Latin Generation Panel (GP) Meeting Notes from the meeting on 23 April 2020

Meeting Attendees (in alphabetical order)

GP members:

- 1. Bill Jouris
- 2. Dennis Tan
- 3. Hazem Hessah
- 4. Mats Dufberg
- 5. Meikal Mumin
- 6. Michael Bouland
- 7. Mirjana Tasic

Staff:

8. Pitinan Kooarmornpatana

Meeting Notes

The GP members discussed the Generic Glyph analysis. It was discussed whether the codepoint-to-codepoint or sequence-to-sequence comparison should be done for the Oriya Vowel Sign Aa (0B3E, I) and it was agreed to the sequence-to-sequence comparisons.

For example, as Oriya Vowel Sign Aa (0B3E, I) was a code point which requires a context, therefore the comparing should be done for the sequence Latin Letter O + Latin Small Letter Dotless i (006F 0131, OI) vs the sequence Oriya letter Ttha + Oriya Vowel Sign Aa (0B20 0B3E, OI) instead of comparing the code point Latin Small Letter Dotless i (0131, I) vs the Oriya Vowel Sign Aa 0B3E, OI).

It was noted that the vertical-line voting result was 'no variant'. However, the document should be updated to present the data correctly as in sequence comparison.

The GP then discussed the skip-ink analysis document. The result for skip-ink mechanism showed inconsistency in many cases. The GP discussed that the underlining analysis seems to be irrelevant to the LGR development. The bad actors could always put the malicious link behind the text.

The GP was prone to conclude that the underlining analysis should not affect the variant analysis but the GP discussion and analysis would be documented into the proposal.

The GP was reminded of the additional Appendix D cases from the previous meeting to compare the Circumflex + Acute vs Tilde. It would be discussed in the next meeting.

Next meeting: Thursday 30 April 2020 16:00UTC.

Action Items

S. No.	Action Items	Owner
1	Update the <u>Generic Glyph</u> sheet to reflex sequence-to-sequence comparison as	PK
	discussed by the GP	
2	Review the updated <u>Skip-Ink analysis document</u>	ALL