Design Team N.	Periodic Review of IANA Functions
Draft Transition Proposal Reference	III.A.1.4
Summary Description	Regardless of the model selected for post- transition oversight of the IANA Function, the IANA Naming Functions will have to be reviewed on a regular basis. Reviews should include the overall services provided by the IANA Functions Operator, with respect to the naming functions, as well as a review of the IANA Statement of Work (SOW). The review requirement brings on several additional requirements related to the IANA SOW: • What period (duration) should be covered by the first SOW post-transition? • What should be the standard period for reviewing SOWs post transition? • What should be the process for reviewing or amending SOWS (including approval by the community and acceptance by ICANN)? The current definition and operational parameters (including the format of request and reporting requirements) for the functions in the IANA Functions contract and IANA Response have to be reviewed to ensure they meet all the postransition requirements. Additional issues to be covered includes defining other aspects of the IANA Naming Functions that would benefit from regular review, but may not be explicitly referenced in the IANA Statement of Work
Detailed description	Considerations that need to be included in the considerations of the SOW review length include: what is a sufficient length to avoid the thrash of constant SOW review? what would be so long as to create too much of a
	status quo assumption? Considerations on the standard period for a SOW review include:

Determination by CWG Chairs	Priority 1 (Provisional)
Status	Step 0
Proposed by / Lead	provisional volunteer - Avri Doria
Proposed Membership	
	What other reviews need to be carried out in the overall review of the IANA naming functions? What is the scope of periodic reviews? What additional services or parameters should be regularly reviewed that may not be explicitly referenced in the SOW? Where are these services or parameters defined? How often should these reviews take place? By what process should these reviews be carried out and changes be made to these parameters and services? Consideration on process for all reviews and renewal include: Who are the relevant stakeholders? What body should coordinate reviews? O How should it be composed? O How should it be structured? O What is the scope of its responsibility for leading the review? What sort of process structure is warranted? Should all elements covered under a periodic review follow the same process, structure, and timeline? What are the goals of periodic reviews? How is the wider community involved in such a review?
	 Is the SOW reviewed at intermediate stages or just on reconsideration of the SOW Is a regular period, like yearly, necessary? If so, what is the periodicity?