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The Goal of CWG-Stewardship

Produce a consolidated transition proposal for the elements of the IANA Functions relating to the Domain Name System.

The proposal must meet the needs of:
- The naming community in general
- All of the CWG-Stewardships’ chartering organizations (ccNSO, SSAC, GNSO, ALAC, GAC)
- Direct consumers of IANA naming services including gTLDs and ccTLDs

The Scope of CWG-Stewardship

Based on a summary and description of the IANA Functions drawn from the NTIA’s statement of work for that IANA Contract, IANA Functions Operator performs 11 functions.

The CWG-Stewardship is focused on 2-9 and 11
(but may also comment on 1 or 10).

1. Coordinates the assignment of technical protocol parameters including the management of the Address and Routing Parameter Area (ARPA) TLD;
2. Performs administrative functions associated with root zone management;
3. Manages root zone file change requests;
4. Manages "WHOIS" change requests and the WHOIS database;
5. Implements changes in the assignment of Country Code Top Level-Domains (ccTLDs) in accordance with established policy;
6. Implements decisions related to the delegation and redelegation of Generic Top Level Domain (gTLD) in accordance with ICANN policy;
7. Undertakes projects to increase root zone automation;
8. Manages Root Domain Name System Security Extensions (DNSSEC) keys;
9. Provides a Customer Service Complaint Resolution Process (CSCRP);
10. Allocates Internet numbering resources; and
11. Performs other services (operate the .INT TLD, implement modifications in performance of the IANA
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**Members**
- 19
- 125

**Total Calls/Meetings**
- 94

**Working Hours**
- 4,688

**Total Mailing List Exchanges**
- 3,922

---

**Charter Development & SO/AC Approval**

**Initial Meetings / Define RFP Groups**

**RFP1**

**RFP2**

**RFP3 & RFP3B**

**RFP4**

**RFP5**

**RFP6**

**Design Teams**
- Secure Legal Team
- Legal Input

**Draft Proposal**

**Public Comment 1**
- 21 days

**ICANN 51**
- Frankfurt

**Intensive Working Days**

**ICANN 52**
- Istanbul

---
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The Chairs recognize that continued and close engagement with the CCWG-Accountability is essential to the elaboration of a complete proposal, since aspects of the CWG-Stewardship proposal will be conditional on the output of the CCWG-Accountability.

What follows is a summary of the CWG-Stewardship’s input, which was formally submitted to the CCWG-Accountability in a letter on 15 April, available here: https://community.icann.org/x/DiknAw.

continued on next page >>
The CWG-Stewardship supports the CCWG’s proposal(s) for a budget veto tool, where the community has the option to reject an ICANN budget and send it back for further consideration and revision;

The CWG-Stewardship recommends as a part of its transition plan:

1. The IANA Function’s comprehensive costs should be transparent for any future state of the IANA Function
2. Future FY ICANN Operating Plans & Budgets (including FY16 if possible) include at a minimum itemization of all IANA operations costs down to the project level and below as needed to the project level and below as needed

The CWG-Stewardship will be relying on the community empowerment and accountability mechanisms that the CCWG-Accountability is currently considering and developing being in place at the time of the stewardship transition.

- The ability to review ICANN Board decisions relating to periodic or special reviews of the IANA Function
- The ability to approve or reject board decisions on the IANA Function Review as well as the related creation of a stakeholder community/member group

The CWG-Stewardship recommends regular reviews of the IANA Function take place.

- Reviews should happen every 5 years, and should operate in a manner analogous to an AoC review
- Reviews should be incorporated into ICANN’s bylaw as part of a ‘fundamental bylaw’
- A special review may be initiated following the CSC raising concerns with the GNSO and/or the ccNSO or by concerns raised by TLDs directly with the ccNSO or the GNSO

The CWG-Stewardship notes that ccTLDs may decide to develop their own appeals mechanism regarding re/delegation at a later date (post-transition), as such the CWG-Stewardship recommends:

- The CCWG-Accountability should not develop appeal mechanism(s) related to ccTLD delegation/re-delegation issues
- The CCWG-Accountability should develop appeal mechanism(s) related to any other issues that may involve the IANA Function(s)
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>A</th>
<th>IANA Service Level Expectations</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>In Progress</th>
<th>Prov. Complete</th>
<th>C, M, N / D</th>
<th>17 April</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>Delegations / Redelegations Appeal</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Submitted*</td>
<td>Complete</td>
<td></td>
<td>10 April</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>Customer Standing Committee</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Submitted*</td>
<td>Prov. Complete</td>
<td>J, H, N / A, D, M</td>
<td>10 April</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>Authorization Function</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Complete</td>
<td>Complete</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>SAC 69</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Complete</td>
<td>Complete</td>
<td>Red Team</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>Relationships NTIA/IANA/Root Zone Maintainer</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>In Progress</td>
<td>Prov. Complete</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>17 April</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G</td>
<td>IANA Intellectual Property Rights</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>To Be Started</td>
<td>Not Needed/ Covered Elsewhere</td>
<td></td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H</td>
<td>.INT Operations</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>To Be Started</td>
<td>Not Needed/ Covered Elsewhere</td>
<td></td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>Competition policy and Conflicts of Interest</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>To Be Started</td>
<td>Not Needed/ Covered Elsewhere</td>
<td></td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J</td>
<td>CSC/MRT confidentiality and conflicts of interest</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>To Be Started</td>
<td>Not Needed/ Covered Elsewhere</td>
<td></td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K</td>
<td>OFAC Licensing</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>To Be Started</td>
<td>Not Needed/ Covered Elsewhere</td>
<td></td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L</td>
<td>Framework for Transition to Successor IANA Operator</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Submitted*</td>
<td>Complete</td>
<td></td>
<td>10 April</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M</td>
<td>Escalation Mechanisms</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Submitted*</td>
<td>Prov. Complete</td>
<td>A, C, N</td>
<td>10 April</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>Periodic Review of the IANA Functions</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Submitted*</td>
<td>Prov. Complete</td>
<td>A, C, M</td>
<td>10 April</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O</td>
<td>IANA Budget [NEW!]</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>Submitted*</td>
<td>Complete</td>
<td></td>
<td>10 April</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>Red Team</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>To Be Started</td>
<td>Not Needed/ Covered Elsewhere</td>
<td></td>
<td>10 April</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Proposed content was submitted for review during high intensity meetings on 13-14 April.
IANA Stewardship
Contract Oversight
IANA Functions Operator

**Current Contract**

- NTIA
- Contract
- Oversight
- IANA

**Post Transition**

- ICANN Board
- Contract
- Legal Separation
- Post Transition IANA (PTI) Board
- IFR
  - IANA Function Review
- CSC
  - Customer Standing Committee
- Initial Service Issues or Complaints
- Secondary Service Issues or Complaints

---

1 The ultimate accountability mechanism is dependent on the work of the CCWG-Accountability.
2 Group, But Not Necessarily a Legal Entity
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- **22 April**
  - Start of Public Comment for 30 days
- **24 April**
  - Webinar Briefing Days
- **20 May**
  - Close of Public Comment
- **22 May**
  - TBD
- **24 April**
  - Intensive Working Days
- **13-14 April**
  - Intensive working days Preparing final proposal
- **25 June**
  - Deliver Names Proposal to ICG
- **8 June**
  - Deliver Names Proposal to SOs/ACs
- **20 May**
  - Close of Public Comment
- **28-29 May**
  - High-intensity weekend
- **25 June**
  - Deliver Names Proposal to ICG
- **28-29 May**
  - High-intensity weekend
- **ICANN 53**
  - IANA Stewardship Transition Proposal
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