Latin Generation Panel (GP) Meeting
Notes from meeting on 5 July 2018

Meeting Attendees (in alphabetical order)
   WG members:
       1. Bill Jouris
       2. Dennis T. Tanaka
       3. Hazem Hezzah
       4. Mats Dufberg
       5. Michael Bauland
       6. Mirjana Tasić
   Staff:
       7. Pitinan Kooarmornpatana
       8. Sarmad Hussain

<u>Meeting Notes</u>
The GP discussed the following agenda items:

1. **The next face to face meeting.** The GP concluded to hold a three-days meeting at ICANN Brussel office. The tentative time is the 3rd or 4th week of September 2018.
2. **Response to IP feedback on repertoire.** The working file is at:
   https://docs.google.com/document/d/1ucbOomIbJys4l4bUQyKuyrg8bP3QXFdEngxeA0dfrG0/edit
   The general categories of IP feedback are
   a. some excluded code points seems to be basic and should be included, IP request more explanation on excluded code points;
   b. no evident to support code points which are used in EGIDS scale-5 languages;
   c. missing or incomplete citation references.

   The GP decided to list all the code points which fall into case (a.) to evaluate the amount of work and then plan the next steps. The IP suggested comparing the LGR with the reference second level LGRs. This could be done automatically via the LGR tool.

3. **Cross-script Variants report, status, next steps.** The working file is at:
   https://docs.google.com/document/d/1Ontc-amdQ_dFCRCc9oHBvotjwIhYc8xEM1OBn5yk1EI/edit

   The cases which two inspectors disagree were discuss to find the decision making method. The majority of score method the lowest score method were discussed. The GP has not reached conclusion but It was agreed to classify these cases and apply method that matches each class.

4. **Next Latin GP call:** 19 July 2018.

Action Items

S. No.	Action Items	Owner
1	*Confirm the F2F meeting date during second half of September 2018.*	SH
2	*Add reference for proof of use of ĕ (Latin small letter with breve).*	MT
3	*Review the IP feedback Google Doc and comment or suggest responses.*	ALL
4	*Classify the cross-script variant cases which two inspectors disagree*	DT