

Questions

1. How do we plan to get regular ALS members to fill out the survey? As I know some ALSes have hundreds or even thousands of members. How do we reach them effectively?
2. How do we analyze the result? Is there an automated system to do that, or we will need to do it manually?

General Comment

We provided the comments and suggestions based on the following principles:

1. The wording of the questions and answers need to be clear and objective
2. Double-barreled questions need to be avoided
3. Don't assume anything without clearly stating it
4. Options need to be comprehensive and avoid assumptions
5. Incorporate questions relevant to the capacity building webinar so that we can kill two birds with one stone

A.3 Your area of expertise / interest at ICANN

Comment: This is a double-barreled question, as the correspondents are asked to select both the area of expertise and the area of interests at ICANN at the same time, which can be two different things.

Suggestion: Separate this question into two questions and revise the wording to be specific. In addition, add one more question specifically about capacity building webinar topics and ask correspondents to **rate** their interests in these topics. Please note that the proposed topics include the feedback from APRALO members last year, as well as the topics mentioned in the survey.

- Which of the following ICANN policy issue(s) do you have the most expert knowledge in? Having expert knowledge implies that you feel confident enough to speak about the issue(s) to expert audience. (multiple choice; you may select up to 3 choices)
 - ccTLDs
 - Domain Name System
 - Intellectual Property
 - Internationalized Domain Names (note: suggest to separate IDNs from Domain Names)
 - Internet Governance
 - New GTLDs
 - Privacy
 - Security/DNSSEC
 - WHOIS
 - Other (please specify)
 - None (note: suggest to add this option to be comprehensive)
- Which of the following ICANN policy issue(s) are you interested in? (multiple choice)

- ccTLDs
 - Domain Name System
 - Intellectual Property
 - Internationalized Domain Names (note: suggest to separate IDNs from Domain Names)
 - Internet Governance
 - New GTLDs
 - Privacy
 - Security/DNSSEC
 - WHOIS
 - Other (please specify)
 - None (note: suggest to add this option to be comprehensive)
- APRALO will launch a series of webinars that provide training opportunities for members on topics that interest them. Please rate each of the following proposed topics on a scale of 1-5 (1=not at all interested; 5=very interested):
 - ccTLDs
 - Enhancing ICANN Accountability & Governance
 - How the Internet Works (e.g. Domain Name System, IPv6)
 - IANA Functions / IANA Stewardship Transition
 - ICANN Policy Development Process
 - ICANN's role for the Internet community (e.g. ICANN's multistakeholder model, GAC, GNSO, ICANN activity updates, etc.)
 - Intellectual Property
 - Internationalized Domain Names / Universal Acceptance
 - Internet Content Related (e.g. Online Child Protection, Local Content Development)
 - Internet Governance
 - New GTLDs
 - Privacy (e.g. Data Protection)
 - Security and Stability / DNSSEC
 - The role of APRALO for ICANN
 - The role of At-Large Structures for ICANN
 - Understanding and Distinguishing Cyber Activities
 - WHOIS
 - Other (please specify)

B.2 What area of ICANN is your ALS most aligned with?

Comment: The use of word “aligned” is a bit confusing to me, as an ALS is presumably most aligned with the ALAC/At-Large based on affiliation. What specifically do you want to know via this question? In addition, the options can be more comprehensive.

Suggestion: If you want to know which ICANN stakeholder group the correspondent's ALS engages with the most, you may ask that question in a more straightforward manner.

- Which ICANN stakeholder group does your ALS engage with the most? (single choice)
 - ALAC/At-Large
 - ASO
 - Business Constituency
 - ccNSO
 - GAC
 - GNSO
 - NomCom
 - RSSAC
 - SSAC
 - TLG
 - Other (please specify)
 - None
 - Don't know

B.3. Are you a direct contact between your ALS and your local community?

Comment: I don't quite understand the purpose of this question. Could you kindly clarify?

C.2 What is your main role in the working group/s?

Comment: Since a correspondent may be a member of many working groups and may assume different roles in those working groups, it will be difficult for him/her to select among these options effectively (e.g. A correspondent may simply check all boxes); in addition, the option "active participant" is subjective without clear definition; "penholder" is a bit unclear as well; non-active participant/observer is excluded from the options.

Suggestion: Ask the correspondent to fill in the number of working groups that he/she is a group leader, penholder, active participant, or non-active participant/observer. Define penholder and active participant.

- Please identify the number of working groups that you have the following roles:
 - Group Leader (____)
 - Penholder (____) (e.g. drafter for policy paper, rules of procedures, newsletter, presentation, declaration, etc.)
 - Active Participant (____) (e.g. regularly speak up during meetings, participate in mailing list discussions, contribute to the work of the group, etc.)
 - Non-active Participant/Observer (____) (e.g. rarely communicate with other members of the working groups via mailing list or in meetings, etc.)
 - Other (please specify)
 - Not applicable