

## 2) Accountability Mechanisms

### 2.1 Description of Overall Accountability Architecture

The CCWG-Accountability identifies four building blocks that would form the accountability mechanisms required to improve accountability.



#### Drawing a state analogy:

- Empowered community refers to the powers that allow the community (i.e., the people) to ~~take action should~~ ICANN ~~breach~~ accountable for the principles.
  - The group identified powers and associated mechanisms including the ability to:
    - Remove individual directors or recall the entire ICANN Board of Directors;
    - Approve or veto changes to the ICANN Bylaws, Mission, Commitments and Core Values; and
    - Reject Board decisions on Strategic Plan and budget, where the Board has failed to appropriately consider community input.

**Commentaire [1]:** Should be "Independent Appeals Mechanism" per slide 3 of visual summary.

- ❑ Principles form the Mission, Commitments and Core Values of the organization (i.e., the Constitution).
  - ❑ The group proposes changes that should be made to the Mission, Commitments and Core Values in ICANN's Bylaws. For example, the group discussed how key provisions of the [Affirmation of Commitments](#) could be ~~reflected~~incorporated into the Bylaws.
- ❑ ICANN Board ~~represents the entity the community may act against (i.e., the executive), as appropriate~~ is responsible for directing ICANN's affairs and is held accountable to the community through the community's powers
  - ❑ The Board requires that periodic Structural Reviews be conducted and that such reviews include an independent assessment of SO and AC accountability to their respective communities. These reviews should include input from the wider community that each SOs and ACs is designed to represent
  - ❑ ~~The Board also conducts regular Structural Reviews of the various SOs and ACs. These Reviews include an assessment of SO and AC accountability to their respective communities, along with regular participants as well as the wider communities they are designed to represent.~~
- ❑ Independent ~~Review~~Appeals Mechanisms, (i.e., the judiciary), confers the power to review and provide redress, as needed.
  - ❑ The group proposes to strengthen the existing ~~independent review process~~Independent Review Process suggesting improvements to its accessibility and affordability, and process design including establishment of a standing panel capable of issuing binding outcomes. The IRP panel decisions would be guided by ICANN's Mission, Commitments and Core Values.

Commentaire [MW2]: Izumi suggestion

This section of the public comment report details the key recommendations ~~upon which of~~ the CCWG-Accountability ~~would like to receive input from the community. These recommendations do not reflect CCWG-Accountability consensus at this point.~~