

Latin Generation Panel (GP) Meeting

Notes from the meeting on 16 April 2020

Meeting Attendees (in alphabetical order)

GP members:

1. Bill Jouris
2. Dennis Tan
3. Hazem Hessah
4. Mats Dufberg
5. Meikal Mumin
6. Michael Bouland
7. Mirjana Tasic

Staff:

8. Pitinan Kooarmornpatana
9. Sarmad Hussain

Meeting Notes

The GP members finalized the pending Appendix D items.

D.4.16 Circumflex Above + Grave Above. The GP agreed to no variant. These cases will be discussed again at the string similarity list creation. The list could be categorized in more than one type depends on the degree of similarity.

Latin Small Letter I, Latin Small Letter Dotless I, and Latin Small Letter Iota. It was raised that this case was already discussed and concluded. Mirjana and Pitinan would confirm on the existing data.

D.3.1 Caron (Above) vs. Breve. Decision from F2F meeting was confirmed. Breve and Caron of ‘a’ ‘e’ ‘u’ ‘g’ were variants.

D.3.12 Ring Above vs. Hook Above. Decision from F2F meeting was confirmed. Hook Above and Ring Above were not variant.

D.4.7 Horn and Hook Above. The GP agreed to no variant. The case would be discussed again at the string similarity list creation.

D.4.14 Circumflex + Acute Above. The GP confirmed that circumflex and acute combination VS each diacritic were not variant. Further investigate would be the case of Circumflex + Acute vs Tilde.

D.4.17 Breve and Acute Above. Decision from F2F meeting was confirmed. Breve and Acute Above are not variant.

The GP then continued discussion on Generic Glyph analysis. The members provided the votes and Bill had summarized from the data. Method for analysis the combining marks was discussed. The GP would continue on Generic Glyph in the next meeting.

Next meeting: Thursday 23 April 2020 16:00UTC.

Action Items

S. No.	Action Items	Owner
1	<i>Review the case of Latin Small Letter I, Latin Small Letter Dotless I, and Latin Small Letter Iota</i>	MT, PK
2	<i>Create some test labels for Circumflex + Acute vs Tilde</i>	PK