Latin GP Repertoire WG Meeting

Notes from meeting on 10 October 2017

Meeting Attendees (in alphabetical order)

WG members:

1. Ahmed Bakhat
2. Bill Jouris
3. Dennis T. Tanaka
4. Meikal Mumin
5. Mirjana Tasic

Staff:

6. Pitinan Kooarmornpatana
7. Sarmad Hussain

Meeting Notes

The WG discussed the following agenda items:

1. **ICANN 60 Presentation.** The WG was informed that they have some time to prepare the presentation as the submitting deadline is 15 October. The GP chair suggested starting a draft in time to finalize it by 15 October.

2. **Progress on language processing.** The WG has processed almost 160 languages, from the total of 182 languages. It was requested that the GP members try to process the remaining languages within the next two weeks. Each member was requested to process at least three languages before the next meeting.

3. **Plan for the next steps.** As of now, there are 25 languages that are marked for reinvestigation. The WG agreed to create a separate table for these languages to ask for a volunteer for second-investigation. After one week, the chair will assign the any remaining languages to the WG members as well. The WG agreed to resolve these languages online as much as possible. The issues which are unsolvable online will be put in the agenda of the F2F meeting.

4. **The common issue with Cyrillic GP.** The WG was informed that Integration Panel responded to Cyrillic LGR proposal and clearly confirmed that apostrophe-like letter is not allowed in the RZ-LGR because it resembles the punctuation mark. The Latin GP might have a similar issue. The WG member requested ICANN staff to share the IP response to Cyrillic LGR Proposal.

5. **The digraph and sequence discussion.** The WG was not clear if there is a need to analyse code point sequence cases. It was agreed to discuss this issue with IP during ICANN60. It was noted that in the Latin script part of the MSR there are only vowel digraphs but not consonant digraphs.

Action Items

S. No.	Action Items	Owner
1	Each member to process at least three languages before the next meeting.	ALL
2	Prepare the table for second investigation of languages and circulate for volunteers via mailing list. Distribute the unassigned languages to WG members after one week.	MT
3	Share the IP response to Cyrillic LGR Proposal to the WG.	SH