eight Board Members and a broader list. Previous ALAC Members are included on the list but would formally have no voting right on the Board. The Board is more or less limited to eight people with voting rights, excluding the EURALO Officers and other people who are listed on the mailing list. Just for clarification.

Any further questions on this? An important element is just to have a small drafting team for the Agenda outline for a first Agenda outline. Then of course we'll come back to this group with the first outline. In my opinion there should be Olivier in this drafting team. I think it could

be useful if I would be on this drafting team, and everybody else who is interested in being part of this, perhaps Roberto. We would have three names already. The job for the moment is to come up with the first Agenda outline, which needs to be really discussed.

We have to put it on the list for consultation and whether people would like to see other elements. So it's just coming up with the first draft. That would be all for the moment. Yes, Olivier?

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Thank you very much Wolf. Just as question - so we would have a starting paper, and then to understand this, we would then be discussing this in the GA, or the second part of the GA?

WOLF LUDWIG: No, in the group. We will make a draft for the August call, and then the first draft can be discussed between the August and the September call. It would be good if we could consolidate the draft Agenda with the September call that we have a consolidated Agenda for October for the GA itself. This is the ideal timeline behind this.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Okay, thanks. But ultimately we would be discussing the components of the Board, or the composition of the Board at the GA as a final thing to push through? Okay, that would be great.

EN

WOLF LUDWIG: Yes.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: If I could just add, I do think that we do need to have some performance criteria, and before even putting pen to paper I wondered whether there was any support here for performance criteria of EURALO Board Members?

WOLF LUDWIG: That's a good idea. I would like to support not just having the usual discussion as in previous years, and then the people will say, "Well, yes, but next time we will do better." We have seen that this way doesn't lead to any results, so to have a sort of enforcement mechanism, to design and define some performance criteria for Board Members could be very useful; to have such a paper in advance for the respective Agenda Item at the GA itself. I'd like to ask to keep this also among GA preparations. Olivier suggested to create performance criteria for Board Members. Okay.

We are running short of time. We are already four minutes behind our schedule. The question is whether we need to now enter into discussion about #9. I think we all agree that we want no showcase, and we have a tendency that we'd like to have some kind of networking/social event, even a networking/social event that could have a music element of some Irish folk singers performing two or three songs in-between could be nice, but we must have - and I suggest to enter into a discussion at our next call about this - the details for this social event. But now Yrjö has raised his hand again. You have the floor.

YRJÖ LANSIPURO: Thank you. Sorry for all the trouble I had. Just on the event that would replace the traditional showcase, I'd like to repeat the suggestion I made in BA that we should have targeted invitations, especially to people from ISOC Chapters in countries where ISOC Chapters are not At-Large organizations, basically discussing the possibility of them actually becoming ALSes also; the how to [unclear 01:05:56] a corporation to become ALSes and for instance ISOC Chapters in various countries.

WOLF LUDWIG: Thank you Yrjö. I think this was my initial reaction earlier. I think this is a very good proposal, which also should be listed. I don't think it makes much sense to approach and invite ISOC Chapters worldwide, but at least ISOC representatives from Europe. We could probably coordinate with ISOC Europe, with Frédérick Donck, who may have an idea or overview of how many representatives from European Chapters will attend the Dublin Meeting, and to make sure that we send some invitation via the [ISOC General 01:07:05] to such representatives, and to precisely invite them to our social event.

I think this is an important idea, and could be a very good outreach element for this social event in Dublin. Heidi has raised her hand. Heidi, you have the floor.

HEIDI ULLRICH: Thank you Wolf. One point: as we heard in BA, ICANN staff are working on a civil society engagement plan, and it might be useful to not only

include the ISOC Chapters but also include ICANN - other groups that identify as civil society, such as NPOC and NCSG and NCUC - perhaps include them as well? Secondly, perhaps this could be organized in collaboration with Jean-Jacques Sahel, who's VP for Europe?

WOLF LUDWIG: Thank you Heidi. Good point. Of course, the ISOC idea is not exclusive, but we have Bill Drake as the NCSG representative already on board, so we can send this invitation via his channel. We have Rudi here for NPOC, et cetera. I think with the networks we have already, we can be sure that we reach these groups and everybody who is understood more or less as civil society will be invited, and not even only exclusive to civil society. So the social event should be directed to the broader community, so everybody who is curious. Also it could be useful for the Fellows in Dublin.

But these are details about the fusion of the invitations. We have to re-discuss and decide this subsequently during the August and September calls. I think even September would be enough to have a clear breakdown of the list of which groups we would like to particularly address and invite. If there are no questions on #9 - Heidi, you put the EURALO outreach document, development of updated document, on the Agenda.

HEIDI ULLRICH: Yes, if I could take two minutes? I wanted to let everyone know that as you know we've recently produced an updated ALAC outreach document. It's on your screen. The next group to get one is EURALO,

and we have confirmed that if we start now to develop that, we'll have it ready in time for the GA. Again, the format would be similar to this ALAC one, so it would be a tri-fold. I'm looking for a few volunteers that would work with At-Large staff and communications staff to produce a EURALO updated outreach document.

WOLF LUDWIG: Good idea, and will be supported I think. I take this as progress from the earlier versions already, and I guess you can count at least on the support of Yuliya and me still in office, and of Olivier of course, and perhaps from Roberto who has a lot of experience with various outreach, et cetera. I wouldn't foresee any problem of following up on this.

HEIDI ULLRICH: Thank you Wolf.

WOLF LUDWIG: Yuliya?

YULIYA MORENETS: I just wanted to confirm that yes, definitely we'll be able to help with this.

WOLF LUDWIG: Okay. This idea, this project is launched now, and has to be followed up with At-Large staff. If there is no other business under Agenda Item #11,

I think we are through today's Agenda, with a short delay. Anybody want to come up with Any Other Business? I have nothing noted for me. Therefore let me conclude today's call. I think it was very useful and helpful after the brainstorming meeting in BA. To have this discussion in an extended composition again, I think we came out with confirmation and decision about basic elements for the next GA planning, and the details on the particular content parts of the GA's regularity part.

For the social event we still have time and we can go into further details on our next call, which will be in the frame of a regular monthly in August. Meanwhile, if you have any other ideas you can use the mailing list; either the general EURALO mailing list or the mailing list of the organizing group. Thanks a lot for your participation. I guess some of you may already be in holiday mode. Thanks a lot. It was very useful, and I wish you a very pleasant summer evening. Thanks again, and goodbye.

[END OF TRANSCRIPTION]