|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Design Team N.** | **Periodic Review of IANA Functions** |
| **Draft Transition Proposal Reference** | III.A.1.4 |
| **Summary Description** | Regardless of the model selected to implement the transition the IANA Function, including the SOW, will have to be reviewed on a regular basis.  The SOW review requirement brings on several additional requirements:   * What period (duration) should be covered by the first SOW post transition? * What should be the standard period for reviewing SOWs post transition? * What should be the process for reviewing or amending SOWS (including approval by the community and acceptance by ICANN)?   The current definition and operational parameters (including the format of request and reporting requirements) for these functions in the IANA Functions contract and IANA Response have to be reviewed to ensure they meet all the post transition requirements.  Additional issues to be covered includes defining the reviews that may be needed of IANA Function beyond what is defined in the SOW. The substance of other reviews would need to be defined in other DT efforts. |
| **Detailed description** | Consideration of the various reviews and how these reviews and their schedules interact with each other.  Considerations that need to be included in the considerations of the SOW review length include:   * what is a sufficient length to avoid the thrash of constant SOW review? * what would be so long as to create too much of a status quo assumption?   Considerations on the standard period for a SOW review include:   * Is the SOW reviewed at intermediate stages or just on reconsideration of the SOW * Is a regular period, like yearly, necessary? If so, what is the periodicity?   What other reviews need to be carried out as the overall review of the IANA function?   * What is being reviewed * where is it defined? * How often   Consideration on process for all reviews and renewal include:   * who are the relevant stakeholders? * what sort of process structure is warranted? * do periodic reviews of the various definition of the IANA Function, including the SOW, have a different structure from each other and from a review for renewal? * what are the objective issues any such review should take up? * How is the wider community involved in such a review? * Is it done by a standing committee of some sort (for example the MRT or any of its model based analogues) or a team built for purpose like an ICG or CWG. |
| **Proposed Membership** |  |
| **Proposed by / Lead** | very provisional volunteer - Avri Doria |
| **Status** | **Step 0** |
| **Determination by CWG Chairs** | **Priority 1 (Provisional)** |