

**ICANN**

**Moderator: Maryam Bakoshi**  
**May 12, 2017**  
**3:00 pm CT**

Coordinator: Recording has started.

Maryam Bakoshi: Thank you very much, Christine. Good morning. Good afternoon. Good evening. This is the NCSG EC call meeting on Friday 12th of May 2017 at 20:00 (UTC).

On the call today we have Joan Kerr, Monika Zalnieriute, Robin Gross, Tapani Tarvainen, and from staff we have myself, Maryam Bakoshi.

I would like to remind all participants to please state your name before speaking for transcription purposes. Thank you very much. Over to you, Tapani.

Tapani Tarvainen: Thank you, Maryam. So, our agenda today is basically new member applications. I think there were some pending applications as well. And then we'll take a look at member and what procedures.

And if we have time, we can have a little talk about what we have on our plate in the before (job work), but let's start with that.

Does everybody have the new applications open already? Looking at the individuals with new status. Okay. Nobody is complaining. If you want to hold, please say so in the chat. Otherwise, I'll start looking at the first one.

Okay. The first new applicant is Gustavo Paiva from Brazil with him describing himself as individual. He's categorized as an individual who's primarily concerned with public interest aspects of domain and policy, et cetera. No member of ICANN groups.

An interest statement: As a law student, I focused my studies on internet law which generates my interest in internet governance. In my university, UFRN in Brazil, I founded a (unintelligible) committed to the internet, an internet organized research group (unintelligible) on the internet and references Renato Aquino and (Vivian Andrade).

Opinions on Gustavo Paiva? Robin wrote in yes. Joan likewise. Monika, yes. I wrote yes as well. So, we approved Gustavo Paiva.

Okay. Next Houda Belkassam from Morocco. Also category of an individual concerned with the public interest aspects of domain and policy. And he's a member of the Middle Eastern Adjoining Countries Strategy Working Group.

And he writes an interest statement: I'm an E learning engineer IP professional at the university. ICANN fellow and also internet policy analyst of (hivers) foundation and (tegmina) program have been spliced together from the Arab region and internet governance presumably. It's again been cut short.

And lots of references -- (Kel Kubur), (Hananna Bujemi), (Ranata Bedo), (Amata Kastrim), (Unintelligible). And there's a link in here as well.

Opinions on Houda Belkassem? So, Monika and Robin voting yes for Houda Belkassem and Joan as well and I agree. So again, approved pending consulate approval Houda Belkassem. Gustavo Paiva as well.

Yes, and I'll note that those -- always I'll keep making notes -- if we note for the problems in the interface. I've been noting a few. I've been for voting these two. Martin (unintelligible) some of the issues we had last time but not all of them, so just for now we just wrote it in for them.

Okay. Next one we have is Bikram Shrestha from Nepal. And it's an individual who has registered domain names for personal or family use. He's been actively involved in approval and appropriated activities in ICANN online, remote, and face-to-face meetings. Also, coordinating internet governance related activities to organize national idea and other internet related activities in Nepal.

And reference (unintelligible) (Kabir).

Opinions? Looking at his web domain page it at least works. But opinions on him -- yes from Robin. Yes from Monika. And yes from Joan as well. I agree so again approved pending Poncelet later.

Next we have Youssouf Abakar Tahir from Chad. Again, an individual primarily concerned with public interest aspects of the domain name policy. And interest statement -- nowadays we can't live without internet. We in Africa and especially in Chad, internet is a luxury, and want everyone can reach internet without difficulty. No references. No LinkedIn page either.

So, opinions on Youssef Abakar Tahir? I see yes from Robin. Monika is a little uncertain. And I see Joan also thinks we should ask for more info. And so, if that's possible, we'll do I guess. Because basically we need to be unanimous if we want to approve anyone, so. Since we agree that more information is needed, so Maryam please contact Youssef Abakar Tahir and do there something specifically what we want to ask?

I guess we can do the usual please explain what is actually your interest in the domain name system. Or the reference like any kind of reference would be useful, where he works.

Yes, Monika it is dark here as well and I'm about to fall asleep but let's make the (unintelligible). So, does anybody have any? Okay so the usual question -- please explain in a more detail your interests. Not commercial interests in the DNS policy. That's it. Or anybody want to add anything?

Perhaps you should add a question that any additional references would be useful because the problem with people is that we know nothing about them except the self-description and that doesn't tell much. There is no web page, no LinkedIn page, no nothing although we must appreciate the in countries like Chad, it may be difficult to have any such connections. But anyway, let's ask him and see what he has to explain. Okay. Let's move on.

Next one also from Chad, Abakar Oumar Massar. And is ICANN connection ACIEDD, which I don't know what that means. Does somebody? It seems to be Association Internet ISOC Chapter of Chad. Okay.

And his description is in French. Is there somebody among us who can translate on the line? Immediately for us should I just - because my French is non-existent. These are the Google Translate. Okay, Google Translate gives

me, "My interest in internet governance is to advocate for a better condition of using the internet in my country. I've already started to be the ambassador of youth IGS. I wanted to be a member of this structure for the simple reason," which has been cut off.

Okay, and again no references. So, anything on that? For membership is some kind of reference. Opinions on Abakar Oumar Massar? Does anybody have any opinion? Hello? Robin wrote in yes. Monika, yes. Joan, yes. So, I agree -- approved pending Poncelet approval later. I guess we consider that Chad ISOC Chapter Membership is sufficient in this case.

Then we have someone from Benin, Yazid Akanho however you pronounce that. Again, an individual primarily concerned with the public interest aspects of the domain name policy. No ICANN connection, no references.

But interest statement -- I would like to understand and participate internet governance by giving my opinion as an end user. I would like to participate through ICANN policy celebration. I would like to keep participate of internet for all.

And there's a LinkedIn page in this case. He works for Benin Internet Communications. Let's see. Robin wrote in yes. Joan, yes. Monika yes. And looking at his LinkedIn page, I agree. His looks good enough to me. So, let's approve Yazid Akanho pending consular later.

Next, we have Saurabh Dubey. Again, an individual internet user primarily concerned with the public interest aspects with reference (ISPCP). And I'm not quite sure what that means here. Okay. Internet service providers. That doesn't make sense.

Anyway, his interest statement says that he's an ICANN 50 (unintelligible) associated with nonprofit organization (NIXI). Our great understanding about internet (unintelligible) to contribute for society and several commercial interests for service like education, consumer, whatever.

But I'm a bit concerned with this. His member of (ISPCP). If that is correct then it seems to be a problem. Okay. Given the description, I tend to agree with Robin that likely to be a mistake, but it's something that we have to check.

So Maryam, please contact Saurabh Dubey and make sure what this ISPCP membership means. If it's correct then we can't have (ISPCP members as NCSG members, can we?

Okay. Moving on to the next one who is Mahamat Silim Moustapha from Chad. Lots of member applicants from Chad this point. Again, individual internet user with primary concern with the public interest aspects of domain name policy. Referencing ISCO Chad.

Interest statement -- my interest in internet governance is working together and bringing control to the proprietary from hackers, fight against cybercrime and spread out the good using of internet.

Opinions on (Mustafa)? Here's the LinkedIn pages for our case seven notice. Except it doesn't seem to work. I think our policy has basically been that whenever there is some information that does not work, like web page or LinkedIn page or something that's broken, then we'll have to ask for correction for that.

So let's ask what actually is the LinkedIn page because the one you gave doesn't work. Or if you don't have one, then explain your interest in more detail. Or actually (other way), so. So, put him on the list of people we need more information from.

Next, Luã Fergus Oliveira da Ccruz. Very much look like a typo in the name I can't see Brazilian name beginning with double C. So that's something I want to check. But otherwise, Brazilian individual internet use with primary concern with public interest aspects. No member of ICANN groups.

Interest statement -- I'm currently working with youth (SRG), an organization of internet society focused on making capacity building of youth around the voice of internet governance service (unintelligible). So of course (Lua)'s reference is (Rosemary Carell) and Kathy Kleinman).

Opinions on (Lua)? Yes from Robin. Yes from Joan. Monika, yes from Monika as well. And I agree. So approve is pending Poncelet's opinion. Otherwise suggest that we do ask if that name is spelled correctly or just notify him that please check and let us know if there is an extra C, as there seems to be.

Next is Fotjon Kosta from Albania, an individual with his own domain name for personal, family, or commercial use.

Interest statement -- If show pleasing, please I would like to inform you that my educational background is infused in computer science and also my professional background is as since 2007 working for Albanian government. And it's cut short. But he gave his both website and LinkedIn page. Interesting the website he gives is Albanian Internet Governance Forum. So that's not exactly personal use. Makes you think.

Yes, I think Fotjon looks a bit out of place. Shall we reject him outright or ask for more information? Okay. A single reject vote is enough, so that's what we'll do.

I'll note that Albania is a country where it may be a bit unclear to people about what exactly is the difference between commercial and government. And of course, phrase the rejection letter in such a way that in case we have misunderstood, feel free to explain and reapply. But still, rejection.

Okay. Next one Marilia Monteiro from Germany. Individual with primary concerned with the public interest aspect. Interest statement says that I have engaged in internet policy for the past year, especially when I acted as policy manager for the Brazilian Ministry of Justice's Consumer Office, managing public policies regarding consumer protection and new technologies and law enforcement. She references Renata Aquino and Tatiana Tropina.

Opinions on Marilia Monteiro? And she gives us a little bit of past. She's been acting for Brazilian government. Doesn't say what she's doing now. Her name sounds Brazilian but seems to be now living in Germany anyway.

Robin is saying yes. I notice a little problem in our procedure that we do not check if references they give are genuine. So we might Renata and Tatiana if they are really behind what they - that has never been a problem in the past, so. Then of course if somebody gives false information, that will be an obvious pass for removal after later, so.

Okay. I see all of you voting yes. I agree. Let's approve her pending Poncelet's approval.



And then we have (Upra) duplicate. Same application from twice -- well almost same -- from Dina Solveig Jalkanen. The difference being that in the first one, she lists Netherlands and the second one Finland the country.

And given the reference to (Yuhan Ulfasin) I presume she is a Fin living in the Finland. (Yelkiven) is a Finnish surname. But otherwise they are identical, saying that individual was registered domain name for family and commercial use.

Interest statement -- I want to contribute to ICANN in a constructive way. I have studied computer science and networking, mathematics, and English at the University of Helsinki and worked as a program reading English and technical translator for first project --- first meaning free and open source software. (Unintelligible) David Cake and Renata Ribeiro can confirm my identity. There is a Web site. Does that look like - doesn't seem to work. I don't know her, no. But let's see...

I see that the domain is registered to her by the WHOIS data, but the Web site does not work well. Technically a domain does not need to exist as a Web site so if it's (unintelligible) that's fine. Rather somewhat interesting.

And it does have a name that's record, so it's indeed a case of a domain name that's not used as a Web site but only for e-mail. Okay I see Robin voting yes. Anybody else on (Yelkinin)? Joan voted yes. Monika... yes. And I agree that the description looks good enough to me. Voting yes and we'll approve pending Poncelet later.

And I guess we'll have to ask whether she prefers to be listed in the Netherlands or Finland.

Next is Natasha Lamptey from Ghana. Individual registered their own personal non-permanent and commercial domain name. Interest statement: I studied Internet governance as part of requirements for a degree in Internet law and policy. I've since been interested in policy development for information technology law in Africa. Now Africa can reach (unintelligible), make contributions. And reference (unintelligible).

And she's got her domain name... which does work. So what does Natasha Lamptey look to you? The Web site seems rather professional lawyers page though, but...

So opinions on Natasha Laptey? You all looking at the Web site. And lawyer, well we won't count that against you. I think we have lawyers in our midst anyway. But...

Okay, Robin voting yes. Others? Robin, Joan, and Monika, everybody voting yes. Yeah I'm just a little concerned that this Web site which seems to be just a professional site rather than family or personal, but we have no problems in lawyers and I see no reason to presume her interest in DNS would be related to her business otherwise, so agreed. Let's vote yes and approve her, again pending Poncelet.

And the next one, Paola Perez from Venezuela. Individual Internet user. Concerned with the public interest aspects of domain policy. Interest statement: "I have more than four years experience in (IGF IT), have been IGF Internet ambassador. Participated in (Loc IGF) in 2015, 2016.

Columbian Internet Governance Forum and (unintelligible) Internet Governance Forum as a (unintelligible) 2016. Co-Chair of (AL). I hope we

can get that fixed soon. And referencing (Bennet Aquino) and his LinkedIn page. Opinions on Paola Perez?

Robin voting yes on Paola Perez. Monika and Joan? Monika voting yes. Joan yes. I agree, approve her pending Poncelet later.

Next Radha Ramphul from Mauritius. Do we have anybody else from Mauritius? I'm not sure. An individual Internet user with primary concern with public interest aspects.

And interest statement: "I am interested with NCSG as I would like to get involved as an individual and not through my organization." She said, "I work for (Afrinique). It's very difficult to get engaged other groups. However I'm not involved with the (NRO SOO Afalo)," presumably in (Afrinique) works. Opinions on Radha Ramphul?

There are no references, no LinkedIn page, no Web site. So Robin suggests we should ask for more interest – for more information about this or if it's her actually, I'm not sure – non-commercial interest.

I think we might want to specifically ask also what her work in (Afrinique) is so as to make sure that there is no double dip person participating in ICANN work from that side. Okay, so another one ask for more info there.

Then we have Timothée TOMO NDJOBBO, whose name I probably mispronounced horribly, from Cameroon, with a different category, a rare one. "I'm employed by or a member of a large non-commercial organization and it's too complicated or I lack the standing to get my organization joined on an organizational basis."

No references. Interest statement is in French. And it's rather brief. Freedom of navigation but secure in your personal data. I think we have to ask at the very least what is that large non-commercial organization he's employed by. Okay I see Robin writing exactly same question there.

So we ask him what is that organization. Should we ask for more details like also the usual please explain a bit more of your non-commercial interest? Of course his organization is (unintelligible). That's fine but still, we need to know that organization at the very least.

And I recall that we already have (unintelligible) list of things to add in the membership application form is if we ask that whenever somebody say like this. But let's hope that will be done soon enough.

Okay, next is Chancelle MBARA NKOUSSOU something, again probably horribly mispronunciation, from the Republic of the Congo. Individual Internet user with primary concern with the public interest aspects. But also I'm employed by a large commercial enterprise.

I'm sure what kind of – is it technical because this should not be possible for both of these to happen. This must be - there must be a technical glitch in the membership application form for both of these to appear there at once. People are supposed to be only one category of membership.

Anyway, the interest statement, and again it's a duplicate. But as far as I can tell, their entries are identical. And actually it suggests my guess of this (unintelligible) here he's applied for is with a different category, and the system has merged them. We'll have to check that.

The interest statement: “In our day, the Internet occupies a place of choice of our life (unintelligible) point just of its governances, learn more, contribute to its perpetual development, stability, transparency, efficiency (unintelligible), biting off different challenges, integrating the group -- which I presume he means by joining it – will allow me to learn more about the Internet management and consultation policies that are developed by the community, express my opinions, especially to contribute to the development of policies.” And there is a LinkedIn page as well.

So opinions on (Chancel)? Okay so she’s an ICANN fellow. Her LinkedIn page is in French. So she manages the Congo Internet Exchange Point which I can figure out from that.

Okay, Robin thinks she’s okay and votes yes. Joan perhaps have her clarify how she is non-commercial. I want to clarify if you want to manage that perhaps or - so shall we ask clarification?

Yes, looking at that category I think we have to assume that this second setting, that employed by a large non-commercial organization, is a mistake of some kind because organization she’s working for is not non-commercial. But as an individual it should be fine. Anyway it seems to have...

Robin and Joan agree that we should ask for clarification so let’s do that. And she was the last new applicant.

Now if you scroll up and select the status to pending and see what we have. There you can see the ones we left pending last time. We should at least - let’s see if we do. Yes it seems we do.

And again a little - not working with this form is if we don't see the replies there. That happens with (unintelligible) that. So I have to rely on Maryam to clarify if we have any replies.

First we have Adebunmi Akinbo from Nigeria. Maryam, did we ask for more details?

Maryam Bakoshi: Yes we did but I haven't heard back from him, and I just wanted to also note that this is his second time he's applying. He's already a member of AFRALO, so I'm not sure why he hasn't replied, but this is his second go at applying. Thank you.

Tapani Tarvainen: Okay well if somebody does not reply to e-mails then we really can't approve them, can we? Although it might be worth doing a little check if there is some problem with his e-mail or something. But nonetheless because if keeps trying and then keeps you ignoring questions that suggests that maybe something is wrong like maybe Nigerian mail provider is filtering out mails from ICANN or something equally silly.

But I don't see what we can do about that though, but we could try to contact him via LinkedIn actually as an option. Should we do that? Okay, Maryam can you do that? You have a LinkedIn account so you can send him a question via LinkedIn.

Maryam Bakoshi: Sure Tapani, I can. I just also wanted to note that the last he replied, the last time he replied, not for this one, he listed a few organizations he's part of. The one that struck me was a member, executive board of directors, Nigeria Internet Registration Association.

So if he works for - oh I don't know how that – well, that just (unintelligible).  
I don't know if that information is helpful at all.

Tapani Tarvainen: Yes I know we haven't looked at the organizational applicants because it's easier with this new form to go through the pending individuals first and then go organizational ones. So let's do it in this order for now - a few clicks less.

But about Adebunmi if we had already replied earlier and we did not find that reply adequate, so should we reject him at this point and let him come back to us? If he has replied before it means that he is getting e-mails. Or what should we do? I think as a rule we have rejected those who haven't replied. So reject him. Okay.

Next one - see Robin agreeing. And a single rejection – vote for reject – is enough, but of course it's better if we all agree. Anyway, let's move on.

Arabagatte Mylarappa Sudhakara. I'm sure I'm mispronouncing that horribly as well. Maryam, did we ask for more information? Any reply?

Maryam Bakoshi: My apologies. My computer is frozen. What name is that again?

Tapani Tarvainen: An Indian with impossible name – Arabagatte Mylarappa Sudhakara or something to that effect.

Maryam Bakoshi: Yes so we have him here, left his reply on Adobe.

Tapani Tarvainen: Okay. And he has a LinkedIn account as well but copying from Adobe, it's hard. Can you cut and paste it to chat Maryam, the LinkedIn address? At least I can't get it from that Adobe (unintelligible).

Okay but that is - apart from that extra LinkedIn.com, it seems to work. Looks like an academic of sorts. Director of Centre for Information Science and Technology in University of Mysore. So opinions on Dr. Sudakarta Alabagart Malarup?

Yes from Robin, Joan, Monika. Yes and yes, and I agree he looks good. Approved pending Poncelet later.

Next Claire Craig from Trinidad and Tobago. Maryam, any news about a reply? No response. So I guess in that case we'll reject. So send a rejection notice with the usual caveat that if we are just missing a reply or something we'll reconsider. Can always reapply.

Next Bryson Carmack from the United States. Maryam, any reply, response? Okay and not very (unintelligible) but Bryson Carmack is the one we have now. So no response from him. Okay, then reject.

Patrick Essien from Nigeria. Maryam, response from him? I'm sure if I'm counting your no's correctly, I presume - did I get it right that there is no for(Patrick Essien either?

Maryam Bakoshi: Yes, it's a no. It's a no, Tapani.

Tapani Tarvainen: Okay. Okay when you type a no in there, please put in the note who because I'm losing count of how many no's there are. Anyway, so (Patrick Essian) has not responded, so we - I presume we reject him as well.

Okay, then is Freddy Manullang from Indonesia, and he has replied. I see that there is a response on the screen. Let's have a look. This admin for (unintelligible). Okay then the (unintelligible) organization for non-profit.



That is - actually it doesn't quite fit our definition of commercial but it says here also has some activities and some organizations for nonprofit.

And he did give his LinkedIn page as well. So opinions in (unintelligible) one. I seem to recall we did look at this link in this first time already and couldn't figure out what non-commercial interest he has. So now we have his explanation. Now, we should just start with that.

There's a mix – rather, non-commercial organization which website he lists there. I put it in the chat, so let's have a look. But, unfortunately, it's completely in the end (unintelligible) for a second. So...

Okay, thank you, Robin, for that translation there. Opinions on we should approve him. Anybody? If we want to ask for more information, we should be more specific about what we want to know.

It is a bit - agreed – it's a bit difficult to decide it (unintelligible) admin for (unintelligible) internet domain registry operator, but here's, some activities in an unperfect organizations.

So what else should we need to ask to clarify this? Because the main questions would be that to make sure this interest is not actually related to its broker to - and plan the (unintelligible) registry operator, then that kind of person would not belong to NCSG, but – or (SBC) or something else.

But he says just where he works and he's actually interested in something else, then that's a different matter. So we should ask him to explain his relation with (Pandy) and with (unintelligible) actually (unintelligible) non-commercial interest.

This may require a bit more drafting words. Some of you feel like help Maryam draft a question to make sure that we get the point right here that the – how to (unintelligible). And okay, Joan.

So Maryam, Joan has volunteered to help you on this here. The point here is to make sure that we get an understanding of if interest is not related to this registry work. Okay, I see that the remaining – and we've actually gone through all of the pending ones now.

Maryam, please correct if I'm wrong, but it seems we have. I'm not seeing them here anymore.

Maryam Bakoshi: Yes, that's correct.

Tapani Tarvainen: So we have (unintelligible) pending, individual applications.

Maryam Bakoshi: And we don't – we've not looked at (Lardo Vladamir).

Tapani Tarvainen: Why don't I see him in the list here?

Maryam Bakoshi: Good question. I can't see him there as well.

Tapani Tarvainen: And he was an individual, yes.

Maryam Bakoshi: I'm just double-checking that. Alright, okay, I think I sent him this – I sent it to him in (unintelligible) of April and he replied on 26th of April. So I'm not sure...

Tapani Tarvainen: Alright, it seems it's an organizational application. Am I getting it right? Yes, so it is. Okay, we'll get back to it later. That's an organizational and I'd find it in list of pending organizational applications.

But let's look at the new organizational applicants first. So organizations (unintelligible) renewal; we have four of them. So it's the Center for Digital Creativity and I note that this form is still not working correctly – that we don't have (unintelligible) stated mission and how funded (Sis) are not displayed.

You can see them by clicking on the applicant name. We'll have to fix that to get them in this. The first one, indeed, is the Center for Digital Creativity in the United States -- this small organization funded by the Board of Directors. That's interesting.

Oh, no, the country's Nigeria -- correction. Language is (unintelligible) U.S. English. And the website, indeed, doesn't work. I can't find it in the (unintelligible) either, although that may have (unintelligible) this level.

So I think we had a policy of rejecting – or we're requesting correction for those websites that don't work. An organization must have a functional website, and that's – (unintelligible) something that doesn't work, then we'll have to ask maybe to mirror. But let's notify them that we need to get the functioning website and if that website contains some information we need, that's all.

But broken website is something we can't live with. Okay, next, now the name is in French, but the application is (unintelligible). Before I figure this out correctly, their name is something like Promotion for Women and U.S. of Africa.

Does their website work? Yes, only in French though. Yes, the Organization for the Promotion of African Women and U.S. (Unintelligible) chart. Okay. Funding by – I'm Google Translating that French description here – membership dues, monetary contributions, research to - from surplus years going from members – income from members (unintelligible), voluntary contributions from members, donations requesting partial subsidies from state partners.

Certainly, also (unintelligible) this translation, but that's what I get. And their mission – the mission that (unintelligible) has set itself is to promote the social economic, cultural, and human empowerment of women and young people by helping them to solve their problems. The creation of material and financial capacity building in the organization, national (unintelligible) association groups, (unintelligible), etcetera.

That's (unintelligible) immigration and public, private, international organizations. Okay, the mission, I see no problem with, but – even if it's a bit French to me. Okay, I probably need to think are you voting yes on (unintelligible)?

Joan, Monica – oh, yes, okay, agreed. Let's have program pending (unintelligible) later. So next, and NOAH, or No to Online Abuse and Harassment. (Unintelligible), funded by donations and grants and said its mission is to (unintelligible) resources to victims to online bullying, (unintelligible), pornography, or any other forms of cyber harassment and to educate the (unintelligible) public about online abuse, its effect on our cities, and how they can protect themselves.

Opinions in NOAH. And it's true. They are using just the WordPress for their (unintelligible) website. So besides policy (unintelligible), if we want organizations to have website, then if they don't have a domain name, that's strange to what kind of interest they would have in their domain name policy.

But shall we consider that the grounds for rejection? Joan would accept. And I think their mission, it does seem a bit difficult to understand how they relate that to the domain policy. Ah, yes, it's probably in this.

We checked the – (unintelligible) so I think that's clear enough. We'll have to reject them. Yes, I thought there was something like that but did not remember that so good. Thank you, Robin, for looking that up.

So, we have to reject them. I (unintelligible) that - to end rejection message - Maryam -- that point that we – that (unintelligible) explicitly require that. Okay, one more.

Information, Education, and Analysis center for Moldova -- looking into how funded – it was built in partnership with (unintelligible) Society and (Linear) Studio in support of (Currus) Moldova Foundation National Endowment for Democracy in U.S. Embassy from Moldova. That's an interesting finding.

It's being maintained by members of (unintelligible) NGO. Oh, a stated mission that was created with the implementation of the project promotion of the freedom of expression by civil society from the Republic of Moldova, and belongs to NGO Information, Education, and Analysis center for Moldova (unintelligible), provide free of charge (unintelligible) and established from NGO (unintelligible) the Republic of Moldova.

And their website at least works, although, I must confess I don't understand much of it. My Moldovan – so I guess it's basically Romanian – is rather non-existent.

Opinions on ONG Moldova -- Information, Education, Analysis Center for Moldova. Okay, I see Robin says Moldova looks okay. I guess that's a yes for Joan, Monica. Okay, it looks good enough to me as well, so we approve Information, Education, and Analysis center for Moldova pending (unintelligible) opinion later.

Okay, that was all for new organizations. Let's look at we have pending. First one is the Internet Development Initiative whose information reply we have on the screen. Can you scroll it a bit up, Maryam?

Thank you. We'd like to know more about DNS. And to engage globally and also development. (Unintelligible) our country has been support in public and private sectors for the decisions of the DNS because this field can develop on the right way.

IDI is a non-commercial organization (unintelligible) funding from (unintelligible) in the form of grants grant from donor organizations, (unintelligible), and so forth. Okay, opinions on development initiative from Georgia. Okay, Robin thinks IDA looks good – IDI, sorry.

Joan, Monica. Okay, election approve IDA as well pending (unintelligible) later. (Unintelligible) to Light is the next. Maryam, did we get any response from them?

Maryam Bakoshi: (Unintelligible) no, we didn't get a response from them.

Tapani Tarvainen: Okay, I guess we'll reject them on that – those grounds then, as we have done to those who don't response, and I don't see any particular reason to ask again or anything like that. But, of course, again, in the rejection notice – so, they can apply again if there's something they want to.

And the final one is Center for Digital Creativity. Did we get any response from them, Maryam?

Maryam Bakoshi: That's the one for today, (Cavani). The Nigerian one that didn't have the URL working for the website.

Tapani Tarvainen: Oh, yes – okay, just jumped here already. Alright, yes, there's a little auditing in the wording on this. (Unintelligible) say already, then it jumps to the pending list.

Okay, so – yes, so we're done with the membership applications. And we have 30 minutes left before Robin had to go. I think we agreed on 90 minutes for this call.

So let's take a quick look at the member rejection procedures. And so I've looked at it a little and there's still a number of comments there that haven't been resolved, and actually I did a few. But we might still decide it's good enough at this point to send it to the members for wider comments.

What do you think? Should we try to fix those remaining issues or just send it out to the discuss list. Okay, Robin, you want to speak; please go ahead.

Robin Gross: Can you hear me okay? This is Robin. Can you hear me?

Tapani Tarvainen: Yes, I can hear you at least. Please go ahead.

Robin Gross: Great. Yes, it looks like the comments that remain are kind of, you know, minor. Let's just think – like let's make this a longer period of time for responses and, you know, things like that that I think that are good, you know, things that I would agree with and are quick and easy things to sort of tidy up.

And, yes – and it did seem like the other issues we were going to resolve through other – through the election process. So it seems like this is really close. And if you like – so we have something that is in sort of a final form, I can just go through in the next, say, just two days or so over the weekend and resolve all the issues that are currently listed in the side – some of them that you typed in today.

I think they're good comments and, you know, we'll just take a few minutes to sort of add something in, or add some time into the deadline timeframe that would address the concerns. So that would be my suggestion but I'm, you know, I'm not with any particular way of handling this.

Maryam Bakoshi: Thank you very much, everyone, for attending the call.

Robin Gross: Thank you.

Maryam Bakoshi: Christine, you may now stop the recording and disconnect all lines please.  
Thank you very much for your time today. Good-bye.

END