IANA Budget Development & Approval Process Compliance Matrix

The following table maps the CWG Stewardship IANA Budget requirements to the proposed IANA Budget Development & Approval Process. Note that requirements were repeated in different parts of the CWG Stewardship proposal and that each occurrence is quoted separately in the table; to make it easier to relate duplicate requirements they are grouped together and color coded.

| **#** | **Requirement** | **Section** | **Proposed response** | **DT-O Assessment** | **Gomes Comments** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 1 | “. . .the IFO’s comprehensive costs should be transparent and ICANN’s operating plans and budget should include itemization of all IANA operations costs to the project level and below as needed . . .” | ¶106, Item 1 – ICANN Budget & IANA Budget | Nothing in the proposed Bylaws |  | Should the Bylaws require budget transparency and itemization detail to the project level and below as needed? If not, should this be stated elsewhere and, if so, where? |
| 1 | “The IFO’s comprehensive costs should be transparent for any future state of the IANA Function.” | *¶161, IANA Budget;*  *¶162 item 1* | See Above | See Above | See Above |
| 1 | “Future Fiscal Year (FY) ICANN Operating Plans & Budgets, and if possible even the FY16 ICANN Operating Plan & Budget, include at a minimum itemization of all IANA operations costs in the FY ICANN Operating Plan & Budget to the project level and below as needed.” | *¶161, IANA Budget;*  *¶162 item 2* | |  |  |  | | --- | --- | --- | | See Above | See Above | See Above | | |  |  |  | | --- | --- | --- | | See Above | See Above | See Above | | |  |  |  | | --- | --- | --- | | See Above | See Above | See Above | |
| 1 | “The CWG-Stewardship recommends that the IFO’s comprehensive costs should be transparent and ICANN’s operating plans and budget should include itemization of all IANA operations costs to the project level and below as needed.” | Annex Q, *last ¶ (bullet)* | |  |  |  | | --- | --- | --- | | See Above | See Above | See Above | | |  |  |  | | --- | --- | --- | | See Above | See Above | See Above | | |  |  |  | | --- | --- | --- | | See Above | See Above | See Above | |
| 1 | “Furthermore, these costs should be itemized into more specific costs related to each specific function to the project level and below as needed.” | Annex Q, *last ¶ (bullet)* | |  |  |  | | --- | --- | --- | | See Above | See Above | See Above | | |  |  |  | | --- | --- | --- | | See Above | See Above | See Above | | |  |  |  | | --- | --- | --- | | See Above | See Above | See Above | |
| 2 | “An itemization of IANA costs would include “Direct Costs for the IANA department”, “Direct Costs for Shared resources” and “Support functions allocation”.” | ¶106, Item 1 – ICANN Budget & IANA Budget; | Nothing in the proposed Bylaws |  | Does this need to be documented somewhere or is it understood? |
| 2 | “An itemization of IANA costs would include “Direct Costs for the IANA department”, “Direct Costs for shared resources” and “Support functions allocation”.” | Annex Q, *last ¶ (bullet)* | See Above | See Above | See Above |
| 3 | “PTI should also have a yearly budget that is reviewed and approved by the ICANN community on an annual basis.” | ¶106  Item 1 – ICANN Budget & IANA Budget | The Bylaws require community review by SOs, ACs, RySG, the IAB & the RIRs plus public comment. |  | Seems compliant |
| 3 | “A fully approved budget should be developed on an annual basis.” | *¶161, IANA Budget;*  *¶163* | See Above | See Above | See Above |
| 3 | “PTI should also have a yearly budget that is reviewed and approved by the ICANN community on an annual basis.” | Annex Q, *last ¶ (bullet)* | See Above | See Above | See Above |
| 4 | “PTI should submit a budget to ICANN at least nine months in advance of the fiscal year to ensure the stability of the IANA services.” | ¶106  Item 1 – ICANN Budget & IANA Budget | The proposed Bylaws require that a budget be submitted to the PTI Board & ICANN 270 days before the start of the next fiscal year. |  | Seems compliant |
| 4 | “PTI should submit a budget to ICANN at least nine months in advance of the fiscal year to ensure the stability of the IANA services.” | *¶161, IANA Budget;*  *¶163* | See Above | See Above | See Above |
| 4 | “PTI should submit a budget to ICANN at least nine months in advance of the fiscal year to ensure the stability of the IANA services.” | Annex Q, *last ¶ (bullet)* | See Above | See Above | See Above |
| 5 | “. . . the IANA budget should be approved by the ICANN Board in a much earlier timeframe than the overall ICANN budget.” | ¶106  Item 1 – ICANN Budget & IANA Budget | The proposed Bylaws are silent on this. The proposed process appears to show ICANN Board approval at the same time as the regular ICANN budget. |  | Seems noncompliant |
| 5 | “. . . the IANA budget should be approved by the ICANN Board in a much earlier timeframe than the overall ICANN Budget.” | *¶161, IANA Budget;*  *¶163* | See Above | See Above | See Above |
| 5 | “. . . the IANA budget should be approved by the ICANN Board in a much earlier timeframe than the overall ICANN budget.” | Annex Q, *last ¶ (bullet)* | See Above | See Above | See Above |
| 6 | “The CWG (or a successor implementation group) will need to develop a proposed process for the IANA-specific budget review, which may become a component of the overall budget review.” | ¶106  Item 1 – ICANN Budget & IANA Budget | Staff has proposed a process that is now being reviewed by DT-O and DT-O will make recommendations to the CWG. |  | Ongoing |
| 6 | “The CWG (or a successor implementation group) will need to develop a proposed process for the IANA-specific budget review, which may become a component of the overall budget review.” | Annex Q, *last ¶ (bullet)* | See Above | See Above | See Above |