

CCWG on IG Teleconference - 2015.05.12

Participants:

Olivier Crepin Leblond

Rafik Dammak

Judith Hellerstein

Peter Dengate Thrush

Mariela Maciel

Mark Buell

Avri Doria

Bill Drake

Vicky Sheckler

Lynn St Amour

Joerg Schweiger

Marilyn Cade

Greg Shatan

Young eum Lee

Mary Uduma

Bart Boswinkel

Vicky Shecker

Internet naya inc

Staff:

Nigel Hickson; Renate De Wulf; Alex Dans

AGENDA

Standing Agenda Items

1. ICANN53 in Buenos Aires (40')
 - 1.1 Preparing the IG Public Session: format, agenda, speakers
 - 1.2 Preparing the CCWG IG F2F Session: agenda
(For reference: [CCWG on IG Charter](#))
 2. WSIS+10 Review Report comment (10')
-

NOTES

- 1- CCWG on IG sessions in Buenos Aires

Renate about schedule:

Monday> Public Session (draft time: 17:30 -19:00 - 90 minutes)

Wednesday> F2F (draft time: 17:30)

Peter Dengate Thrush: My suggestion is to use the first half of the session presentation and updates can be useful + suggest having a background paper

Mariela Maciel: +1

Lynn St.Amour: +1

Marilia Maciel: A lot of work has been done on the factuals by Nigel already. He could put things together and send to the list for suggestions before publication.

Olivier: should we add the Netmundial topic to the list?

Lynn: I think it is useful for the community to have a position on this

Marilia Maciel: I think updates on NMI would be useful since ICANN is very involved

Peter DT: WSIS +10 should be the priority

Judith Hellerstein: Priority should be the CSTD, WSIS +10

Olivier: Marilyn also expressed concerns in the list about adding the NMI to the list of topics

Vicky Sheckler: agree NMI should not be on the list for now

Bill Drake: WSIS+10 should be the lead topic, but I also think we should talk briefly on NMI and about other notable meetings and initiatives like the IGF in Brazil and so on. Not 90 minutes on WSIS+10.

Peter: Bill - sounds good.

Lynn St.Amour: could we use NMI as a "case study" of how things like this should be done within ICANN?

Marilia Maciel: I think NMI should be part of the background update of the current scenario. Regarding the potential common position, that would be regarding WSIS+10

Marilyn Cade: we should have a paper, a background briefing with an overview of the road ahead. We have to take the responsibility for explaining why an external event is relevant and why its implications are important for ICANN as well.

Olivier: there seems to have consensus that WSIS+10 and CSTD are topics of interest. WSIS Forum can be a third one. There are different opinions about adding the NMI (if we add it, it can take much of the 90 minutes)

Judith Hellerstein: Seems like a good idea to include WSIS forum

Marilia Maciel: Olivier, I believe there are two different things: one is NMI as an update in the "setting the scene" part of the session. This would be important in my opinion. Another thing is to try to achieve a common position and make a common contribution. This latter option could take too much time.

PeterDT: Agreed Marilia - NMI should be in the paper, as part of the background /tapestry of global relationships

Lynn St.Amour: agree with Marilia's comment and would add that achieving an ICANN Position on NMI is going to be sensitive/difficult

PeterDT: Good chairing of the meeting should allow us to settle an ICANN position on WSIS+10, then allow further conversation on other topics, including NMI

Marilyn Cade: it's out of scope for us to have stand on NMI. We should provide advice and guidance, not criticize initiatives.

Young eum Lee: Although I agree with Marilyn, I agree with Bill that NMI should be a topic that we could discuss during our session.

Lynn St. Amour: there is agreement that we issue a background paper that should include NMI, the first session will focus on a quick review of that background paper and then we move on the discussion on various topics. That seems like a full agenda for the first session. I agree with Marilyn about having our own position on NMI.

Bill Drake: the NMI is not a CEO led initiative. I know because I'm involved. He has not participated at all in any of the work shaping the terms of reference or other stuff

Peter DT as co-facilitator with Bill Drake
+1 from Lynn St. Amour, Judith Hellerstein, Bill Drake, Young eum Lee

Olivier: In our F2F session we need a plan as to what deliverables we'll have. Drafting a paper will be an important part of this.

Peter DT: there are two different things: one is the background paper and another is the position.

Olivier: would NMI be a good topic for our F2F meeting?
+1 Lynn

Bill: We don't have enough dialogue among the members of the list to come with a statement. Public session should be purely informational. The F2F should be where the drafting should go. We could start with our NETmundial contribution.

Vicky Sheckler: my apologies, but I need to run. Please note we would need to go back to my constituency, IPC, for any statement

Marilia Maciel: we should talk about the NMI, give an update because ICANN is very much involved. Of course we can focus on the WSIS. We are not suggesting to write a position about the NMI.

PeterDT: Yes - a fact based description about NMI and ICANN involvement should be in the Paper.

Olivier: Please follow-up on the mailing list until our next call. We still need to decide who will lead the F2F session.

2- WSIS+10 Review Report comment

Nigel:

Resolution adopted, positive in many aspects.

It says ICT is a good thing, important for development.

It says the IGF is a success.

The discussions will continue in the WSIS Forum at the end of May.

Our statement was pretty well received, thank you for the contributions of this group.

Olivier: it will be helpful to know who from the group will be in the WSIS Forum

Peter DT: I am going to be at WSIS Forum

Lynn St.Amour: I will also be at the WSIS Forum but only through Wednesday

Bill Drake: this link shows the members of the group that will be speakers/panellists for the session
“IANA Stewardship Transition – A live example of the multistakeholder process”:

<http://www.itu.int/net4/wsis/forum/2015/Agenda/Session/269>

ACTION ITEM: Staff produce a Paper Background Issue of the road ahead

Topic: WSIS+10 / CSTD

¿Why are these external events important for ICANN and for the ICANN community?