

ICANN

**Moderator: Maryam Bakoshi
September 4, 2015
10:00 am CT**

Coordinator: The recording has now started.

Terri Agnew: Thank you. Good morning, good afternoon and good evening. This is the NCSG EC meeting on the 4th of September, 2015.

On the call today we have Rafik Dammak, Joan Kerr, Tapani Tarvainen, and Robin Gross. We have apologies from Rudi Vansnick and Klaus. From staff we have myself, Terri Agnew.

I would like to remind all participants to please state your name before speaking for transcription purposes. Thank you very much and back over to you, Klaus. Rafik...

((Crosstalk))

Rafik Dammak: Thanks for everyone for attending. Yes, who is speaking?

Terri Agnew: I apologize. I think I said back over to you, Klaus, which I meant Rafik so I apologize about that.

Rafik Dammak: Okay. I'm not sure, okay, yes, thanks for everyone for attending. So Rudi and Klaus cannot attend. I think changing from the call because they are - election call maybe just kind of, yeah, created some issue. However, we will try to go through the application and do a review. And if we have some question we can - that will help us.

Unfortunately also Maryam cannot be on the call because she is sick. So we'll have to take note myself. That's good. Joan is with us so maybe she can help - Joan, can you please send me Gmail account so I can give you access to the spreadsheet so I can see? Okay. Yeah, please try access to this doc. So Joan is NPOC member. She chair so she's with us today.

Okay so let's start first with the first applicant. (Miguel Ignacio Estrada). Well this is one - he applied again. When I was checking it sound that he was - he applied before. You will find it in the review individual tab. And in that time we asked some question because he works for the (Nic) Argentina. So we were trying to check if he is participating in individual - as individual - in his individual capacity.

And he say that - his reply, you can find it, "I want to become a member of NCSG since I am an active member of some informal Internet policy discussion group. And I would like to be involved in policymaking in ICANN. I also maintain a IG-related new channel that intends to inform that community and policy development and changes on the region. I would enjoy being a part of a discussion on first order - first to gain more notice on the issue you discussed and, next, to be active part on it."

He send several email to us to (command) the status of his application. He's also an ICANN fellow in Buenos Aires. So, yeah, I think he has a strong

interest and he responded to the - our question. So he sound that he want to participate in his own capacity. But I'm looking to hear from you. Tapani.

Tapani Tarvainen: Tapani speaking for the record. Looking at his Website, and it doesn't seem to work. Otherwise he's convincing but it's kind of - slightly concerned. But joining us as an individual is concerned so that's not really a reason to stop him. And he's replied. He's active enough and I don't see any strong enough connection with (Nicolet in) Argentina. So I would let him in unless something else comes up I haven't thought of it.

Rafik Dammak: Okay. Robin.

Robin Gross: Can you hear me okay?

Rafik Dammak: Yes, not easy but we can hear you, yes.

Robin Gross: Okay, yeah, I vote yes.

Rafik Dammak: Okay, you vote yes.

((Crosstalk))

Robin Gross: One inch away from the microphone. I don't understand why I can't be heard. (Fix that) or I can call in. I'll call in.

Rafik Dammak: Sorry, Robin, I cannot really hear you (I hear echo). Okay. Robin, okay so you will use the dial-in and - so what - the next his name is (Joseph Mark Antoine Redori). Also a Fellow. And he also contacted us through Janice to ask about the status of his application that show that he has interest. He put as reference

at least one of (unintelligible) ICANN Fellow. He's also an NCSG member Krishna Kumar and he described his interests. So please review this.

Terri Agnew: Hi, this is Terri. It looks like everyone's line is muted except for Rafik's at this time. And Robin has joined us on the telephone.

Rafik Dammak: Okay thanks, Terri. Okay, let us - Tapani.

Tapani Tarvainen: I can't really find anything to speak against Mr. (Redori) here. He's an ICANN Fellow and description looks good to me. So unless someone knows something that's not shown here I vote yes.

Rafik Dammak: Okay. Thanks, Tapani. Robin.

Robin Gross: This is Robin. Can you hear me?

Rafik Dammak: Yes, Robin, we can hear you.

Robin Gross: Okay so I'm on the phone now. Not sure why you couldn't hear me before over the Adobe Connect. But, yeah, I vote yes on this application also. Looks complete and I see no reason - no red flags or any reason to have questions with it so I vote yes. Thank you.

Rafik Dammak: Thanks, Robin. Next okay so just maybe to clarify, all those now we vote for them and we will send the email to the list asking Rudi and Klaus to go through and give them like just one week for that. If there is no objection for some members we can consider them as approved. I think we agree on this. We did that before and we can do it again so. You think its okay? Okay I don't hear any objection so it sounds okay.

Next member applicant it's (Rinata Tu Le Montero). He's Brazilian and he put really long - yes, explanation. I (reached him) in Twitter with our NCSG account. And, by the way, we met him - if I recall correctly, in Singapore last year, if you remember, Robin. So he's a research and academic involved on Internet governance so yeah. And he attended the ICANN meeting in Singapore so.

His Website, Tapani. Yeah, it works for me. Tapani. So no Tapani, we cannot hear you. Okay, thanks. Robin.

Robin Gross: This is Robin. Can you hear me?

Rafik Dammak: Yes.

Robin Gross: Okay I vote yes also.

Rafik Dammak: Okay. Good. Let me write it down. Okay thanks so let's move to the next. The next is (Coker) - let me find his name - (New Zealand). He know (unintelligible). And he's - he is an ICANN alumni. Okay. Okay let me check okay I don't think his name - just (Coker) here so we need to check information. Tapani.

Tapani Tarvainen: I guess the mic still doesn't work. Can you hear me now?

Rafik Dammak: We can hear you well.

Tapani Tarvainen: You can, okay. We have to - I think we must ask Mr. (Coker) but if the name is complete.

((Crosstalk))

Rafik Dammak: Okay, yeah we need to clarify that. Okay. So sending email to get the full name. Okay. Robin.

Robin Gross: Yes, I agree, sounds like we just need to get his complete name but I don't expect there will be a problem admitting him once we have that.

Rafik Dammak: Okay. Yeah, and we need to get accurate information at the beginning. After it will be really hard to fix them after (the vote). Okay. The next so (Raul Plumer). Okay someone is applying for (Sumi). Okay let me ask - do you know this person?

Tapani Tarvainen: Can you hear me now?

Rafik Dammak: Yes, Tapani, yeah, we can hear you. Yes, Tapani, we can hear you. Hello.

Tapani Tarvainen: Can you hear me now?

Rafik Dammak: Yes, we can hear you, yeah.

Tapani Tarvainen: Okay. So yes I know (Raul). He's an (unintelligible) member so yeah, I know him. He's a (young FA) member who asked about ICANN staff and I told him to go ahead and apply to NCUC and he did. And well you can see he's got his own Website there with the Finnish letters in the middle. But (unintelligible) and, yeah, I certainly will vote yes for him.

Rafik Dammak: Okay. I mean, yeah, applying from (Sumi), I mean, we don't have that often...

Tapani Tarvainen: That's Finland in Finnish. (Sumi) is Finland in Finnish as it were.

Rafik Dammak: Yeah, I think he want to make a point. Okay. Yeah, I think he sounds legit. I mean, he's also, yeah, and member of the (electric frontier) Finland.

Tapani Tarvainen: Yes.

Rafik Dammak: And also member of the (Pirate) Party so probably he know (Jonas) and so on. Okay. Well...

((Crosstalk))

Tapani Tarvainen: ...noncommercial.

Rafik Dammak: Yeah, sounds good for me. I mean, that is different perspective. Robin.

Robin Gross: Yes. Can you hear me okay?

Tapani Tarvainen: Yes.

Rafik Dammak: Yes, okay.

Robin Gross: Okay so I vote yes on this application also and I'm excited to be welcoming a (Pirate) Party Finland member into our ranks. Let's see if we can get some more (Pirate) Party folks to join from all the different countries.

Rafik Dammak: Yeah be careful of what you wish, Robin. Be careful. You need to see what's happened in (Pirate) Party International to be careful. They are kind of fighting (it). Anyway that's for fun. Yeah.

The next is (Ruperto Pijato). He is from Italy. Yeah, and he work with (Stefania). And I met him (in Amsterdam) in - I think in April when I was

there - I was there for the cyber security conference. So, yeah, he - I mean, he also do some research for technology collective. And so I think, yeah, (Stefania) convinced him to join. He is doing stuff on - related to Internet and, yeah, I think you can read his description so.

Tapani.

Tapani Tarvainen: Looks good to me. I see nothing again, same on the LinkedIn page looks good enough to me and if (Stefania) has recommended him yeah, I vote yes.

Rafik Dammak: Good. Okay. Robin?

Robin Gross: I vote yes also. Thanks.

Rafik Dammak: Okay. I mean, coming from the activist side that can be interesting background. Okay the next (Frank Cross) from United States Ohio. It sounds he has really technical background (network engineer). Well so and he shared some Website.

Tapani Tarvainen: Yeah.

Rafik Dammak: Okay for network engineer the Website down. I think that can happens.
Tapani.

Tapani Tarvainen: Hi, Rafik. Well again I don't see anything against him. Seems to be interested for proper reasons and even though his personal Website is down then well that's probably common enough. So I think I vote yes unless someone can point out something I missed.

Rafik Dammak: I mean, I see that he has a technical background and, I mean, we talk about DNS and naming so I guess, yeah, he can have some - he can differentiate about gTLD and he say that he is involved with (integration) and nonprofit organization, I think in (unintelligible) so, yeah. Looks legit for me. Yeah.

Robin.

Robin Gross: I vote yes. He appears to meet the criteria so put me down as a yes, thanks.

Rafik Dammak: Okay, thanks. The next - next, next, (Aregi Sice) from Gambia. He's a Fellowship fellow. And he is referring to (Sheckel Ackman and Mamadelu are) two NCSG member. Tapani.

Tapani Tarvainen: Seems to me this ICANN Fellow being as (noticed) recommended by two members, I think no reason to vote against him so voting yes.

Rafik Dammak: Okay. Robin.

Robin Gross: Yes. I will vote yes also but I'm - a few things in the - in his application kind of struck me as a little bit odd, you know, like working with police to train them on security issues. I just wonder if he might be more closely affiliated with government than we might realize. But I guess there really isn't anything here in that application to conclude that so I'll say yes but I did just want to raise that one flag just that - see if anyone else maybe had a thought on that or if I'm seeing something that isn't there. Thanks.

Rafik Dammak: Thanks, Robin. I think he say that he serve as assistant IT manager network administrator in his organization. So - and he did - he did training. He coordinate nationwide training in sensitization, that's nice word, with the university (ICT) association student. And also conduct some training on

computer security. So well he did training but that doesn't mean necessarily being related to government. I still think if - one sec. If he's a Fellow we can find or how he listed himself. So once second please.

So when they apply they have to select to which group they think they are linked. Okay well good catch, Robin. He's - in the ICANN Fellowship he affiliate himself as part of the government. So I guess - well we can ask him some questions so, Robin, what you would like to ask him exactly? Robin?

Robin Gross: Yeah, since he's listed himself as government let's go back and ask him about that. Make sure he understands that the NCSG isn't the place for people affiliated with the government to do advocacy work or that sort of thing. So just maybe a follow up conversation with him. Thanks.

Rafik Dammak: Okay. Tapani, what you think?

Tapani Tarvainen: Yeah, I guess that's reasonable enough that the points Robin raised so ask him for clarification won't hurt. Let's do that.

Rafik Dammak: Okay. Thanks. Okay. Okay the next is just application. I think he wanted to be sure that we consider so we can ignore that. So we can go to Number 11, (Jason Laker) from San Jose, California. And, yeah - okay that's interesting. (It's sounds that he go to attach because) to stand for leadership position this is probably NomComm process. So that's quite interesting.

Tapani.

Tapani Tarvainen: Hello, Rafik.

((Crosstalk))

Tapani Tarvainen: Yes, I noted in that chat that (Laker) has listed in both constituencies in his constituency selection. I presume that's just a typo or error but I suggest he may not have read the application form clearly enough or something. We might ignore that or ask him to clarify but since an individual can't apply to NPOC anyway. Otherwise I see no reason against him, looks very good.

Rafik Dammak: Okay. I think that yes.

((Crosstalk))

Rafik Dammak: Robin.

Robin Gross: Yes, he does appear to meet the criteria for the NCSG application. So I vote yes.

Rafik Dammak: Okay, thank you. So I think just some people mistake when they join constituency but we know that individual they cannot join NPOC so, I mean, just we can discuss that anyway. Okay. Going to the next - the next, this is, I mean, just strange because (Joan LaPriest) is already member so I have no idea why he's applying again. So I don't know why.

Robin Gross: Well it says here I thought I already was a member until a friend disabused me of that notion. I don't know what he means by that.

Rafik Dammak: Okay...

((Crosstalk))

Robin Gross: ...clarify with him.

Rafik Dammak: He's referred to Milton and (Alejandro Pisante). Okay. So, yeah, I think we should not spend time. He's already member and that's it. I will send him email to ask why. I mean, that's good to know the reason. I mean, no, he can become kind of suspicious of he's a member or not. I mean, let me check quickly.

I mean, yes he was approved in October 2014. Well, okay. Okay. Anyway so let's move to the next. (Samuel Kinsula) from Botswana. He heard about - (Stahl is a member) member. He is a member of AFTA. Okay. And he heard from Rudi about NCSG. Tapani.

Tapani Tarvainen: Rafik, I would like to get know each of these (here, three already) LinkedIn page but there are half a dozen (I can see) from Botswana because the one given doesn't seem to work. And I'd also like to clarify about the constituency selection even though, again, individuals can't join NPOC so we can ignore that and assume its NCSG. But he does give the impression that he doesn't quite know what he's applying to. So...

Rafik Dammak: Yes, yeah, okay.

Tapani Tarvainen: I'm not sure - but the description looks good enough so well what do you think, Robin? I'm not strongly opposed here I'm just trying to - little feeling but it's a bit like to get - the right LinkedIn page to begin with would be nice to have an extra connection at the maximum number of working contact information and a member database would be nice because people disappear and then we don't know where they are so it would be nice to ask for that. It would be nice to ask for that but otherwise I'd be ready to vote yes.

Rafik Dammak: Robin.

Robin Gross: Yeah, I'm just trying to figure out who this person is also because there seemed to be a few people with that name on LinkedIn and I'm not finding the name of the organization that he mentioned in his application here, AFICTA on any of them so I'm not sure who we're actually dealing with here. We might need to get some clarifying information, some identifying information just so we know.

Rafik Dammak: AFICTA I think its private sector organization. They have their own summit now about ICT. Yeah, I mean, we can ask him for clarification. But LinkedIn I cannot access, I mean, internal error. So what you want to ask him here? What question exactly?

Tapani Tarvainen: I would just point out that the LinkedIn page that he submitted as his identifying information wasn't accurate and so could he just correct that.
Thanks.

Rafik Dammak: Okay. Tapani.

Tapani Tarvainen: Yes, agreed, let's do just that.

Rafik Dammak: You don't want another information, I mean, just to avoid back and forth and, you know, better to ask some question now and just later. Okay, if you are fine, fine. Okay.

Okay so let's go to organization now. It's on the second. Second, second, second so organization, this is the observer (unintelligible). And this - the organization that newly joined by Amr. I think you know him. So, yeah, he know - I mean, ICANN NCSG pretty well. And I think he put, yeah, mission and so on, details about the organization. So please have a look there. Tapani.

Tapani Tarvainen: Yeah, I have nothing that I can think of against him - of this foundation. I don't know him and everything looks good to me so, yes.

Rafik Dammak: Thanks. Robin, I think you already voted yes.

Robin Gross: That's right, I vote yes.

Rafik Dammak: Okay. Thanks. The next is also strange case. I don't know why (Suni) applied again. I mean, he is really aware about NCSG. And (unintelligible) (CIS) member active on the list so I'm - I will ask him why. Maybe there was some confusion here. I don't know. So is already member. So what do you think? Tapani?

Tapani Tarvainen: No, since they already a member I don't (unintelligible) verify that did they get the check (unintelligible) or something. Have they lost their (unintelligible) - check in, by the way, that might have been worth looking...

((Crosstalk))

Rafik Dammak: Okay. Yeah, sure.

Robin Gross: Yeah, it's just odd that they applied again when they're already members and are even active on the list. So maybe just a conversation about why they're reapplying if they thought they had been dropped, if they didn't realize they were members or, you know, just a conversation to see what - where the disconnect is here because they've been members for a while and pretty active as far as I can tell. Thanks.

Rafik Dammak: They asked me like one week ago to add one of their interns as observer in the mailing list so - okay. Let's move to the next. This is the - maybe it's - we can be done quickly. It's the end year review that we tried last time but we cannot approve them. So let's just start with the first, (Mohid Tuwari) from India.

We asked for more information what are the interests. The email was sent but no response. And I think is agreed is one of our rules here if we send email and there is no response we can consider that it's rejected. And we just give them chance again when we send the rejection is to respond. If they don't so they are not approved.

So what do you think here? Tapani?

Tapani Tarvainen: Yeah, if we got no response for a query then reject, I think that's clear enough. It's hard whether it's because they don't care enough to reply or their email doesn't work. Either way I don't think we can handle members we can't contact.

Rafik Dammak: Okay.

Tapani Tarvainen: So...

((Crosstalk))

Rafik Dammak: Yeah. Okay. Next is (Adrian Mozone) from Costa Rica. Also no response. We asked - tried to ask for confirmation so. I think the same here. Tapani? Robin?

Tapani Tarvainen: Yes, same here. Reject because no reply.

Robin Gross: Anyone - any applicant who hasn't responded my vote is a no.

Rafik Dammak: Okay. Okay so I think it will be easy for several cases. The third I think is the same, no response so I think, yeah, just going. Okay, guys, let me - I think when you try to read at same time Google doc behave strangely. So I will input.

((Crosstalk))

Rafik Dammak: Okay I think the same for Number 4, no response. Row Number 5, again, same no response. Row Number 6, no response so reject. Too high rate of no response, not good. Row Number 7 the same. Number 8, same. Okay Number 9 same. Number 10, same. Okay Number 11. Number 12. Number 13.

Okay I think it will be easy to find if someone responded. Oh, Number 16 undeliverable email. User unknown. So is no way to contact him. Number 16. So Number 20 the email address not working at all so we cannot contact him or her. Okay, finally someone responded.

Number 22 please, Row 22 please check. Tapani.

Tapani Tarvainen: Yeah, given the reply I think looks good enough for me. I vote yes.

Rafik Dammak: Okay thanks. And I think Robin voted yes already. Okay. Number 23 I don't think we can make decision, I'm not sure here. I don't see comment from Maryam here so I need to check if she send her email or not to be sure. So what do you think? Tapani.

Tapani Tarvainen: Well if we don't know if the email has been sent then I'm not sure that's an (unintelligible) there so. Also in many cases...

((Crosstalk))

Tapani Tarvainen: ...email sent no response but we don't know when the email has been sent.

And you made a good point that we really should give adequate time and we can't do that if we don't know how long ago the email has been sent. So if you could check with Maryam ask that you put their dates whenever send an emails.

Rafik Dammak: Yeah, yeah definitely will ask her. I mean, just strange case here. What's happened? Did someone - okay, guys, did someone change something in the document? Because there a little bit mess here.

Robin Gross: I think I accidentally deleted something. Sorry, I was trying to copy her Website so I could look at it. And it appears to have been deleted.

Rafik Dammak: Okay, yeah. Don't touch anymore now, Robin.

Robin Gross: Sorry.

Rafik Dammak: Okay let me fix this. Yeah. Okay so, yeah, we will send email here. Okay Number - we can go to Number 24. (Televia Rossa) from Peru. I think we asked her to give more information to kind of - to fill incomplete information in the form and so she responded. Tapani.

Tapani Tarvainen: Trying to get the Website open and it doesn't work. But otherwise this looks good to me. But noting that no constituency listed but that's of course fine in principle. So I didn't get the blogspot. I tried to open that blogspot address as yet. Wait a second.

Rafik Dammak: I am wondering maybe there is an extra Y or something, I don't know, in the - so it's hard to know. (Mojeres in politica) (unintelligible). So you vote yes, Tapani?

Tapani Tarvainen: Yeah, I'm looking at the blogspot address and, yeah, I vote yes even though - I'd like to send a clarification question, what is that Website (unintelligible) supposed to be if it's blog it doesn't work. But it's better that - I vote yes.

Rafik Dammak: Okay. Thanks. I mean, it was just an additional information, is not her own domain so I don't think we. Robin.

Robin Gross: I vote yes also. Thank you.

Rafik Dammak: Okay. Good. Okay next. Next. Next. Twenty-five from Argentina. And why there is no - what are we asking here? Okay, something missing here. We don't have information here so okay I think we need to ask him again. Send more information I guess. Do you agree, Tapani, Robin.

Tapani Tarvainen: Yes, because the descriptive paragraph is empty. I guess that's what we wanted to ask last time so but we don't know...

((Crosstalk))

Tapani Tarvainen: Yeah, ask and make sure that we get - when and if they reply so leave it pending.

Rafik Dammak: Yeah, I will check with Maryam why there is no phrase here. She's supposed to take notes and to follow up with applicant. So I will ask her. Robin.

Robin Gross: Sorry, what is the question?

Rafik Dammak: About Number 25 is that we are going to ask him to send more information what are - the interest to join NCSG and so on. So do you agree with this or you want to ask him something else?

Robin Gross: Okay, yes I agree with that. Thanks.

Rafik Dammak: Thank you. The next, Row 26, (Miguel Ignacio Estrada), we just approved him in the beginning so think that's - and yeah, so. I think we already approved him so no problem here. Okay (unintelligible). Okay I think we are done with individuals. And we don't have any pending organization so I think we are done with applicant here.

Okay I think we can move to next item. Do we have another topic we want to discuss now? I mean, I thought we should discuss about the email from Rudi but however neither Rudi nor Klaus is here.

We have Joan.

Joan Kerr: Yes, I can. Can you hear me?

Rafik Dammak: Yeah.

Joan Kerr: Hello?

Rafik Dammak: Yeah.

Joan Kerr: Yes, I'm here. I have been listening.

Rafik Dammak: Okay, (unintelligible) wait, there is an - I mean, okay (unintelligible) I think you observed as doing the application review so if you have any comments, I mean. And I was also asking because we thought that as the second item on our agenda we would talk about the email sent by Rudi. However he's not here. So it's little bit complicated talk about the (unintelligible) the topic. But I think we can discuss anyway here. And to hear from everyone on the call so (unintelligible) we can - how we can move forward.

So first, Joan if you have any comment about - I mean, the application review or (unintelligible).

Joan Kerr: To speak on this. So I only got advised on this about - just after 10:00 so I'm not the allocated person but I will tell you from a membership point of view we're trying to align the NPOC membership current list with the NCSG and found some discrepancies.

And this all came about because what I know of is about five members from NPOC sent an email and asked why they want on the NCSG list. And we couldn't find them. Why they were not on the NPOC list rather. And we couldn't find them as members. So the first one we thought oh okay, this must be an oversight but what you did after you get a number of them you're like okay what's happening here. So our question is - or my question is how does the application information gets transferred from NCSG to the NPOC membership list.

Robin Gross: This is Robin. The information - the application information is input by the applicant and goes into a database which all the members of the Executive Committee have access to. If one of those applicants gets admitted and they had ticked the box for yes I want to join NPOC, then that application would

be, you know, very clear right there that this is somebody that the NPOC representative should flag as somebody put in their own databases.

But I actually wanted to hear more about the five members that weren't on the list. Because we keep pretty good records so if you could just give us the names of the people who should be on the list we could probably just (unintelligible).

Joan Kerr: So I was speaking with Robin and Maryam was on the phone today and so we're going to actually deal with that. So - and cross check it between Maryam and I and we're going to take that. And maybe I can (unintelligible) at the next meeting or Klaus or Rudi could do that. So we have agreed to at least do that for the next - four weeks or so and cross check so then I'll answer that - see exactly the problem was. Is that fair?

Rafik Dammak: Yeah, I mean, yeah. I mean, Joan, we have the call and discussed with this so I think, yeah, we should do like that. So work and find out those members and, yeah, and we can work on that and share the results with the EC. So thank you. It sounds workable solution for now. Tapani.

Tapani Tarvainen: Yeah, sounds good to me so far. So the only problem with our...

((Crosstalk))

Tapani Tarvainen: Yeah, okay. Let's do that.

Rafik Dammak: Please go ahead, Tapani. I think we have some kind of echo problem but please go ahead, yeah.

Tapani Tarvainen: Yeah, I just wanted to note that while we do have pretty good records they are a bit difficult sometimes to track down who has been approved and when so there may have been some confusion here and maybe some have applied to NPOC without being from NCSG first or something like that. But let's leave it to Maryam and Joan and to work it exactly what those cases are and how to track them down so good.

Rafik Dammak: And I think we will resume the discussion on the mailing list and those on the next EC call if we need to make an ad hoc call for this when Rudi can be available. So but in mean time we will - Joan and Maryam will work and yeah, we can go from there and try to, yeah, I mean, the better we clarify I think it will make things I think more smooth and more easy and maybe we can really move forward. Robin, you want to add something?

((Crosstalk))

Robin Gross: Sounds like a plan, Rafik.

Rafik Dammak: Okay. Okay so let me summarize. So for the application we will share in the list asking Rudi and Klaus to go through within the next seven days, within one week. If there is no objection we can consider this, I mean, with yes vote as approved. And also I will check with Maryam about how to say the email sent to the applicant. I will ask her to put the date. I think she can find them anyway because sending email so we can plan the date. And putting that in the spreadsheet.

For other case we will ask those who applied again why they did so, I mean, it's not urgent but we should ask. And then, yeah, the next for this Joan and Maryam will work on the - to try to find out who's missing member and share with us. And we will try to do some research. And we will resume the

discussion with Rudi when - in the mailing list. Hopefully he will respond to us.

Okay, did I miss something or you want to add something here? Tapani? Robin? Okay. Well I'm not going to keep you more, guys. Thanks for today. I'm not sure where we can organize the next call. Should we have two - I mean, another call in September, otherwise then we will have the Dublin meeting so we should have an EC meeting. I will double check but, yeah. So what - should we have a call or we should meet in the - okay. So end of September, okay. Tapani.

Tapani Tarvainen: Yeah, the only possible date then would be September 25, three weeks from now I think.

Rafik Dammak: Okay. I will send email to EC list and asking about the - what we agree and also about this to get a date. Okay. I think I requested for Dublin meeting an EC session so that can be also an opportunity to discuss other issue so hopefully we can keep the discussion in the mailing list. Okay, is there any other business that you think you want to add, any comments? Okay, so I guess that's it for today. Thanks, everyone. And see you soon.

Terri Agnew: Once again the meeting has been adjourned. Thank you very much for joining. Please remember to disconnect all remaining lines and have a wonderful rest of your day.

END