

# **Comments on the CCWG-Accountability Draft Proposal**

Rui ZHONG

(Internet Society of China)

**It is much appreciated that CCWG-Accountability had made great efforts to develop the draft proposal. Following are some personal comments on the proposal.**

## **General comment:**

- 1. It is suggested that the Proposal should develop a mechanism to ensure the whole IPR and related procedures are transparent and open. It is also necessary to set up a review mechanism to check how ICANN implement the IPR's results or suggestions, and what to do if ICANN fails to make improvement. Meanwhile, the geographical and professional diversity should be taken into consideration while forming the panel for IRP.**
- 2. Regarding the community power, I am not very clear that the "community" here whether includes the end user. If not, is it any channel available for end user or netizen to learn about how the ICANN Board makes a decision, or the decision making process be more open and transparent to the public. From the Proposal, it seems that ICANN only is accountable to AC/SO communities, so it is suggested that a kind of mechanism could be set up for the global public to join in exercising the community power.**
- 3. The Proposal indicates the SOs and ACs creating an unincorporated association to be the members of ICANN. However, it is not very clear how these association work, especially how to how to ensure various voices be heard and reflect relevant stakeholders' opinion and interests.**
- 4. It is suggested that a special session for topic of Accountability could be added in future each ICANN meeting, in which ICANN could introduce its implementation efforts regarding how accountable to the global public interests and what kind of improvement achieved to meet the requirements raised by the communities.**