From: Approved and Completed CCWG Clarifications for Bylaws Coordination Group April 7, 2016, received from Bernie Turcotte by email April 8, 2016, 2:35 PM Pacific time

7. The CCWG proposal was silent on how the Interim Board is to consult with the community to make major decisions. We have included a suggestion that the Interim Board shall "(a) consult with the chairs of the Supporting Organizations and Advisory Committees before making major decisions (as if such action were a Rejection Action [as defined in Annex D]) and (b) consult through a community forum (in a manner consistent with the process for a Rejection Action Community Forum pursuant to Section [ ] of Annex D)" prior to taking the action. Are these the right processes?

## **CCWG Response:**

Agreed with Option a)

## REQUESTED FURTHER CLARIFICATION:

The Proposal, in Annex 4, Paragraph 98, provides as follows:

The ICANN Bylaws will state that, except in circumstances of where urgent decisions are needed to protect the security, stability and resilience of the DNS, the Interim Board will consult with the community through the SO and AC leaderships before making major decisions. Where relevant, the Interim Board will also consult through the ICANN Community Forum before taking any action that would mean a material change in ICANN's strategy, policies or management, including replacement of the serving President and CEO.

Our request for clarification was not intended to present a choice between two options, but rather to seek the CCWG's confirmation that the Interim Board's consultation with SO and AC leadership would follow the same procedures as a Rejection Action, and that, similarly, the Community Forum consultation would follow the same procedures as a Rejection Action Community Forum.

Based on the response to our original Question 7, we understand that the CCWG agrees that procedures for a Rejection Action are appropriate for Interim Board consultation with SO and AC leadership. We would like to confirm that the CCWG wishes to modify the Proposal by eliminating the Interim Board community forum consultation requirement.