

ICANN
Moderator: Maryam Bakoshi
July 5, 2016
7:27 am CT

Coordinator: Recordings have started.

Maryam Bakoshi: Thank you very much Jo. Good morning, good afternoon, good evening. This is the NPOC open meeting on Tuesday, the 5th of July 2016.

On the call today we have James Gannon, Joan Kerr, Klaus Stoll, Olevie Kouami, Rafik Dammak, Sonigitu Ekpe, Tapani Tarvainen, Vernatius Ezeama, Sam Lanfranco, Ines Hfaideh and from staff we have myself myself, Maryam Bakoshi and Adam Peake.

I would like to remind all the participants that please state your name before speaking for transcription purposes. Thank you very much. Over to you Klaus.

Klaus Stoll: Thank you very much. This is Klaus. First of all, those who are not speaking can I please ask you to move your microphone to avoid acoustics (unintelligible).

Otherwise let's start now and first of all, welcome, welcome, welcome. Thank you very much for so many people attending the meeting. There seems to be a little slight misunderstanding about the nature of the meeting.

This is actually the new NPOC (unintelligible) meeting but I think and probably to a lot of the other (unintelligible) members it is more than appropriate to open this meeting up to the participation of all NCSG members.

I think this makes the whole thing much more open (unintelligible) productive and the only difference is that when it has come and it has to be from both that only the NPOC extra members are actually allowed to vote.

But otherwise everybody who is a NCSG member can participate, speak and participate in the meetings. So are there any further questions regarding to that point please?

Yes Joan please.

Joan Kerr: So it is Joan Kerr for the record. So I just want to be clear because one of the things that we are going to be talking about in Number 5 is to engage the members and have the membership meeting throughout and we will talk about it whether we have that once a month whatever.

So how would this be different than having it open? Do we have two? What do we do then?

Klaus Stoll: So (unintelligible) thank you. Joan I am not quite sure where there is any need for excluding anybody from our discussion and membership. Could you explain that a little bit more?

Joan Kerr: No I wasn't talk about excluding. I am actually quite happy that we are open to people joining. I think it is fantastic to be truthful. I am just asking if this

meeting is set up to all of our members and we are going to have
(unintelligible) are we duplicating our (unintelligible)? That is all I am asking.

I tried to be efficient in my time so if we are doing the same thing I am never
attracted to that. That is all I am asking.

Klaus Stoll: I think the same all applies to membership meetings that we have. We have
membership meetings that are going directly to the members (unintelligible)
everybody who has an interest I think should be able to connect to participate.

Does that help you with the answer? Is that something you can
(unintelligible)?

Joan Kerr: (Unintelligible).

Klaus Stoll: Okay any further objections or any further suggestions on that one please,
please let us know and we will deal with it.

We have a huge agenda so let's try to get a little bit through it. And please,
please apologize that I am speaking so much this time around. I hope that we
can really avoid (unintelligible) meeting.

So this is for reasons of confirmation. So the new NPOC (unintelligible) looks
like the following. The chair, Klaus, Vice Chair, (Martin DeSilva),
Membership chair, Joan Kerr, Communications committee chair, Juan Manuel
Rojas, Secretary, Olevie.

Where this without any problems there is only one committee chair which is
open and that committee chair is for policy. And my proposal and this has to

be discussed verbally in Helsinki with some of the (unintelligible) members is to nominate (unintelligible) for this position.

I was hoping that we have him here on the call. But we talked to (unintelligible) by email and he agreed to take this call. Would take this position. But I would like also to stress to everybody in NPOC that policy committee is basically the main committee in NPOC.

And one of the big goals of our policy committee has to be to (unintelligible) NCSG policy committee and to give it the best we can. So I would suggest that we make it a habit NPOC members to turn up all to turn up to our policy committees and if possible to participate as much as we can in the NCSG policy committee.

Are there any objections to that? I am not hearing any. Okay so I take it it is approved.

Okay can we go to the next point which is basically our participation in the NPOC committees and Tapani please feel free to be kind and correct if I am saying anything wrong at that point. Because I am not always (unintelligible).

So I understand and that we have to have a (unintelligible) people on the NCSG executive committee. Tapani is that right? Hello?

I can hear from – okay I see Tapani in (unintelligible). Okay so the proposal here again is that we place Rudy and me in the NCSG executive committee with Joan and effective committee with Joan and (unintelligible).

This is the proposal if there are any objections on these please let us know now or soonest in writing. But I see so far only approvals. Is there anybody object please raise your hand to let us know that you want to speak.

Going once. Going twice. Gone. It is gone (unintelligible).

The next one is the NGS policy committee. Tapani sorry I put you on the spot. So for the policy committee if it is a one member from NPOC policy committee or if it is more than one member.

Tapani okay. That is Tapani (unintelligible) so I would say that then the nominees for the NCSG policy committee from NPOC are (unintelligible) because he is the chair of the policy in NPOC. And that Martin DeSilva is joining (unintelligible) in this very, very important committee.

And once again I am sorry that I get on your nerves (unintelligible). This is something we really need to participate and put in a lot of focus for our work in NPOC in the future.

Okay if there are no objections let me move to actually policy making. And actually we have this Olevie you want to speak. Please speak. Olevie we saw that you wanted to be added to the policy committee. If you want to speak and have audio please do so now.

Okay Olevie you wish and your desire has been noted. And next speaker who wants to be recognized from (unintelligible). Would you please speak? Your hand is raised but your microphone is muted. You have to unmute your microphone to be able to speak.

Okay now you can speak please. (Unintelligible) please speak. I am sorry that (unintelligible) Olevie. This is (unintelligible) put me down and let's move on from actually the different policy committees to actual point of policy making.

I think we need to concentrate on the very essence of policy making in ICANN here. And the point here is Olevie if you would like to speak. Please go now. Okay Olevie I will now continue with the meeting. Thank you.

So I think we basically need to work on the actually concurring policy issues in ICANN. We need to concentrate secondly on the Workstream 2 and I think one of the search topic we should concentrate on is NPOC is the outreach.

Is James still alive? Yes James Gannon. I really would like to ask you from the perspective of NCSG and (unintelligible) what do you think are the really current most burning and policy issues which are discussed at the moment in ICANN?

Sorry to put you on the spot like that James. But I think (unintelligible).

James Gannon: Yes I didn't know I could speak but no it is not a problem. Yes so one thing that is coming out of the accountability discussion – sorry and this is James Gannon for the record.

And coming out of the accountability discussions that we have been having for the last 18 months or so. We are now coming into what is called Workstream 2 which is basically the second phase of that accountability work.

And in that Workstream 2 we have 9 different areas and I actually don't have them in hand but they are across a broad range of topics which is the jurisdiction of ICANN, the accountability of the SLAC, transparency at

ICANN, standards of conduct. A number of different policy areas that we will need people to work on.

And a number of these will be running in parallel. So it is an area we do really need to (unintelligible) on the ground and I will say that we have members that are maybe not very familiar with the ICANN policy process. That is okay.

And there are a number of people who will be coming in and starting with Workstream 2. And as such, you know, you will be able to get up to speed and just sit in on the first few meetings.

And understand how the meetings (unintelligible), the way that the process happens. I would very much encourage people to look at the list of topics. I will send that list to Klaus and he can forward it onto your list and on the signup sheet to say that you are going to participator or observer or as a member or even sit in on meetings.

I think it is a place where NCSG needs greater participation and greater focus on and I think it will be a great opportunity for your members.

Klaus Stoll: Wonderful, thank you James. I think what we need to do and I hope that the NPOC members agree with us. I think we need to set up a kind of primer (unintelligible) to go in the basics of Workstream 2 and basics of the current policy issues in ICANN so that we basically bring all the members up to speed on what the actual topics are.

And be how to best to engage and how we can actually get people together and into groups. And talking about (unintelligible) topics some of us as you can see in the chat already (unintelligible) some of the topics to participate in these.

I think (unintelligible) in there. I am not quite sure. But I think this is something we really should take forward by as I said. I think we should try to set up some kind of (unintelligible) stating the basics on (unintelligible) how to participate and not go into too much discussion of the respective topics.

And I also encourage everybody as you know there are (unintelligible) preparation in the NCSG. There are meetings that are happening there and I will make a point that this (unintelligible) on the import discussion.

And even so if you just bear to listen in I think it is (unintelligible) just to see what is going on and to learn the lay of the land that is very good. James do you want to speak?

James Gannon: (Unintelligible) I would encourage you to just check with Maryam because a couple of weeks before Helsinki, Robin our member on the Workstream 1 accountability actually had a Webinar safely doing exactly that. And I am sure there is a recording over there and a transcript that we can send out to your members and I think that would be really useful for them to read.

She had a really excellent overview of what the topics were and how to get involved and everything else. I think that would be really useful.

Klaus Stoll: Thank you James. Very good detail. I participated in that Webinar. It is my (unintelligible) a little bit primer enough but at least that is a very, very good idea. And I think some of the action items we will have over the next two or three weeks is to have specific policy discussion on the NPOC members to really get us up to speed and to get us more ???

Tapani if you don't mind is your speaker working now?

Nope okay I am sorry but I wanted to ask Tapani was basically what he thinks specific issues he would like to contribute from the point of view of the NCSG?

So I think as we have so many topics on our agenda let's move on and note that we will try to inform, mobilize and get the meeting together (unintelligible) meeting with the NPOC membership over the next two or three weeks.

So that we can actually encourage them and (unintelligible) participate more in the policy making process on different levels. And also provide more resources in the background.

So if there are no further objections and looking forward (unintelligible). Let's move to the topic of membership. Joan could you please let us know a little bit about the situation (unintelligible).

What you are planning to do? And also as (Martin) discussed on the list could you please also update us a little bit on the NPOC (unintelligible). Thank you very much.

Joan Kerr: Great thank you Klaus. It is Joan Kerr for the record. So just to say that we do have 63 verified, validated members so I am always proud to say that. So that is the first thing.

So in Helsinki quite a number of meetings with Maryam and Janice D Lange and Martin to discuss some of the activities and how we can partner (unintelligible). Hello?

Klaus Stoll: Sorry mute your microphones.

Joan Kerr: Yes thank you. How we can partner and strengthen our outreach and encourage our members to participate in ICANN and NPOC. So just a couple of things before I start with the activities.

What the membership chair and what the communications chair ought to do. And as you know we didn't have a communication chair so we didn't have the issue and I never really did look at it.

So I wanted to clarify so that there was no stepping on toes I guess. So the both – everyone obviously communication members but I am talking about duties here. So the (unintelligible) chair it appears would do a lot more of the end reach with the members and take a role in some of the planning of events and activities.

And so that is very clear. And then the communications chair would be – I am not telling him what to do but it appears that they would be more likely to be communicating again with the members but also to do some outreach and partnerships that you talked about in Helsinki. So I just want to sort of frame it in that vein.

So (Janice's) group including (Martin) and (unintelligible) had some staff initiatives that she is working on. And they are preparing a tool that we will have (unintelligible) will have it but and really excited to work on it.

A tool kit on how to work inside ICANN. So the group of us would help sort of frame it and send it off to the constituencies and they can add their image and according to their own constituency. So we are going to be working on that.

They also have – (Janice’s) group also have an ambassador program which I really wanted to talk about so that there was again if we could work together. So in Hyderabad if we all agree there are ambassadors that are leaders in the (unintelligible) that we will be working with to outreach to not-for-profits in the area.

So I know we are going to be talking about that in Number 6. And how they can help us initially as I told her our ambassador program is a mainstay. It will be something that we will be working on to help and mentor members to sort of represent areas in a given region so she was okay with that.

So we did work – we are working initially for Hyderabad because there is a timeline but then we will have our own stamp branded, Ambassador Program.

We also talked about the mentorship program that was mentioned back for Marrakech. And there are some issues with that initially but we talked that through and we can now also work on our own mentorship program.

So those are two really big things that we had talked about before – so they couldn’t go ahead because of conflicts or issues. We ironed those out and so I will do a plan for the committee and (unintelligible). And that is my report and I will take any questions.

Klaus Stoll: (Unintelligible) very good. Anybody any questions? Any objections?

Going once. Twice. Gone.

Okay then let me – Joan let me ask you one question. As you know we are creating a new (unintelligible) and I know we already have some of the (unintelligible) in writing.

But could you try to create an email basically explaining and outlining the plans we have in there so that we can send some (unintelligible) memberships but also basically use the same text on the NPOC Web site if that is okay with you.

There is no absolute rush. I am not meaning that I want that tomorrow.

Joan Kerr: No worries. I will be happy to do that.

Klaus Stoll: Okay wonderful thank you.

Okay as you already mentioned it then let's go to Point Number 6, ICANN 67 (unintelligible). Let me talk throughout about Point B to travel just to make it absolutely clear.

We have got four to five plus from NPOC on (unintelligible). And normally what happens is that (unintelligible) by people from the executive committee. If you don't have any objections to that I would like to change (unintelligible) in the future.

What I would like to do is before every meeting to ask everybody in NPOC if they are interested to travel to the next ICANN meeting. Basically the week after the last ICANN meeting we will ask everybody do you want to go to the next ICANN meeting?

And most important which are the functions which is the what? Which is the outcome you expect? And that we actually decide on who is going to the respective meeting space on what people actually can achieve and work on and get through during the meeting why it is important for them to participate into the next meeting?

I know it is a huge step even a little bit more work for us to decide. But I think it is much, much fairer to everybody that we basically decide now on the way of who is traveling more on the (unintelligible).

The other point which I got a message loud and clear from ICANN staff. We have to decide very, very early on who is going where. We cannot do any last minute adjustments or any last minute additions.

So be aware about it but let me come to that point. Do you agree that we basically ask the membership after each ICANN meeting? Who would like to go to the next event? And on what (unintelligible) what function? And what role? And what expected outcome they would like to have.

Is there anything what you think that doesn't make sense? Joan again. Joan please.

Joan Kerr: Joan for the record. Sometimes I have problems unclicking my mute. So I just want to be clear when you use the word membership are you saying to the larger membership or you are asking (unintelligible) as a whole?

Klaus Stoll: I think the larger membership. Joan the reason for this is that sometimes we have members who might have a particular contribution or a particular point to make or a particular function in the inner upcoming meeting. That we keep

this option open. As I said, it's dependent on the merit and on the - and basically of the (unintelligible).

Joan Kerr: So can I ask another question? So how do we decide who - like what the criteria for choosing a member then? Like what if there are (five) and people say yes. They're doing - I mean I - in theory I agree with this because it would obviously be based on performance. But how do you decide who goes?

Klaus Stoll: Basically what we will do is to collect first the nominations and then discuss in an open - in an open ExCom call of what are the different merits are and then we make decisions who's going.

And as you know, it's always extremely hard to do this cut but (unintelligible) that would be taken and I think we should do this ultimately transparently and based on merit.

Joan Kerr: It's Joan again. Just for the record, I'd really like to see something on, you know, you know, this - so that everyone knows this is what we're doing and this is how you could be chosen as opposed to just put it out there saying this is what's going to happen. So let's work with it and see what (happens).

Klaus Stoll: Joan, I think - this Klaus for the record. I think that's a brilliant idea and of course we need to have the paper which states exactly the rules and (unintelligible).

So I commit myself to produce a draft of that paper latest before the next ExCom meeting. I hope that is okay with (everyone). Any more questions, objections on this? Okay. Then let's come to (unintelligible) about outreach events.

I think it's really, really important for NPOC that we become active in - with regard to that event. But please allow me to make a suggestion with regard to the event in Hyderabad.

We know that is fifth anniversary of NPOC. And some of the suggestions were to basically to have a fifth birthday celebration of NPOC and to have a nice reception, nice event or whatever.

I think to be absolutely honest that it's not for me. That's not - that's (unintelligible). And instead of celebrating the birthday - the fifth birthday of NPOC, I think we should have a celebration of (civil) society engagement in ICANN.

And for that I would propose that we have a open meeting basically during - maybe during the (constituency) (unintelligible) or so where we basically have a Webinar that can be - that can be promoted throughout India and further and why it's important for a civil society to get engaged in the government and what is their role.

So basically really celebrating civil society engagement in general. And I would make this event an event, which basically (a live event) which is done as a Webcast so that we can get as many as possible participants and really promote it throughout India and beyond.

And this should be maybe organized and led by NPOC but I would also like to be able to call on our partners in NCUC and NCSG and beyond to participate in that event. Are there - yes. Poncelet - your microphone is muted.

Poncelet Ileleji: Yes. Good afternoon. Poncelet for the record. I just wanted on the outreach event you talked about. I think last year we - I have this organization that's

India. They are based in (unintelligible) - they are based in India. They have been contacting me in regards to .ngo on stuff they wanted to do with promoting .ngo in around in Africa.

So I felt it would be a good forum in terms of outreach if NPOC works with them because there are already a number of NGOs within India and Asia in their outreach for the .ngo.

So I can put you through to them Klaus with an (inflow) email and also with Joan so you can take it up on them especially that we are going to - there'll be events around - and outreach events around membership within - during the Hyderabad event. I just thought I should (chip) that in. I will send an email on that later. Thank you.

Klaus Stoll: Klaus for the record. Thanks Poncelet; a wonderful and marvelous suggestion. And could I also urge everybody who knows NGOs in India who you think would be interested in that, let us know. Basically who's out there and who can - who'd be interested in supporting.

But before we go any further, are there any comments or objections or violent tantrums about basically going away from celebrating five years of NPOC and to celebrating civil society engagement in ICANN and doing it on the level of demonstrating why civil society should be engaged and what role civil society - what they actually get out of participation in (unintelligible) and in general and ICANN in particular. And Joan again please.

Joan Kerr: It's Joan for the record. I mean it's always a good idea to have education for what we do. But might I make a suggestion? If we're trying to engage especially I'm thinking with the eyes of membership and ambassadors, when

we go to a region or an area shouldn't we sort of be looking at what the general area not for profits are doing and getting to know them?

What are their issues and what kind of support to they need? What are the questions, that sort of thing? What would it take for them to get involved in NPOC?

And then sort of incorporate that in our mission and our engagement strategy so that what we're doing is actually coalescing information in a given area Number 1; and Number 2, getting to know and work with the different not for profits. And then have a reception so that everyone else can speak with them. So that's just a suggestion.

Klaus Stoll: Thank you Joan. That's a very good suggestion. And the next to speak is Poncelet.

Poncelet Ileleji: Yes. Poncelet for the record. I just wanted to add to Joan's comment. (And through) the ICANN engagement center in Singapore I think it seems they (work) around Asia. It would be also a good suggestion whether they might know (of these) not for profits within our domain and within out (base) in India and also within the Hyderabad area especially since Hyderabad is a technology city in India that's - they can help link us with.

I don't know how (that) - I don't know the structures of the engagement center there but I'm sure through ICANN staff Maryam can be able to have more information whether they have such information available.

Klaus Stoll: Klaus for the record. Poncelet, another brilliant idea; one after another. That's how we like it. And we can get the answers actually quite quickly. Adam, could you give us a little bit of your (vision) and opinion on (that discussion)

with regard to the outreach event? Adam. Okay. Adam doesn't seem to - he doesn't seem to be able to speak.

So what I - are there any more comments with regard to the - any more comments with regard to the Hyderabad event? If not, I think we should draft the (outreach event), send it round - send it round the Executive Committee and talk to people and send a more elaborative draft to the membership to discuss and thus implementing.

I will commit myself to do that in the next - by the end of next week so that we can go full steam into this discussion. Okay. Are there any more questions, discussion points, commentary with regard to ICANN 57 Hyderabad? If there aren't, Sam, could I please ask you to speak quickly what is the situation with regard Point Number 7, the NPOC bank account?

Sam Lanfranco: (Sure). Can you hear me?

Klaus Stoll: (Unintelligible). Yes. We can hear you.

Sam Lanfranco: Okay. Thank you. With regard to the bank account, the last day in Helsinki (William) gave me a pile of documents relating to the bank account over the two years. And I've just begun to digest the documents. And so at this point in time I'd say my report there will be extremely brief and that - let me just check my numbers here.

All I'm going to do is at this point is say what the current balance is. The bank account will be transferred to a new account shortly. And the balance is just under \$7400 U.S. And the funding has come from donations from (two IR). That's all I think needs to be said now because I haven't had time to look over what has happened over the past two years. But I'm open to any questions.

And I will be proposing, as I said, as Treasurer for NCSG, that I'm going to push for NCSG, NPOC and NCUC to do an annual financial report just for more transparency for our members. That's all I can say now. Take questions.

Klaus Stoll: Thank you Sam. Before we go to Joan, could you give us a little bit of information on where you're planning or how you're planning (unintelligible)?

Sam Lanfranco: Okay. I have - that issue has not fully been settled. I have established that it's possible to set it up as an NPOC bank account with (his) signature in Canada. We don't - we just have to have structure. We don't have to be incorporated for that to happen. And it doesn't subject the owner of the account to tax liabilities, as apparently is the case in the EU.

I am also checking to see if there's a way to have an online account that meets the sort of very cloudy conditions so that we wouldn't have to worry about moving it every time there is a new treasurer. So that's where things stand.

Now we could do it here without any difficulty. I met with the Bank of Montreal yesterday. They said no problem. But the - we are left with the problem that if we - if a new treasurer is in Barcelona, Brazil or Bangladesh the bank account has to be moved.

Klaus Stoll: Okay. Thank you Sam. Joan, sorry that you had to wait so long.

Joan Kerr: No, that's okay. It's Joan for the record. So Sam, just clarification. The bank account is going to be in an NPOC account, not a personal account?

Sam Lanfranco: Yes. The...

((Crosstalk))

Sam Lanfranco: ...NPOC account set up with two signatures. The only time we would physically have to be together would be (unintelligible). The other stuff can be handled by branches spread across Canada. So that solves the problem of location in Canada.

But as has been raised by others in the NCSG discussion, it does leave us with a problem that just as really a personal account is move - will move it to this account, we'll have to move it somewhere else when somebody else becomes the treasurer, so. But it would be an NPOC account and not a personal account. But it'll have a note authorizing a double signature for this (person).

Joan Kerr: I have another question as well. What was the funds given for? What was NPOC to use the funds for originally? Is there any paper trail of what the request was or was it fulfilled? Are we supposed to be writing a report? Any idea?

Klaus Stoll: Joan, let me try to - this is Klaus for the record. Let me try to answer this question. As far as I understand it, it appears (we give) to both NCUC and NPOC every year 5000 (unintelligible). So I got that (unintelligible). I'm not intimate with the details.

And basically it's up to the organization how to spend them. And I think what we did in the past is to travel - some travel expenses which were not covered by ICANN travel and other things. And we actually have to see what comes out of Sam's report - what the money was used for.

And as far as I know, there are no strings attached to this kind of money. And if there's anybody who has more comments - has more...

Man: Thank you.

Klaus Stoll: ...information on that including from the NCSG, NCUC, please let us know.
Sorry for the (great) answer. Poncelet is next.

Poncelet Ileleji: Yes. Poncelet for the record. I just wanted to add to what you mentioned. I think a majority of - at least the last time I was in the exec from (PIR) monies coming in through donations were mainly used for outreach events like the - I remember the (account) in Dublin mainly like support and DNS forum in - during the Dublin ICANN meeting, which it was African centric.

So they do something like that. So most of the funds to my understanding. I didn't know when they decided to put in travel but most of the time - most (PIR) funds in NPOC were mostly used for outreach and specific events in any country ICANN (holding) a meeting that NPOC could do.

And I'm sure the - all the record (exist) and Sam goes through them he will see such things like that. Thank you.

Klaus Stoll: Okay. Thank you Poncelet. Klaus for the record. I think what we have to commit ourselves is that we look more into detail in the situations that we do approve and for a report on the situation by the next ExCom meeting so that everybody is clear and on the same (function).

Okay. Any more on the NPOC bank account? I think the other part (is working) member and (working) (unintelligible). Yes. It should - it is an NPOC bank account and there are two signatures so it's - (both of them) would be Sam and the other one Joan because she's Canadian and it is

important that - I think it's important that we have two signatories also and who is reporting on these.

Okay. Any more business on the NPOC bank account? We have not much - we have eight minutes to go. The next one - Sam again. NPOC worldwide Web site. Where are we there Sam? Sorry for putting you all on the spot.

Sam Lanfranco: Okay. Sam here. I assume you can hear me. Okay. This is - it's moving just a little bit slower than I had hoped. I had created an account on (gandi.net). This is where the npoc.org URL is registered. Okay.

And I have sent that information to Rudy. Rudy has to go in and transfer the ownership of the URL to me. That's Point 1. Point 2 is that we will move the hosting of the Web site to (world host) (unintelligible) with the same German based hosting service that will be hosting the NCSG membership civiCRM database.

I think there will be some efficiencies and economies in terms of cost there. And they both need some very similar - I think it's (unintelligible) so that the transfer of the files should not be all that difficult.

I - we have to move the ownership of the URL by the - by later this - by the 28th of this month since the ownership expired at that point and I cannot go in. It doesn't allow a non-owner to renew this subscription. It's allowed in other registrars.

So this will be done before the end of the month. And there will be - initially I will just change the details inside the Whois so to continue to use Rudy's Web site - Rudy's server for the moment and then transfer it over. Any questions?

Klaus Stoll: I can't see. This is Klaus for the record. Sam, thank you first of all for doing all this and also Tapani for helping. Just Sam, could you please flag as soon as possible if there are any additional problems or (unintelligible) so that we are fully aware and don't move any kind of deadline activity (we need to do). Could you please (write it) on the Executive Committee as quickly as possible?

Sam Lanfranco: Yes I will.

Klaus Stoll: Okay. Thank you. Okay. Number 9. The most important topic. The topic the Chair forgot to put on the agenda. And also are there any topics we would like to put on the agenda and discuss or make any comments. And I also encourage our friends from NCUC if they would like to make any comments, recommendations, requests, et cetera. Now is the time to do so. Well, we have Poncelet again.

Poncelet Ileleji: Yes. Poncelet for the record. I would like to say in regard to policy - I would like within NCSG and also NPOC members and executive what critical policy issues do you want us at NPOC to look at now and do you want us to seriously work with the NCUC in achieving that target? Because it's important that there's setting policy issues especially in the PDP processes that we're involved that would have to be in direct sync with what NCUC is also doing.

So I would like to make and put it out there to see what people come out and if it can be sent to our mail in list if possible. Thank you.

Klaus Stoll: Thanks Poncelet. Rafik. Rafik, you've got the microphone. (Unintelligible). Rafik, I hear something. Okay. Rafik, (as there is) somebody on the line. Can you speak now?

Rafik Dammak Yes.

Klaus Stoll: Okay.

Rafik Dammak Yes. It's Rafik or the record. Yes. I was looking at the possibility of harmonizing policy within (sectors) so that there could be (unintelligible) in strategizing (unintelligible) that we'll be looking at. That's my (own contribution) on the policy (unintelligible).

Klaus Stoll: So Rafik, I apologize. I could hardly understand what you said. And I'm not sure if the others have the same thing. Could you repeat what you said a little bit slower? And if we still don't understand, would you please be so kind to make your suggestion towards the NPOC discuss list?

Rafik Dammak Okay. I'll repeat again. Rafik for the record. I'm suggesting the need to harmonize policy ideas, focus in on strategies for each of the sector, NCSG, NCUC and NPOC. (That still) these policies are harmonized we could recognize them and be able to do activities in line with the strategy.

Klaus Stoll: Thank you very much. I only can fully agree with you. And let's now go to James. Rafik, please don't go away. Let's talk. James.

James Gannon: Thanks Klaus. This is James Gannon. Yes. So I just wanted to make a point and I think Rafik made it and Klaus as well that, you know, we do policy at the NCSG level. So, you know, that's what the Policy Committee is there for. And, you know, regardless of whether we are NCUC members or NPOC members, we can all participate in the policy process.

So it's important particularly as new members start to get involved in the policy process to understand better our policy positions are at the NCSG level and are defined through the NCSG Policy Committee.

So with regards to that, harmonizing and strategizing, I think we're doing that already through the Policy Committee. And the more active that we have, you know, representatives from NPOC and NCUC within that Policy Committee, the better we'll all be able to work together.

Klaus Stoll: Thank you James. Are there any further comments? Okay then. Let me say something here, which I think some people are - I think everybody's aware but we haven't internalized it enough. We work in the NCSG Policy Committee (the key for NPOC). This is what we have to concentrate.

And the role of NPOC as we have to try to do (all the things of) the NCSG Policy Committee. At this point I would like also with our friends from NCUC and NCSG of really helping the NPOC members to get involved, to engage them, to make it a little bit easier. (Your constituency) it's a little bit older than ours and a little - hasn't been (unintelligible).

I really, really would appreciate if you - if you'd participate and report what we're doing like to - for example, today and participating in our meeting and making some points and helping the direction (of ICANN).

And I'd really appreciate it. And I think that's very, very much important because it's not about NPOC. It's not about NCSG. It's about policymaking for the NCSG and this is what we have to work in where we are needed as both constituencies. Otherwise civil society in ICANN will be lost (unintelligible) for everything.

So that was my passionate speech of today. Any more topics the Chair forgot to put on the agenda? Okay. We are two minutes over. Any other business? Okay. Thank you very much all of you. Very, very much appreciate everybody who participated. And please if you have any comments, suggestions, please feel free to contact me either directly or the NPOC discuss list.

And we will have - we will have another meeting within the next four weeks. And it will be another open meeting. And I hope that it will be much, much more topic and discussion based and not just (to report) of reports as was necessary this time. But it couldn't be helped. So thanks again for everybody and have a good remainder of the day wherever you are. Thank you.

Maryam Bakoshi: Thank you everyone for attending the meeting. Jo, you can now stop the recording. Thank you very much.

END