
SIRANUSH VARDANYAN: Ladies and gentlemen, let's start our APRALO monthly call. Gisella, if I can ask you to do the roll call, please.

GISELLA GRUBER: With pleasure. Thank you, Siranush. Good morning, good afternoon, good evening to everyone. Welcome to today's APRALO monthly call on Tuesday, the 21st of April, at 05:00 UTC. On today's call we have Siranush Vardanyan, Maureen Hilyard, Tomohiro Fujisaki, Yasuichi Kitamura, Toshio Tachibana.

We have apologies noted from Satish Babu, Holly Raiche, Amal Al-saqqaf, Bikram Shrestha, Amal Ramzi, Mohammed Al-Dhaifi, Suhaidi Hassan. And, sorry, Pavan Budhrani is a participant, not an apology.

And from staff today we have Silvia Vivanco, Kelvin Wong, and myself, Gisella Gruber. Our interpreters today are Jessie and Yi Yi on the Chinese channel. So if I could please remind everyone to state their names when speaking, not only for transcript purposes, but also to allow our interpreters to identify you on the Chinese channel. Thank you, and over to you, Siranush.

SIRANUSH VARDANYAN: Thank you very much, Gisella, and welcome again, all those were able to join this call. If anyone would like to add anything for the agenda for today's meeting which is posted in the Adobe page, if you would like to say something, just let us know.

Note: The following is the output resulting from transcribing an audio file into a word/text document. Although the transcription is largely accurate, in some cases may be incomplete or inaccurate due to inaudible passages and grammatical corrections. It is posted as an aid to the original audio file, but should not be treated as an authoritative record.

Let's start with briefing [inaudible] consultations. And I would like to thank Alan for being able to join today's call and give the floor to Alan for providing updates of the [inaudible] consultations. Alan?

ALAN GREENBERG:

Thank you, Siranush. It will be pretty easy today. We have a fair number of items on the agenda, but most of them are going to be easy to put to bed. So I'll go over them.

If you're looking at the agenda— I assume it'll be on the screen in a moment. We can either go from the agenda or the policy page, either way. The first item, which is currently under draft, is an item on rights protection mechanisms review.

This is a look at the Rights Protection Mechanisms, essentially trademark [inaudible], that are associated with the new gTLD program. The majority of the document is looking at the trademark clearinghouse. There's a little bit on the URS (that is the process to very quickly take down a copyright-infringing domain that was registered); and a few other things.

This is the first report of what is going to be many. This report will lead to an issue report, which will then lead to a PDP (Policy Development Process) looking at the overall Rights Protection Mechanisms, or perhaps leading to a PDP. Very little actually involved related to users directly. In this one, Leon is currently reviewing the paper and will have to make a decision very quickly as to whether there is a statement made. I suspect that the decision will be that there won't be one made, but we'll have to wait and see.

The next one is one on internationalized registration data, and is a report from the final draft report from the Expert Working Group. This one, too, is the first report of a number that are going to lead to eventually allowing users to register domain names and put their information – their name, their address, their contact information – in local script and local languages. It's a carefully researched paper. Unsurprisingly, it says we should allow it. And, again, this is the first part of a multi-stage process which will eventually allow users to register domain names without having to put things essentially in English. We've decided that there is no need for a statement on this one. The document is well-done, and it's going to go on to multiple other phases, and the process is underway.

The next statement, the next comment, that we're looking at is the Fiscal Year 16 operating plan and budget, and this has had an awful lot of work and an awful lot of discussion within At-Large. ICANN has done what I think is a pretty good job at this point of consultation and involvement of the community, and as of today, Tijani, who is the one who's looking at the paper to decide whether we need a statement, decided that his recommendation is we need no statement. The document has had a lot of community input, and there's no real need for an ALAC statement at this point. So, another one that we're not doing statements on.

I think you'll see a pattern here that we're really trying to make sure that when we do a statement, it's something that is of substance and has impact on users. We're trying to keep the number of statements low to make sure that when we do make one that it will have impact and we can focus our attention on it.

The next one is a number of Brand TLDs – that is, TLDs (top-level domains) that will be used by a company solely for their own purposes. Normally the new gTLDs do not allow the release at the second level of country and territory names, but for Brand TLDs, it allows them to be released under certain circumstances with the approval of the countries involved. So, for instance, you'll see Honda is one of the TLDs we're looking at. That will allow Honda to have as a second level a country name (japan.honda, unitedkingdom.honda). Essentially they can divide their business based on the country that they're working in. That will allow users who may want to use that website to find local information about that company pretty easily.

The ALAC had discussed the use of country and territory names quite a bit — I guess it was close to a year ago now — and the conclusion that ALAC and those participating from the regions came to is we can't really see a reason to restrict the use of these names. They are commonly allowed to be used within ccTLDs and within the existing gTLDs. There's not a lot of evidence of confusion among users because of it, and we see no reason. So we have made some generic statements saying, "Yes, we support this," but are not issuing statements for every time that a new request comes out.

And lastly, we have a public comment asking for input on how the recommendations of a PDP are to be implemented. This is a PDP that has to do with transfer of domain names between registrants and between registrars. The recommendations were passed almost two years ago. It turns out that some of the recommendations, although they sounded clear, when staff started looking at how you implement it, it was not at all clear.

As an example, one of the recommendations says, "This is the process you must go through if a registrant is going to be changed" — in other words, if you're transferring a domain name that you own to somebody else — "but this process only needs to be followed if you're making a substantive change, if you're making a significant change." In other words, if you're correcting the spelling of your name or the spelling of your street address, that's not a substantive change, but the recommendations were not clear on how you recognize substantive or important from unimportant. So they've had to have a lot of discussion to try to understand how you recognize a major change from a minor change which shouldn't have to go through this process because you're just correcting something.

And there were a number of other things. The recommendations said if you're transferring something from one registrant to another, you have to notify both of them. Both of them have to be notified. Well, what happens if the e-mail address and the mailing address and the phone number of the original registration no longer work? That's not uncommon. People register things, they forget to update their WHOIS, and then suddenly none of the contact information works. And the question is what is the registrar supposed to do at this point? How are they going to follow the rule to notify the registrant when none of their contact information works?

So they're essentially trying to identify how we take the recommendations that sounded good and make them actually work, and they're asking for input, saying, "Are we making good assumptions? Are we making good proposals on how to do this?" And we're currently discussing whether we need to make a statement on that or not. And

that's it. Lots of words, but not a lot of action right now, although a fair amount of effort has gone into making the decisions to not have a lot of action.

Any questions?

SIRANUSH VIRDANYAN: Thank you, Alan. Thank you very much for this update, and thank you for being able to join us, because I know this is late for you.

ALAN GREENBERG: It's only 1:00am.

SIRANUSH VIRDANYAN: "It's only 1:00am." I know how hard it is to stay awake until 1:00am. Thanks again. Any questions to Alan for this update? I see no hands.

I also would like to welcome all those that joined the call. Let's move to agenda item three, APRALO-APAC Hub webinar. As many of you know, on April 9, we started with the first webinar conducted in partnership and with the great support of APAC Hub and At-Large Hub in general. We had really a very informative presentation, which all the records and transcripts are available. Unfortunately we had only six ALSes participate in the webinar. We had a lot of individual members participate, but I would like to encourage all ALSes, even if they are not able to participate in the webinar itself, to download the transcripts and records, and to hear it. Unfortunately we also heard from IT that we

can't count how many people downloaded the information or visited the Wiki page for this transcript, but I encourage you all to do that.

I would like to give the floor to Silvia or Kelvin to provide updates for what is next within the framework of APRALO-APAC Hub webinar. Kelvin, Silvia?

SILVIA VIVANCO:

Thank you very much. The webinar was very informative. I want to thank my colleague, Kelvin, and the [inaudible] Hub staff for helping so much and organizing a very good webinar. I wanted to mention also that we had a quiz after the presentation whereby the participants were able to respond to some questions. So it was a very interactive webinar, and the next webinar promises to be very, very interesting as well. We will have in May Internet Governance, including ICANN and multi-stakeholder model. It's in the basic level. We have three categories: basic, intermediate, and advanced. This is the way we have structured the webinar to build out knowledge little by little. So this is the preferred approach that we have. And the second webinar in June will be Basic DNS and DNS Ecosystem.

A survey was sent to all participants to provide feedback and evaluation of the webinar so we can adapt, improve, and even change some features of the webinar if the audience requires.

That's basically it. Thank you very much.

SIRANUSH VIRDANYAN: Thank you, Silvia. Kelvin, anything to add to this point? I think Kelvin has challenges joining the Adobe page. But, Kelvin, if you would like to say something, just let us know.

Thank you, Silvia. I really would encourage all our ALSes to participate in those webinars. This is based on the topics you suggested, and all efforts are there to make the information available for you. So, please, even if you can't make the webinar itself, go and download the information.

Maureen, you have the floor.

MAUREEN HILYARD: Thank you, Siranush. I just wanted to impress on people that we're quite well aware that the webinars may be on at times that are not convenient for people. But they are still available. I know that one of the things that we're actually doing is we're actually sort of logging when people attend meetings and webinars and seminars. And one of the things that I had been discussing with staff and trying to find some way in which we could actually log when people listen to a webinar at a time that is outside of the norm. We will try and investigate some way in which we can log that you have actually participated.

We are trying to encourage everyone to attend these webinars. They're very important for us as we're developing our ALSes. Please be assured that we are looking at ways in which we can perhaps look at how we can also ensure that the time that you spend listening to a webinar is actually logged. Thank you.

SIRANUSH VIRDANYAN: Thank you, Maureen. Thank you very much for this addition. Would anyone like to say something related to this topic and related to webinars in general? I just read that the planned topics are for the whole year of 2015, so please take the chance to gather all this information. And I would encourage especially those who are not constant participants of in-person ICANN meetings. This information also is really very helpful to get to where we are now and what is on the table during the meeting itself.

Let's move to agenda item four. We have outreach policies for the upcoming year developed by Pavan. I didn't know Pavan is the APRALO Secretariat. I would like to give the floor to Pavan to provide the information related to outreach policies for the APRALO.

PAVAN BUDHRANI: Hi, everyone. I spoke to Siranush a couple of weeks ago, and I had an idea in my mind which was actually something from around March, March or February time. Basically the time when I was preparing for the quizzes for APRALO, I was going through all the information about number of countries in Asia-Pacific, the number of languages spoken, and the different regions and stuff. So that was a blessing of doing the quiz. It brought me to that information.

I'm in the middle of making this Google document which will include all information about the countries and different regions and a number of ALSes we have in different regions around Asia and Australasia. Basically we're going to divide it into four or five different parts and look

at the number of ALSes we have over there, and then when we notice that in some regions there are more and some regions there are a bit less, we can focus our attention on that region which is a bit less.

Now, what I plan to do is get some members of APRALO be in charge of each region, and we can start the outreach over there. I could be coordinating all of this, and I go through the region that way as well. So once the Google document goes into play, I'll share it with the team, and then we will get the feedback from there as well.

SIRANUSH VIRDANYAN:

Thank you, Pavan, and thanks for initiating this activity. I'm sure that our ALSes, those who we have in some regions, will be also a real helpful resource for sharing the information with others. So please put your feedback on the information which Pavan is going to share with all of us. Thank you once again, Pavan. Are there are any questions related to that?

Just to let you know, Pavan provided a great support for [inaudible] teaching APRALO Showcase to provide all the statistics [inaudible]. So, Pavan, thank you very much. I just wanted publicly to recognize your support there. Let's move forward to Agenda Item 5, APRALO upcoming elections.

I don't want to tell a lot of information related to that. I just would like to ask Silvia to provide the update when the information will go out and what positions are open for the upcoming period. Please, Silvia.

SILVIA VIVANCO:

The APRALO Election, Selections, and Appointments for 2015. The following positions will require a regional leader to be appointed, and will serve during 2016-2017: an ALAC representative for the two-year term ending in November 2017. (Maureen is currently holding this position).

Second, a Vice Chair to serve a two-year term ending in November 2017. Satish Babu is currently holding that position.

Please also note that the following position will be appointed: NomCom Selectee for the Asia-Pacific Region appointed for the term 2015-2016. And the Secretariat will be appointed for the 2015-2016 term. This is a discretionary appointment, so it's not actually an election. It's currently held by Pavan.

I'm going to put the link there with the schedule, which is basically at the same time coinciding with the ALAC Selection timetable for 2015 for ALAC members. We are going to start with an announcement on the 29th of April – an announcement for the call for nominations, a reminder on the 29th, and a formal announcement on the 30th. Then from the 30th April until May 9th, the nomination period. Then May 16th, deadline for nomination acceptance. May 18-25, election. It is required elections will be [inaudible] no later than one week after the deadline for nominations, and then all the representatives shall be seated in the [ABM] in October 2015.

I will put all the details on the Wiki. I'm going to post it there in the chat. It's a very straightforward schedule. We are trying to do all the APRALO and [ALAC] at the same time so people are not overwhelmed with

so many elections running throughout the year, but once a year. Thank you, Siranush.

SIRANUSH VIRDANYAN:

Thank you, Silvia. I agree with what Cheryl has mentioned in the chat page, that we specifically select leadership positions and not elect them. I would just put here in the record that I will really support and hope to get consensus from all our members for Maureen and Satish to continue there with the new term, because they really are doing a great job, and they have the right to be reelected. I think that we'll get consensus on having both of them to continue their term, but you can put all your agreement/disagreement through the mailing list when the announcement will go out. And we'll have the position open for the NomCom representative, so please put the name forward. I'm sure that, as usual, we'll have the consensus for all the candidates in place. Ali and Cheryl put their agreement in the chat page. I hope that we'll have consensus as usual.

Any questions related to the upcoming elections? The information will be available, as Silvia said, on the 13th of April. It will officially go to APRALO mailing list, so you all will see what positions and what the terms and what the deadlines are, and it will be also available in the Wiki space. Any questions on that? Yes, the details are already posted in the Wiki space, so the link which Silvia put in the chat already contains all the information which has been just said.

Okay, more to come on the elections during the beginning of May, so I encourage all of you to be very, very active in putting your

agreement/disagreement on the candidates or putting your names up for the consideration, etc. This is where also ALSes are very important to be heard, so please put your name forward in whatever you would like to say.

Okay, if there are no questions related to the Agenda Item 5, let's move to Agenda Item 6, APRALO survey results.

As you all know, we conducted a survey among APRALO ALSes, and I would like to thank those who participated. We have really not bad statistics. At least we had 28 ALSes participate in the survey, and we had also four or five — Maureen, correct me if I'm wrong — ALSes where two participants (primary and secondary contact) provided their input for the survey. So they participated in the survey as two representatives from the same ALS, which is really very appreciated and welcome. And I would like to give the floor to Maureen to provide a brief update on the highlights of what has been said by our ALSes and what we found out through the survey. Maureen, please.

MAUREEN HILYARD:

Thank you, Siranish. I too would like to add my appreciation to those members who were able to find the time to fill in the survey. Very much appreciated. I think the sort of interesting things that came out of the survey was when we're looking perhaps at [official] backgrounds, and there's a large number of academic backgrounds, but coupled with technical and managerial, which really do help to look when we're looking at the sort of work that the RALO gets involved in and can contribute to with regards to the policy statements that ALAC works on.

Those sorts of backgrounds really do help us get a pretty good range if we were getting everyone making a comment on those statements for us.

What's not surprisingly of course, a major interest for other ALSes is Internet Governance, and it would be interesting to see more of our ALS members becoming involved in the Internet governance discussions that are taking place at the moment.

An interesting result, too, was the fact that only a third of our participants actually had English as a first language. Again, it really does demonstrate the diversity of our regions, that most of our region are non-English speakers. One of the comments that they made was that a high number of Arabic speakers actually responded. I wasn't quite sure whether that might justify ICANN adding Arabic interpretation as one of the main languages. But I think there was a comment made that that might be a possibility [inaudible].

Again, I think that we did get some really good ideas from people when we talked about what would actually help to engage people more, as ALS members. The fact that we had 26 out of 38 ALSes actually responding to the survey was really good, considering. We would have liked it to have been 100%, but I think that the number that we did receive was actually really high when you take formal survey responses into account. But the survey results of course are available. My brief report is available online, so please feel free to comment if you would like to at any time. Thank you.

SIRANUSH VIRDANAN:

Thank you, Maureen. Thank you very much for the comprehensive analysis of this information. I would like to say that what Silvia posted in the chat space, that the purpose of this survey was to know about APRALO ALSes more and to identify the expertise within the ALSes, because this also fulfills ATLAS II recommendation 28, where ALAC should work with all RALOs and ALSes to max the current expertise and interest in their membership.

Also we would like more ALS representatives to participate in quality discussions and quality communication and to be a part of this quality development. We have seen that there is a lot of expertise in Internet governance from the members of APRALO ALSes, so this is a stage where you can come in and be a part of policy development on Internet governance in general.

I see also that Silvia posted the final statistics, that 26 APRALO ALSes out of 38 registered with APRALO participated, but we also had the individual members also participate. So 68% response is a really low percentage for APRALO participation. I know that ALSes are more active whenever we are having the teamwork or APRALO Showcase. I know that all of you have your support there. So please be more active wherever there is support from your part or participation from your part is needed. I hope to see a response rate of a little bit higher.

And, yes, the survey results are available in the Wiki space that is also posted in APRALO monthly report space, so please go and have a look on the survey. We will come back to you based on the expertise identified as well. Any questions related to that? Once again, Maureen,

for the great work in organizing and facilitating the whole process for the survey.

If there are no questions related to that, our next agenda is the next APRALO meeting, and I see that many people are not very happy with the Tuesday meeting. We have a lot of people here from ALSes. Let's tick the agreement or disagreement items here. Who agrees for the changing or moving the APRALO monthly call? Cheryl, you have the floor.

CHERYL LANGDON-ORR:

Thank you very much. Just one point. I am personally supportive of the move to the Thursday, because it does allow us to have greater participation, including from some of our leadership team, noting that Holly Raiche, one of your representatives into the ALAC, is unable to make Tuesday calls for the whole month of May and some other teaching commitments through the year.

If – and I hope you do – get consensus to move to the Thursday, I would suggest that you look to which week of the month you do this. If you do it in this current week — in other words, technically the third week — you are going to run into a problem moving it to the Thursday in the third week in as much as you will be, for the first month at least, out of sync with input and output from the ALAC meeting.

Now, that's okay as long as you're aware of it. You will be picking up on the Thursday, which is going to nominally be in most months just before ALAC meeting, but with ALAC meeting normally still running on the Tuesday or Wednesday morning wherever you are, on the fourth week

of the month you just need to be careful about misalignment. It's not a major drama as long as you're aware of it.

SIRANUSH VIRDANYAN: Thank you, Cheryl. Ali, you have the floor.

ALI ALMESHAL: Thank you, Siranush. I totally support moving it to Thursday, plus if we can shift it one more hour ahead. Instead of 5:00 UTC, make it 6:00, because 5:00 is a bit problematic for me as well. So hope we can reach some sort of agreement with that. Thank you.

SIRANUSH VIRDANYAN: I see several people are posting in the chat space, so let's see. Tomohiro, Thursday doesn't work anyway. If we change 5:00 UTC to 6:00 UTC, will it work better for you? Different suggestions there. Let's put the voting here in this page. For those who agree that the meeting we'll have the last Thursday of the month, who agrees for that in the green text?

CHERYL LANGDON-ORR: Noting that you will be out of sync for one month, but that's okay.

SIRANUSH VIRDANYAN: Yes. So the last Thursday? One, two, three, four, five, six. Who agrees for the third Thursday for the month? Who's okay for that? Tomohiro, it doesn't work for you until 8:00 UTC. Tomohiro, is it taking place every

Thursday? Yes? Oh, God, so many meetings, and long meetings, from 4:00 to 8:00 UTC.

What about Anuipam, Aris, Hong, Gunela, Pavan? Please put which one works better for you, the third Thursday or the last Thursday of the month.

CHERYL LANGDON-ORR: Just noting that in chat Tomohiro mentioned that 3:00 UTC would work for him, though, on a Thursday. So if we moved it earlier, I wonder if Ali was going to be inconvenienced.

SIRANUSH VIRDANYAN: Yeah, 3:00 UTC is really very early for myself, for the Armenian part of the world, and for Ali as well.

CHERYL LANGDON-ORR: Define "too early." 5:00am? 4:00am? What?

SIRANUSH VIRDANYAN: 5:00am works well, but 5:00am doesn't work for Tomohiro anyway. I think from 4:00 UTC he has the meeting.

CHERYL LANGDON-ORR: Yes. He could do 3:00, but never mind.

SIRANUSH VIRDANYAN:

So I think that the majority are okay with the third Thursday of the meeting. 6:00 UTC is quite late for the Australian part of the world, so we may stay with 5:00 UTC, but for the third Thursday of the month. Is it okay? If there is any clear complaint for that time, please put it in the page. Maureen, you would like to have a Doodle all others who participate. We can do that. We can do Doodle, but the experience shows that we never come to the consensus anyway related to the time of our meeting.

Okay, let's decide in this way. We will ask staff to send a Doodle for the third Thursday and the last Thursday of the month and the timing, different timing, starting from 3:00 UTC until 7:00 UTC. So let's give all options. Let's include also Wednesday. Let's include the last Wednesday and Thursday and the third Wednesday and Thursday with the different timing for each day, and let's find out which is best.

I see Gisella is also putting this as an action item. Thank you, Gisella. You can do it whenever is convenient for you and let's find out based on this the date and the time which suits most of our ALSes. Maybe we can switch to Wednesday if for ISOC Japan it doesn't work at all. So we can put also Wednesday and Thursday to see how many people can find the best way for participation. We want as much participation as possible. Thank you, Tomohiro. We'll also take this into consideration. Again, put the Doodle for ALSes and to come with the final day and time based on the Doodle. Thank you all, and thank you, Gisella, also for this.

We have the last agenda item in on our today's call table. So if there is anyone who would like to say something, this is the time for you to come up. Yes, Cheryl, please.

CHERYL LANGDON-ORR: Thank you very much. I've just taken the liberty of going to the newly named Selections and Appointments APRALO for 2015 page. I've removed the word "election" from the title because it gets up my nose [all right], but I've also added in what appeared to be the consensus in this call for nomination to continue for both Satish and for Maureen. I have at the moment listed the APRALO ALT as the nominator for that, but I would suggest we might want to put a call out to ask other ALSes to support or otherwise nominate. We can look at the wording of that fairly carefully, and I'm sure the leadership team will come up with something that's clear and concise.

But whilst we have the call on, I also took the time to interact with Gunela Astbrink, who had previously indicated her interest in coming to further services in the region, and in particular to working with the Nominating Committee. And she is in fact still interested with that role, so I have taken the liberty, with her agreement, of nominating her on that page now to be considered for the NomCom role. And as we all know, all we do in APRALO is put forward names. It is up to the ALAC to actually appoint, but I just wanted to let you know those edits and, indeed, nominations have been somewhat more formalized. Because, hey, I was there, and why not? Thank you.

SIRANUSH VIRDANYAN: Thank you, Cheryl. That's a great addition and great information, and yes, I completely share the point of having consensus among all ALSes, and fully support Satish and Maureen's continuation of their roles, and

welcome any new names for the NomCom. There is also my support for Pavan to continue as the Secretariat as his term also is coming to the end. He has the right to be re-elected [inaudible] put in the wording. Cheryl, yes?

CHERYL LANGDON-ORR: Absolutely. That, of course, can simply be something that the leadership team is empowered to do, and because it is a casual appointment, you could in fact make the period much longer than you're currently doing with the two-year term. That can be decided by the leadership, but that's very much within the business of the leadership team, I would suggest.

SIRANUSH VIRDANYAN: Thank you very much. Gunela, can I consider this as acceptance of nominations made of Cheryl?

CHERYL LANGDON-ORR: Looks like it.

SIRANUSH VIRDANYAN: Okay, great. Any suggestions for the process by the members of ALSes who are in this call? No additions? No suggestions?

Cheryl, did I understand correctly? Did we want to have the consensus in the chat page now for the upcoming [inaudible]?

CHERYL LANGDON-ORR: I would suggest you do a consensus call to the normal list. I think it gives opportunity for any of the ALSes who are either not in this call or who do not routinely review these call notes.

SIRANUSH VIRDANYAN: Okay. And we'll do this whenever the call is out to the list.

CHERYL LANGDON-ORR: Correct.

SIRANUSH VIRDANYAN: Thank you very much. I wanted to clarify if I understood you correctly.

Thank you all. If there is no one who wants to say something – I don't see any hands raised. I don't see any person who would like to say something. Just last one minute for you. I see Maureen is trying. Let's wait to see what Maureen . . .

CHERYL LANGDON-ORR: She's just now officially accepting her nomination as well. I'll just pop over to the page and fix that for you, Maureen. So as soon as Satish responds, that's it.

SIRANUSH VIRDANYAN: Thank you, Maureen. I hope you will do this officially as well when the call will be out. You have really great support of the team, I know, and of many people, I'm sure.

With that, I would like to thank everyone who participated in this call. We have quite a number of people who joined us, and it's really good to see all of you at least once a month to be a part of this call. Once again, thank you very much for participation. The report for this April call will be available in our Wiki space, and I will also send it through our mailing list as usual. And looking forward to hearing from you very, very soon. Thank you very much. Thanks, Cheryl.

CHERYL LANGDON-ORR: Bye.

SIRANUSH VIRDANYAN: And thank you all. Thanks, staff, for the support.

GISELLA GRUBER: Thank you, everyone. The meeting is being adjourned, and the audio will now be disconnected. Thank you for joining today's call.

[END OF TRANSCRIPTION]