ICANN

Moderator: Brenda Brewer
May 5, 2015
8:00 am CT

Coordinator: The recordings have been started sir. You may begin.

(Matthew):Mathieu Weill: Thank you very much. Welcome to the Cross Community Working Group Accountability Meeting Number 32 our meeting on May the 5 2015.

And welcome all to this meeting taking place on (unintelligible)...

Woman: ((Foreign Language Spoken))

(Matthew):Mathieu Weill: Can you still hear me? I heard some...

Woman: Yes.

(Matthew):Mathieu Weill: ...noise. We had noise coming in but I'll just as a reminder please mute your lines while not speaking. And to start with I would like to do the roll call. We will be using the Adobe Connect Room roll call.

And I have noted Josh who is on the phone line on me. And if there's anyone else who is joining this call and if not in the AC Room to please make yourself heard now so we can add you to the roll call?

Holly Gregory: Hi. This is Holly Gregory from Sidley. I'm on the phone now. I'm trying to log in but right now just by phone.

(Matthew): Mathieu Weill: Thanks Holly. That's noted, any others?

Cheryl Langdon-Orr: Cheryl here. I'm attendee in - to get into the room. I'll be in shortly but not there yet.

(Matthew): Mathieu Weill: Thank you Cheryl, noted as well.

Thomas Rickert: And this is Thomas Rickert. I'm (unintelligible).

(Matthew): Mathieu Weill: Okay Thomas. I think we will do - we have noted an apology by (Abrat) Eberhard as well. And other I guess if there are any updates to the statement or interest from members of participants this is also the right time to do so.

I am seeing none. And as you may have heard of...

Woman: ((Foreign Language Spoken)).

(Matthew): Mathieu Weill: Okay, so we've had this interruption in French. So as you may have noticed from Thomas intervention he is currently actually spreading the word of accountability in the IANA transition in Brussels while our other co-chair Leon is in a conference certainly spreading the word as well. So I will be having the burden of chairing this meeting by myself under their supervision.

And it's a great pleasure for me because obviously with the milestone we've met yesterday this is quite a nice place to start this meeting by an update on this publication of the report.

And congratulating everyone thanking all the contributions for their valuable inputs in the last few days.

And of course the rapporteurs and staff who have made and outstanding job at finalizing the document over the weekend and yesterday. And I think they really deserve our praise for all the work they've been doing so that we can now start listening to the community.

The point of this item is really to get feedback on if any from the report publication.

And I see a queue is forming so I will turn to (Cavose)Kavouss and Alan for their input at this point. (Cavose)Kavouss?

(Cavose):Kavouss Arasteh: Yes (Matthew)Mathieu. You thank everybody I would also thank you co-chairs and the chair of the two group, Jordan Carter and (Becky) for your hard work, tireless work you have done put this together.

We didn't really - we didn't believe that on the 15th of January that we had the meeting in Frankfort that in about three months we would have such a - a good report for the time being. I would qualify as a good report.

There are some points still I don't see and nothing is perfect at all. This is a start. And they start this (other) trip. And that is good that they have started and because we have gave you the five people sincerely thank you.

(Matthew):Mathieu Weill: Thank you very much (Cavose)Kavouss. This is much appreciated.

A quick update on what happened over the weekend too so that everyone knows their latest updates we've made.

First of all we have slightly reviewed the structure of the report because one of the sections was too big. So we split it into several sections.

So the numbering if you were familiar with the numbering of the sections it will be - you will have to adjust a little bit.

Secondly we were monitoring the discussion about the use of the words private sector in the bylaws and in the proposals especially in the mission and core value discussion and taking into account the discussion that was developing and of course the way that opinions were obviously not converging on the common point.

We did introduce a reference to the discussion taking place in the group and highlighted that community input would be welcome at on this specific point.

So I think we tried to reflect that this discussion was ongoing. And hopefully we can move that further probably not during this meeting but once we get feedback from the community in the latest steps of our report.

And finally and then I'll turn to (Jenny) I would like to mention that the initial announcement on the ICANN Web site was not yet referencing the graphics that are on our wiki page.

That is because last minute glitch was found with one of the graphics. And we did put a priority on having the right document in place at the right time.

It will be corrected in the announcement we reference to graphics very shortly as soon as the admin Webmasters in Los Angeles start their day.

So the graphical display will be used and available for everyone during assessment of our report.

Those are the key updates that I had to make. And if there are any other points you need clarification on now is the time to discuss this. And I see Tijani's hand is up.

Tijani Ben Jemaa: Thank you very much (Matthew)Mathieu.

Regarding the mention of private sector in Paragraph 65 Page 21 you'll note the paragraph from which you can understand - any can understand that there are people in this group that oppose to the mention of private sector and the bylaws and in the AOC.

I don't remember that anyone ask for that. At least I didn't. What was asked for is not mentioned in this report the private sector and replace it by multi-stakeholder. That's all.

So this is only a remark and this remark may have an implication because the intention wasn't to - because the mention of private sector and the bylaws was for to explain that the first to meet the jurisdiction of California first.

Second because if we don't write it like this it might be public enterprise or public corporation. So to differentiate it it is a non-profit private. This is meaning of what is in the bylaws.

But when we use this work in our report and say we are rooted - it says the corporation is rooted in the private sector. This have a lot of minute (sic). And that - this minute we wanted to avoid and wanted to make it clear that it is multi-stakeholder and not one single stakeholder. Thank you.

(Matthew):Mathieu Weill: Thank you Tijani. This is certainly something we'll need to clarify in the next weeks.

Are there any other short term feedbacks on the report publication? I'm seeing none. Oh, I see (Cavose)Kavouss and then Jordan. (Cavose)Kavouss?

(Cavose):Kavouss Arasteh: Yes, maybe you will - first of all I agree with you we should not discuss this issue of a target led because we don't come to any conclusion at all.

But this (unintelligible) we have to weigh. We have to get material together and so on to (unintelligible). And it - they seem to have - you may have one question particularly with this because important. So I agree with that point.

Second point I don't know what they're going to discuss it later on or not. So we have to prepare ourselves how as you mentioned we treat the comments received. You may do it in the other part of the agenda. Thank you.

(Matthew):Mathieu Weill: Thank you (Cavose)Kavouss. This is certainly something now should be on our next agenda. And we'll be working with staff. I think the

action item I would say would be for staff to put up a proposal for analysis of the public comment a planning.

And obviously there wouldn't be a lot of need for involving the work parties generalize the questions that are relating to various work parties.

So this is something we will be doing in the next few days to start organizing this and planning this. So I suggest we put an action item in the notes for staff to come up and share with the group a proposal or how we are going to analyze the public comment input.

I see Jordan's hand is up. Please Jordan.

Jordan Carter: Thanks (Matthew)Mathieu, just a quick comment about the very quick legal subgroup meeting that we had on the weekend.

And that came about through some questions that we thought were pretty important about the unincorporated a third model that we had proposed for giving powers to members for as the legal vehicle through which members can exercise their rights.

And so the outcome of that was the updated and unincorporated association (unintelligible) through the third 3rd of May which is referred to in the last appendix in the report.

And really that session took about 45 minutes. And that was with (Paul Evans). But I recognize that that meeting was called on pretty late notice and so I just wanted to explain for the record what the purpose of it was and what it discussed.

So that's why that (unintelligible) contains a bit more context about what unincorporated associations are and what they look like. And then we'll just have about how the SOs and ACs would keep those associations under tight control which really makes them a vehicle for the SOs and ACs to exercise their membership rights and nothing else.

So that's it (Matthew)Mathieu. I just wanted to add that point.

(Matthew):Mathieu Weill: Thanks Jordan. It was good to share that.

I'm seeing no other hand raised so and I want to make the best use of our time. So I'm moving to the next step which is basically know that we've missed - me- now that we've met this major milestone it's not hard to reach out to the community to let the community to share the feedback we're receiving.

And there has been a few exchanges about the engagement plan already on the mailing list. And I would like to turn to Hillary Jett from ICANN's communication who is - has been tremendous help in finalizing the report but also in planning for communication plans to share with us the current thinking around the engagement plan. Hillary would you take the floor?

Hillary Jett: Hi (Matthew)Mathieu. Thank you. Can everyone hear me?

(Matthew):Mathieu Weill: Yes that's (for sure) Hillary.

Hillary Jett: Okay great. First I wanted to go ahead and echo (Matthew)Mathieu's sentiments earlier to say a true congratulations to all of you because this proposal has been a tremendous undertaking and you all have done a wonderful job. So I just wanted to say that first.

And it's been a - it's really been a pleasure to work with all of you. So I kind of wanted to walk through what ICANN has currently prepared to do in terms of pushing out the proposal and the public comment as well as kind of give you some suggestions on what we recommend for the most outreach possible during this 30 day period.

So, starting off I know as many of you are aware ICANN has a pretty large social media presence. And through the evening we did push this out to our list on social media.

This includes our regional global stakeholder engagement team. This includes our Twitter, our Facebook, LinkedIn, Google Plus and all of these different avenues.

So just to give you guys a taste of what's that's like when we pushed off the CWG proposal last week, you know, we had over 3200 impressions in two days on our tweets, 2600 on the Webinar.

We reached out to journalists and had over three to four articles written solely about the public comment. And we intend to do that again for you all on the accountability front.

We have already updated the home page banner to make sure that that is the most visible thing going on at ICANN right now which is a big deal. And we completely understand how important this is and are dedicated to making sure that everyone else in the community knows that as well.

So lastly the other thing that we really try to do is make sure that it gets on to all of our newsletters, at ICANN regional newsletters. We also have monthly policy newsletters.

And you can guarantee that this public comment will be located on those newsletters. So we are doing everything that we can to make sure that that pushes out to the broadest channels that we have available.

So the one thing that I wanted to touch on is to suggest that while ICANN is the facilitator and many people are going to be coming to us for information on the public comment a lot of people are going to be coming to you.

And to make sure that this reaches the broadest parts of the communities, you know, is reasonably as important that and we suggest that you try and push these out to your list and make sure that everyone is aware of how important it is for them to have their comments heard in this.

So, you know, like I said this is not a - this is not necessarily a expectation, rather just a suggestion. And if you need someone to speak on or help present with you the chairs have told me that they are open to being involved in those processes.

So if you want to contact me directly I'm happy to work with the chairs to get something set up with all of you.

And if you have any questions on, you know, a strategy for pushing out communications I'm happy to help with that as well. And I'll go ahead and put my email into the notes and into the chat room so anyone can contact me directly.

And so I will turn it back over to the chairs.

(Matthew):Mathieu Weill: Thank you Hillary. I think now is the time for us to exchange around how we can make the best of this engagements time that we have in front of us.

Two concrete suggestions. Number one, we'll co-chairs and rapporteurs and other volunteers will make themselves available for any presentation with outreach even that is organized or that you want to organize in your community.

So we'll form some kind of speaker's bureau and you can try to reach the ICANN staff support our group and ask for a speaker. We'll do our best to accommodate these requests.

So if you want to reach out to your SO or AC or constituency or even outside ICANN please feel free to use this.

Secondly when we reach out I think one very important aspect is for the sharing of information we received. So we would be very - I think it would be very valuable for our group if once in a session has taken place discussing our reports and you heard questions or feedback if you can share them on the mailing list that would be very useful for us to identify the potentially additional communication material we have to provide to help explain our proposal or obviously identify the kind of feedback we can expect at the end of the public comment period.

And we'll try to use this to elaborate if a frequently asked question repository that every one of us can use during this period.

Those are the current proposals. So ideally in the notes I would suggest that we put a contact point for the speaker's bureau as one of the action item as well as a note reminding everyone to share the feedback they receive in the various sessions, the very - be organized across the next month.

And with that I'd like to open the floor to comments, suggestions, ideas for the engagement plan?

And I see (Athena)Athina has raised her hand. Please (Athena)Athina?

(Athena Faguli):Athina Fragkouli: Yes hello. This is (Athena Faguli)Athina Fragkouli. I would like to share with you our results of communicating the report and the discussion around it within the numbers community.

So already from the beginning of this process, of the process of this group the CCWG we've updated - we've been updating our communities on the development of this work.

And so now with the - now that the report is out of course we're going to share it through our mailing list.

We are going to lead the discussion help, let's say the discussions a little bit flag the issues that are more relevant to the numbers community.

Of course are going to communicate the dates for the Webinars. And next week is the live meeting where we're going to give a short update on that.

And we're going to welcome any feedback from our community. And we could be also the channel for any feedback that will come from our community to this group. Thank you.

(Matthew):Mathieu Weill: Thanks (Athena)Athina, very good example of engagement plan
for the numbering community.

Jonathan you have your hand raised please?

Jonathan ZookZuck: Yes hi. It's Jonathan ZookZuck. This is just a silly little thing but I wonder
if we should come up with a Twitter handle for this project because it's
probably going to light up in the near future here and it would be good to be
able to collect all the tweets together, you know, pound hash tag ICANN in
chains or something. You know, I (unintelligible) feel like we should come up
with something.

(Matthew):Mathieu Weill: Okay specific hash tag...

((Crosstalk))

(Matthew):Mathieu Weill: All right.

Woman: ((Foreign Language Spoken))

(Matthew):Mathieu Weill: Okay still the same kind of input. That's a good idea to check that.
I think we can certainly I see suggestions in the chat and probably TRE and
comps can try and figure out a most efficient way.

Hash tags needs to be short and stuff self-explaining so it's a bit of a
challenging. But I think it's a very good suggestion to collect feedback as
well. So that's good unless there's objection I think we can certainly put that
as an action item.

Hillary is confirming that s- it is feasible so let's try and do that. That's a good idea.

Any other comments?

I am seeing none. Obviously this is a something - a discussion that can develop on the mailing list as well.

Hillary there were some request for further details on your email from April 29 which is referenced in the slide. Could you just elaborate a little bit about what was - what is in this email and probably circulate it as well?

Hillary Jett: Hi (Matthew)Mathieu, sure. So the email that I circulated on April 29 was an email to the chairs to let them know about the support that ICANN was providing was - I'm sorry, was ready to provide for the public comment period including statistics from the CWG public comment.

So I'm happy to circulate that to the list.

(Matthew):Mathieu Weill: Thank you Hillary and with your introductory remark on this item was a summary of this email. So I think there shouldn't be any surprise in there.

But it's good to see that we have support from ICANN in facilitating engagement.

Jonathan I assume it's an old hand or is it a new hand?

Jonathan ZookZuck: Old and decrepit.

(Matthew):Mathieu Weill: Okay, so Tijani please.

Tijani Ben Jemaa: Thank you (Matthew)Mathieu, Tijani speaking. Another thing to ask about the translation of the public comment document when it will be available in the five UN languages?

If the period of the public comment is short I think that each day we lose would be really harmful for people who don't understand and don't speak English, thank you.

(Matthew):Mathieu Weill: Thank you (Tujani)Tijani, this is a very good point and I'm sorry I did not; I was not proactive in raising it. I know on the documents some parts of the document had been transferred to translation services already.

I don't know whether (Adam)Adam or (Grace) or (Hillary)Hillary have an update from concession services in terms of delivery date.

(Hillary):Hillary Jett: Hi (Matthew)Mathieu I raised my hand, this is (Hillary)Hillary but I'll go ahead and comment on that. So we have - we put in a request for translation as an ASAP request so as soon as possible.

But it is worth noting that the document is about 47,000 words long so it may take a couple of days. Based on what the ICANN translation requirements are it could take anywhere between 7 and 14 days but we're going to try and push that up as fast as possible.

(Matthew):Mathieu Weill: If there's anything we can do to highlight the importance of that please let us know because I think to (Tujani'sTijani's) points are very, very relevant in terms of the related - the balance between the duration of

translation into balance and the duration of the public comments and so I couldn't stress more the importance of that.

So thank you very much ~~(Tujani)~~Tijani for raising this.

~~(Tujani):~~Tijani Ben Jemaa: Yes because 18 days it gets too long and I am really concerned about it.

~~(Matthew):~~Mathieu Weill: Thanks ~~(Tujani)~~Tijani that's a good point and what I would - so what I heard is that it's between 7 and 14 days to service level commitment and as co-chair's we'll follow-up to check whether it can be brought down to less than 7, which I think would be a minimum to get valuable feedback from the communities who are not familiar with English.

~~(Allen)~~Alan you are next.

~~(Tujani):~~Tijani Ben Jemaa: Thank you very much.

~~(Allen):~~Alan Greenberg: Thank you, to follow-up on ~~(Tujani)~~Tijani the lack of written documents and by the way I will hope that the explained documents are going to be translated as well since those are the ones that we're using for people to actually understand and they don't have 47,000 words in them or whatever.

But the lack of written documents does highlight the need for the Webinar's to have simultaneous interpretation otherwise we're, you know, we're saying you can't read the documents and we're not going to tell you in your language or in any related language what the details are and I think that sends a really bad message. Thank you.

(Matthew):Mathieu Weill: Thank you. I see (Adam's) hand is up so is that a direct response (Adam)Adam?

(Adam):Adam Peake: Yes it is, good morning everyone. On the translation as (Hillary)Hillary mentioned we prepared the documents that we could in advance and have already sent those off to translation but of course the rest were being worked on until the very last minute so they couldn't go until last time.

And they will be going into all the usual languages that we support so that outcome document in the six United Nations languages. We can certainly think about trying to prioritize text and that's something to talk with the co-chair's about whether that will be confusing documents of cross reference but we can certainly look at that.

And (Allen)Alan yes the explained documents will be translated and that is underway at the moment. On the notion of Webinar's in multiple languages we will respond to you on that and give you confirmation. So that's the update for the moment.

Of course I think everybody knows that translation does take time. It is a long document. We do have some materials already prepared but it's a matter of people having to work through those documents and have them try and translate it. It is a priority within the system. Thank you.

(Matthew):Mathieu Weill: Thank you (Adam)Adam. So to summarize this before moving on I think this is a request to publish very shortly the executive summary translated. The explained documents also will need to be translated pretty soon.

And we're expecting a response on whether the Webinar's can be translated in the next day right or something day or two at least in advance for the Webinar's and that's an action item for staff to provide us an update on this.

And with that I turn to (Kabus)Kavouss.

(Allen):Alan Greenberg: (Matthew)Mathieu if I may follow on on the translation. I do hope that they will release the base document when it's available even if the annexes are not done.

(Matthew):Mathieu Weill: Sure (Allen)Alan, we'll try to do - I think we need to be agile and do several releases as soon as we have a new part that's translated. That's really the approach we should have. (Kabus)Kavouss.

(Kabus):Kavouss Arasteh: Yes I understand we are dealing with the list that means partial release, part of this are coming down the list and other part at a later stage. If that is the case it would be a good idea that the people do not read the whole X pages in one day or over day until we include those elements of the document or those sections or portions because are not [0:06:21] directly related to each other and they are separated by topic and so on and so forth.

Perhaps it could be divided into two or three and the (unintelligible) by part for translation but I think we should put some deadline and (unintelligible) and not to exceed seven days thank you.

(Matthew):Mathieu Weill: Thank you (Kabus)Kavouss. I wouldn't do a consensus call on this but I think the direction from the group is very clear here and we have a broad agreement on this.

(Adam)Adam is that a new hand or an old hand?

(Adam):Adam Peake: Old my apologies.

(Matthew):Mathieu Weill: Okay. With that I think we've covered the engagement plan for now. Next agenda item is the areas for further investigation of the groups. The idea here is to highlight the various items we need to work on during the public comment apart from the engagement obviously.

You will see there was a reference to some of the legal questions that are outstanding and I noted this morning on the legal group list that some of these answers were provided by the lawyers.

So we will not go through them right now but I know for instance Sam Eisner's and (Pedro's) questions were in that package of answers. We also certainly need to work on flushing out the explaining the community how the unincorporated applications would work out.

An additional action item is which I'd like to discuss now is how we respond to the CWG public comments so that there is transparency about the exchanges between our two groups.

And those are the initial ideas and I would like to now open for other inputs and I have (Salam) first in line please. (Salam) if you are speaking we cannot hear you. (Salam) you may have another issue or you may be muted.

The (issue) room or the (issue) room might be having a problem. Why don't - this is being sorted I suggest we move to (Kabus)Kavouss and then we'll come back to you (Salam). (Kabus)Kavouss.

(Kabus):Kavouss Arasteh: Yes (Matthew)Mathieu did you say that how we examine the public comments on the draft of this CWG do you mean that before this issue

we discussed at CWG itself and find out how to accommodate that you want that CCWG get involved in the analysis of the comments received on the CWG.

I don't recommend that. If we can do it personally usually but not on CCWG. We have to weigh what is the outcome of the CWG of the (unintelligible) or otherwise or consolidation of those and receive something as a second (unintelligible) am I right or wrong? Thank you.

(Matthew):Mathieu Weill: You're perfectly right (Kabus)Kavouss. I did not make myself clear. What I meant was the CWG highlighted a number of dependencies from our work. I expect it would be valuable for both the CWG and the community in a wider sense if we could ask cross community working group accountability provide a response to their public comment highlighting the parts of our report which address their expectations.

So that would be sort of taking extracts from our report and putting them into a submission to the CWG to say, we hear you say you need this on the budget, this is what we propose. We heard you say this is what we propose.

I think that would be valuable for a wider understanding of the coordination between the two groups. And this is not about analyzing the CWG feedback at all it is providing feedback to the CWG's through the public comment process. Is that clearer?

(Kabus):Kavouss Arasteh: Yes that (unintelligible) but my question is that what you are saying is right that we are really identifying areas that CWG has a common point with us and we said that we provide our answers or reaction to those but would it be useful that also are available to the CWG public comment period

because the understanding of the people comments on the CWG would be
clearer if they provide those comments.

So again before the comment came to the CWG. So they can sort of inter-
ration the groups. Is it possible you can clarify this matter?

(Matthew):Mathieu Weill: Sure just the point is really to highlight this interaction and use this
public comment channels to communicate as well. My suggestion would be
that we put an action item for the co-chairs. I don't know if Avri is on the line
as well because she's been (unintelligible) with the CWG to draft submission
intended for the CWG.

Share this with the group that we check with where in mind obviously this
draft would be exclusively based on the report as it is now and reminding the
CWG that is not a final report, not a consensus report and so on.

But I think that would be a valuable demonstration to the public that of the
coordination between our groups.

Is there - would there be any objection with us to showing this out? I am
seeing none so far so we'll do that, put that as an action item for us and inform
the - Avri is here.

And inform the CWG co-chairs. I'm sorry for the confusion and sorry for the
noise in the background. So we'll inform the CWG co-chairs that last part
about coordination we would be preparing this.

Avri I'm not forcing to add anything on this but as you've been
(unintelligible) with the CWG I was just checking that you were okay with
this process.

Avri Doria: Most definitely and let me know what I need to do to help.

(Matthew):Mathieu Weill: Sure, we'll put you in the loop for that draft, thank you. I see (Salam's) hand is now down and maybe the question will come up from the chat. Have you, has the rest of the group identified any other topics that we need to investigate during this month of public comment? I see (Kabus)Kavouss your hand is back up.

(Kabus):Kavouss Arasteh: Yes maybe I have not duly discussed your timeline document after this on month period that starts from today if I'm not mistaken. What we would expect to do in preparation for ICANN 53 you told that you would have a meeting as I understood to have a physical meeting at the same day as the ICG meeting, you coordinated the chair.

Would we have something to prepare to do before ICANN 53 or not faced with this all? In other words do we have anyplace to date between now and the start of the ICANN 53? Thank you.

(Matthew):Mathieu Weill: Thank you (Kabus)Kavouss. No there's no other face-to-face meeting planned until June 19, which is the Friday before ICANN 53. However we need to plan and that's what I was referring earlier to about how we are going to analyze the public comment input between June 3 and June 19 and that is what I'm asking as to prepare proposals about.

Whether we would hold kind of intense sessions or group sessions in work parties. This is really one of the key items we need to plan for right now but I don't have clarity at this point.

I'm seeing no other inputs on this. I did note on the chat Mark Carvell's reference to the Internet governance events age on the IGF Web site. I think

that will be a useful aspect and I encourage everyone going into those meetings to check whether it is possible to reach out to all the community not necessarily the ICANN community but a global one about how we can engage.

And obviously this is not our last chance to suggest action items. (Adam)Adam I guess it's still an old hand or is it not?

(Adam):Adam Peake: No that's a new hand just to...

(Matthew):Mathieu Weill: A new hand from (Adam)Adam, please go ahead.

(Adam):Adam Peake: ...so a quick comment about the preparation after the June 3 closing of the public comment and how we anticipate from a staff point of view at the moment to June 19.

And this of course is important because it's very - it involves the CCWG members very much of course. And one of the things to note is that the public comment the comments tend to come in very heavily weighted towards the end of the public comment period.

So we may not be seeing very much during May be but we can anticipate the very close of May and those early days of June is when the bulk of comments may well come in.

That tends to be how things happen. They're very much loaded towards the end of the public comment. So the last week is when we will get an anticipation of how much, how many comments come in.

The task that happens immediately after June 3 is typically and this is something for you to all agree is typically for the staff to go through the comments and break them up into the various sections so that you can get a sense of which comments are addressing onto which topics.

We have asked topic specific questions as you all have seen throughout the report. However there is a tendency also for people to submit a single document in a sort of article or essay like form.

And that requires the various inputs to be taken out and put into the various subject areas. And then after that it's the discussion process (Matthew)Mathieu is talking about.

Whether we, you know, how we organize that and the thought at the moment is that it may be led by the repertoire's and sub-teams who will analyze the different sections of the report as they were related to the work of the working parties over the last few months.

It will be quite hectic. The analysis of these public comments just getting them into a format where the CCWG can use is labor intensive it's a matter of going through and sifting them through and putting them into a template that has been used for some time within (unintelligible).

So it's going to be a rush and a lot of work for us all but that's the basic outline. And hopefully by June 19 we will have a document that is ready to prepare analyzing the public comment we used to discuss with the community in Buenos Aires.

And so good luck to us all on that one and thank you I hope that's a little bit helpful but we have a lot of planning to do on how we approach this, thanks.

(Matthew):Mathieu Weill: Thanks (Adam)Adam it's good to have this outline and so the action item is to confirm this outline in a more detailed fashion in a - with a number of dates and who is going to be responsible for which task and everything.

Taking into account that staff will (unintelligible) the public commentary review tool as comments are coming in and I think that's definitely (unintelligible) soon the case.

(Kabus)Kavouss you are next.

(Kabus):Kavouss Arasteh: Yes (Allen)Alan perfectly explained what I wanted to say, thank you.

(Matthew):Mathieu Weill: Okay, perfect. So with that I think we're going to move straight to any other business. Are there any other business? No. So I have actually a (unintelligible) to share or at least a proposal to share on this.

As we - I have actually two good news. The first one is that we are going - you are going to get an hour of free time because we're going to end this call early.

And because I think you've made a tremendous job I think we can do even an extra step which is going to be proposal is to have a week off without a CWG call starting now.

So canceling next week's call that's going to be Webinars and everything next week and probably it would be good to relax a little bit if you need. So that's the proposal is to cancel the meeting next week and reconvene in two weeks'

time with detailed plans for how we're going to analyze work and obviously for furthering the various items.

And we would cancel the legal section calls as well for this week and next week and that would be like paradise without calls. So unless there is any objection to this proposal and I'm seeing none but I see a hand raised, which is ~~(Kabus)~~Kavouss.

~~(Kabus):~~Kavouss Arasteh: No, no objections but the only thing you have canceled several meetings. May I ask that either Brenda or anyone just again re-publish the updated version of the meeting that we know because this month is very, very busy for other - for the people who have other engagements as a group to have a full list of all update? Thank you.

~~(Matthew):~~Mathieu Weill: Thanks ~~(Kabus)~~Kavouss this is well noted and in the meantime obviously please keep sharing the feedback you are hearing from our work. Take this free time to reach out to your various communities, listen carefully and hopefully we'll when we reconvene we will have a lot of good news and bring back to share.

But we are obviously staying on line and working relentlessly towards making those proposals and distributed by everyone. So with that I am very pleased to close this call way in advance.

Woman 1:   Wow.

~~(Matthew):~~Mathieu Weill: And I know it's going to be difficult to abide with all this free time but I am sure you will do the best use of it. So thank you very much everyone and talk to you very soon. Bye.

Woman 1:		Bye.

END