
BRENDA BREWER: Good day, everyone. This is Brenda. I'd like to welcome you to the NCSG EC Com on the 8th of October 2020 and 18:00 UTC. As a reminder, this meeting is recorded. Kindly state your name when speaking for the transcript and keep your phones and microphones on mute when not speaking. Attendance is taken via Zoom. Thank you very much, Stephanie. I'll turn the call over to you.

STEPHANIE PERRIN: Okay. Thanks very much, folks. I apologize for my discombobulation. I've got a little bit of a panic going on in the EPDP as always. I think one of the things that we need to clear up before I leave and dump this mess in Bruna's lap is the pending memberships. I got around to reviewing them. I know that Raphael has. Has everybody else had a chance to look at them?

MARYAM BAKOSHI: Stephanie, I'm so sorry I can't raise my hand in the room. Yes. The agenda, I took the liberty of putting an agenda together.

STEPHANIE PERRIN: Thank you.

MARYAM BAKOSHI: Is there anything you wanted to take away or add to it?

Note: The following is the output resulting from transcribing an audio file into a word/text document. Although the transcription is largely accurate, in some cases may be incomplete or inaccurate due to inaudible passages and grammatical corrections. It is posted as an aid to the original audio file, but should not be treated as an authoritative record.

STEPHANIE PERRIN: Well, we should probably have just a short discussion about what's happening with the strategic review but that can fit under any other business. We sent out the call and there's been a couple of volunteers to join but not so many. I wouldn't mind spending a minute on that.

And I've invited Bruna to join us today because this will give her some sense of what she's inheriting in a week or so. But maybe if we could get on with the membership approval. Anybody got anything else they'd like to add to the meeting agenda?

MARYAM BAKOSHI: I know Raphael wanted to talk about Wapix. That can go under AOB. Is that okay, Raphael?

STEPHANIE PERRIN: Yeah.

RAPHAEL BEUREGARD-LACROIX: Yep.

MARYAM BAKOSHI: Thank you.

STEPHANIE PERRIN: Okay. Well, let's kill off the membership applications because I really would like to sort that one. Some of them have been there since I think May which is pretty shocking.

MARYAM BAKOSHI: Stephanie, do you want us to start with Kenneth Herman?

STEPHANIE PERRIN: Yes, please. Sorry.

MARYAM BAKOSHI: No worries. Just to confirm if everyone is on members.ncsg.is.

RAPHAEL BEUREGARD-LACROIX: Would it be just possible to have the shared screen of that? I mean, I have it on my other screen but just for the purpose of [working.] Maybe Brenda can put it up or someone else.

MARYAM BAKOSHI: Yes. I'll do that now. Thank you.

STEPHANIE PERRIN: There. Wonderful. Thanks. Okay. So, Kenneth Herman. I'm trying to remember what I said about him. Yes. I was going to check with Mili, which I have not done yet but I can do that right away. Anybody else got any comments on him?

RAPHAEL BEUREGARD-LACROIX: Yeah. I think I checked with her. If you give me one minute, I'm just going to go back in my emails and see.

STEPHANIE PERRIN: Okay. If you've done it, then there's no point in redoing it.

RAPHAEL BEUREGARD-LACROIX: Because this one is an old one, right?

STEPHANIE PERRIN: Yeah.

RAPHAEL BEUREGARD-LACROIX: Yeah. [I might have checked.] Yeah. It's fine.

STEPHANIE PERRIN: Okay.

RAPHAEL BEUREGARD-LACROIX: She knows him and it's all good.

STEPHANIE PERRIN: Well, any objections to approving this one? Going once. Going twice.

RAOUL PLOMMER: No objection.

STEPHANIE PERRIN: No objection, great. Well, let's get him into active service.

MARYAM BAKOSHI: Stephanie, can I ask Raoul and Ioana since they are on the call to please respond on the phone itself? Thank you, Ioana. Thanks, Raoul. Great, thank you.

STEPHANIE PERRIN: Okay. Let me know when we're ready for the next one.

MARYAM BAKOSHI: We're ready.

STEPHANIE PERRIN: Okay. Can we move on? Maybe I'm looking at the wrong ...

MARYAM BAKOSHI: Yeah. The next one is Abdias Zambrano.

STEPHANIE PERRIN: Ah, there we are. I'm trying to toggle between the two screens and it's not working particularly well. I believe I said he was okay.

MARYAM BAKOSHI: Okay. Stephanie, it's been approved by everyone except for Robin who isn't here, so I think we can move on to the next.

STEPHANIE PERRIN: Yeah. Okay. Sounds like another one.

MARYAM BAKOSHI: Yeah. That's Konstantinos Stamatakis.

STEPHANIE PERRIN: Again, I think he looked okay to me. Okay. Everybody in agreement on that one?

MARYAM BAKOSHI: [inaudible] NCUC EC.

STEPHANIE PERRIN: Sorry? You're breaking up a bit.

MARYAM BAKOSHI: Bruna added in the chat that [inaudible] former NCUC EC.

STEPHANIE PERRIN: Oh, really? Konstantinos? I'm having a hard time here following everything.

MARYAM BAKOSHI: [inaudible] for Robin before we send the welcome email. The next person is Pedro Lana.

STEPHANIE PERRIN: Yeah. I checked with Bruna. She knows him. Yeah, the sound is not great. Is it because I got my mic open? Shall I shut up? Except it's a bit hard to chair and do that.

BRUNA SANTOS: I'm sorry, I'm also having problems with the microphone but I would just mention that KK Konstantinos ... Oh no, I guess I got the name wrong then. I read it [inaudible].

STEPHANIE PERRIN: Yeah.

BRUNA SANTOS: It's very small for me to read [inaudible].

STEPHANIE PERRIN: Right. Okay. So, I did check with Bruna on Pedro. I don't know whether you want to say anything about Pedro, Bruna, but he seems fine.

BRUNA SANTOS: Yeah. Young guy from Brazil, engaged in this Internet governance programs, also the Youth Observatory, former ISOC fellow at the IGF and things like that. [I approve.]

STEPHANIE PERRIN: Well, seems okay. Any comments, Raoul?

MARYAM BAKOSHI: Raoul has responded.

STEPHANIE PERRIN: Okay, he's not showing on my other sheet, so sorry. I'll toggle back to the Zoom sheet. Very good. Then we're on to Marere Gen looks like a hoax, so don't bother. Throw that one out and don't bother looking for more information. Then the next one is Ignacio Aris.

MARYAM BAKOSHI: Stephanie, there's a David Morar.

STEPHANIE PERRIN: There's a who?

MARYAM BAKOSHI: David Morar. Can you see him? Can you see his application? He's after Marere Gen.

STEPHANIE PERRIN: Oh, maybe I skipped one. I'm sorry.

MARYAM BAKOSHI: No worries.

STEPHANIE PERRIN: Yes, I skipped him. He definitely looks okay. I said okay to him as I recall. Now, I would point out we have an awful lot of ALAC members joining us with this batch of membership applications. But there's no crime in that, so ... So, onto Aris Ignacio. I didn't check with Mili here either. But I did comment in his case that it's not clear at all why he's joining us. Maybe I was being a little harsh but there's not much here. And I could be talked out of saying no here if we had something but ...

RAPHAEL BEUREGARD-LACROIX: Hi, Stephanie. I suggest as a matter of consistency, I think these kind of people, we usually take them in, although as you say it's not particularly detailed. Usually people that we ask more information about are those who mention maybe just Internet governance without any mention of DNS policy or anything like that. I mean, at least he does that. Yeah. I mean, I'm not necessarily against sending a request for more information but I would be fine with accepting him directly as well.

STEPHANIE PERRIN: You would? Well, I guess since he's ... I didn't realize he's the Dean of the College of Information Technology.

RAOUL PLOMMER: Yeah. His LinkedIn looks pretty good. I mean, it would be weird not to accept him.

STEPHANIE PERRIN: You're right. He didn't say much but okay. Now, of course having just graduated from an information technology group, that doesn't necessarily mean that they're on our side in terms of our values. But he looks okay. It would be hard to say no. Okay, I will change my vote and then that should open the way, right? I don't understand why the no isn't showing up. I just approved him. Did it show up?

RAOUL PLOMMER: Yeah. I approved him and it didn't show up either, so again we got a bug in the thing here.

STEPHANIE PERRIN: Here we go again.

MARYAM BAKOSHI: For some reason, I can do it from my end. I'm not sure why.

RAPHAEL BEUREGARD-LACROIX: That's normal. Yes. You can do yes or no for everyone. Everyone can do it for everyone. It's not linked to your account.

STEPHANIE PERRIN: Oh good, maybe I should just go through and say yes all the way through for ... Well, can you say yes for me, Maryam?

MARYAM BAKOSHI: Yes. I've done that. Raphael, is that a yes or no for you?

RAPHAEL BEUREGARD-LACROIX: Yes.

STEPHANIE PERRIN: Okay, good.

MARYAM BAKOSHI: loana? loana is a yes. Okay, great. Raoul, is that a yes?

RAOUL PLOMMER: Yeah.

MARYAM BAKOSHI: Thank you.

RAPHAEL BEUREGARD-LACROIX: Yeah. So, I guess this is twice in the list as well. Maybe that's what ... it's not necessarily a bug but it's just that people have been commenting on different instances of his application.

STEPHANIE PERRIN: Different screens. Yes, that's probably it.

MARYAM BAKOSHI: I'll delete the first application and leave the one with just—

RAPHAEL BEAUREGARD-LACROIX: Yeah.

STEPHANIE PERRIN: Yeah, of course, he's in there twice. I forgot about that. And Jacob Odame-Baiden, he is the fellow that Raymand Mamattah asked if he could join.

MARYAM BAKOSHI: Yes, that's correct. Him and Sarata Omane.

STEPHANIE PERRIN: Who hasn't got an application in yet that I can see. Or am I looking in the wrong place?

RAPHAEL BEAUREGARD-LACROIX: So this EGIGFA is also an organizational applicant once we get there. If he was a fellow, I don't see what we ... We can just ... Where do we put the bar, you know?

STEPHANIE PERRIN: Well, bear in mind that he could be a fellow associated with the business community.

RAPHAEL BEAUREGARD-LACROIX: Yeah. Of course.

STEPHANIE PERRIN: So I think he's okay. He's signing on as an individual, not as a member of EGIGFA, right?

RAPHAEL BEAUREGARD-LACROIX: I don't know who is signing up as the rep for EGIGFA.

STEPHANIE PERRIN: I believe Raymond is already the rep for EGIGFA.

RAPHAEL BEAUREGARD-LACROIX: Okay. Then it's not him. I mean, none of it matters so much, but yeah.

STEPHANIE PERRIN: What he wanted was to know who could sign up also from EGIGFA. Basically, the charter speaks to how many people can sign up from a given organization. You can have more members but they can't necessarily vote.

RAPHAEL BEAUREGARD-LACROIX: Yeah.

RAOUL PLOMMER: I have to say, I went to their website and they are saying that they are an accredited organizational member of the Noncommercial Stakeholder Group. This is a bit interesting, no?

STEPHANIE PERRIN: Well, if Raymond truly represents EGIGFA and he's already accepted, then that is true. To be honest, I didn't check the membership list. Raymond's been around for a while.

RAPHAEL BEAUREGARD-LACROIX: Yeah, but his org is not approved yet.

STEPHANIE PERRIN: Okay.

RAPHAEL BEAUREGARD-LACROIX: It's still in the list right now, so except if he's already a member, he's applying to be a member again, normally, it shouldn't be approved. So it's a bit weird. Yeah.

STEPHANIE PERRIN: Okay.

RAOUL PLOMMER: I think Raymond is actually member of the NPOC EC.

RAPHAEL BEAUREGARD-LACROIX: Then he must be affiliated with another—

MARYAM BAKOSHI: He's not a member of the NPOC EC but he's an NPOC member.

RAOUL PLOMMER: I must be confusing with the other new EC member. Yeah, so never mind.

BRUNA SANTOS: Yeah, he's not a member.

STEPHANIE PERRIN: Okay, so Raphael, you called this a duplicate when you reviewed it.

RAPHAEL BEAUREGARD-LACROIX: Sorry, which one?

STEPHANIE PERRIN: EGIGFA.

RAPHAEL BEAUREGARD-LACROIX: I think there's two of them, yeah.

STEPHANIE PERRIN: It's approved, right? So we already have them as members, we don't need to reapprove them. Have I got that right?

MARYAM BAKOSHI: Yeah.

STEPHANIE PERRIN: And they're an NPOC member.

MARYAM BAKOSHI: Yeah, Raymond is—I'm just showing it on the screen now, he is the rep for EGIGFA.

RAPHAEL BEAUREGARD-LACROIX: Yeah, this org is already there, I don't know why they've been applying then.

MARYAM BAKOSHI: They're in NCUC NPOC.

RAPHAEL BEAUREGARD-LACROIX: Okay. Once we get to organizations, we can deal with it then.

STEPHANIE PERRIN: Right.

RAPHAEL BEAUREGARD-LACROIX: Maybe it's just a leftover that wasn't removed for some reason.

STEPHANIE PERRIN: No, he wanted to know how many of his members could also join.

RAPHAEL BEAUREGARD-LACROIX: Yeah, but then what he would have done is reapply as an org again, which is not the way to go.

STEPHANIE PERRIN: No, that's what I thought I had explained to him, that they would be individual members. Like they can make an alternate for him as their rep. That's part of the charter. But they don't ... They can just name them, they don't need to apply.

RAPHAEL BEAUREGARD-LACROIX: Maybe then he just didn't get that part.

RAOUL PLOMMER: Yeah. That sounds really plausible. I think this is just a sort of reapplication to get a second member in, so we'll just tell him that he can nominate him as an AR instead.

STEPHANIE PERRIN: I think he's done that on the organizational side, and I may have been the one that misled him there. This individual is joining as an individual member.

RAPHAEL BEAUREGARD-LACROIX: Yes, definitely. And he [inaudible] like in this SOI, it's as an individual. So I think it's clear.

STEPHANIE PERRIN: Yeah, I have no trouble approving him. But I tried to explain that if he's also acting for the organization, he doesn't get another vote, you know what I mean?

RAPHAEL BEAUREGARD-LACROIX: Yeah.

STEPHANIE PERRIN: Okay, where are we now?

MARYAM BAKOSHI: So Jacob Odame-Baiden.

STEPHANIE PERRIN: Yeah, and I think I approved him, did I not? Why is it not showing up, I wonder?

MARYAM BAKOSHI: It hasn't come through yet?

STEPHANIE PERRIN: No.

MARYAM BAKOSHI: I can just do that from here if you want me to do that, Stephanie.

STEPHANIE PERRIN: Yes, please. That's really odd because I also put a little note in the comments column. Unless I forgot to push ...

RAPHAEL BEAUREGARD-LACROIX: So are you [both right—] so Steph, when you say you put the note, did you do it just now?

STEPHANIE PERRIN: No, I did it earlier. I don't see it here either. Maybe I didn't push "submit." I've done that before, gone through them all and not ...

RAPHAEL BEAUREGARD-LACROIX: Yeah, that could be.

STEPHANIE PERRIN: And then I think it tires out. Anyway.

RAPHAEL BEAUREGARD-LACROIX: Because it says also, I think if we're also on the same form at the same moment, I think it's the last submitting time that overrides whatever is there. So if Maryam goes now, does "yes" to everyone and I'm also on it, and then I don't have anything ticked and I press "submit" after her,

then it's going to kind of submit my version of it which is empty. So just as a kind of general disclaimer, if we're all working on this thing at the same time.

RAOUL PLOMMER: I think we're all in favor of accepting him.

RAPHAEL BEAUREGARD-LACROIX: Yes.

STEPHANIE PERRIN: Yes, let's just do it. Now, listen, moving on to the next guy who is this Clement Genty, spelled very strangely.

RAPHAEL BEAUREGARD-LACROIX: It's a French word. It doesn't mean nice, it's a name of a place.

STEPHANIE PERRIN: I see.

MARYAM BAKOSHI: Stephanie, I'm so sorry, I just need to interject really quickly. Raphael, it's still not working. I have gone in and done the same thing, ticked for everyone, but it's not registering.

STEPHANIE PERRIN: Okay, and I see that Iona knows this guy, Genty, so ...

RAPHAEL BEAUREGARD-LACROIX: I know him as well.

STEPHANIE PERRIN: Oh yeah? He's okay?

RAPHAEL BEAUREGARD-LACROIX: Yeah.

STEPHANIE PERRIN: I could not figure out what he was talking about there with his big bang theory.

RAPHAEL BEAUREGARD-LACROIX: He just did his PhD on some domain name stuff. He's just telling us about whatever he has in his mind. I think he's fine. And I remember— when did I talk to him? I think it was some EuroDIG years ago or something and he was saying that he wanted to join. So he's an academic in the end, so I don't think that he's secretly repping a business or something. Now, maybe he has more liberal views, I don't know. I mean liberal in the sense of economics. Sorry to jump back, but it seems that there's a problem with the form of Jacob. I don't know what's going on there. Yeah, I can just send a ticket to Wapix to have it resolved [inaudible].

STEPHANIE PERRIN: Okay. Well, I just removed my comments and approved Genty.

RAOUL PLOMMER: Yeah, it seemed like he was working for the registrar for almost four years and then changed to academia. So that's fine for us, isn't it?

STEPHANIE PERRIN: I guess so. He's the one that also worked for McDonald's for like eight years and knows the hamburger industry. I just found his application very odd.

RAPHAEL BEAUREGARD-LACROIX: Okay, that's interesting. I mean, it's fine that you change, like as you said, Raoul as well, I'm fine with that. I don't have a problem with people changing. And then yeah.

STEPHANIE PERRIN: I don't have a problem with people working at McDonald's either as long as it wasn't in their domain industry area.

RAPHAEL BEAUREGARD-LACROIX: Yeah, I guess.

STEPHANIE PERRIN: Okay, so I have fixed him, and now I'm on to Kris Shrishak. I checked with Tatiana for him, and she knows him. She hasn't met him other than virtually, but she gave him the okay. He was at EURALO.

MARYAM BAKOSHI: Stephanie, just a quick one. Raphael, have you checked Clement and Kris?

RAPHAEL BEAUREGARD-LACROIX: Yes.

MARYAM BAKOSHI: [Because neither of them have come through yet.]

RAPHAEL BEAUREGARD-LACROIX: Yes, sorry. I'm fine with both of them. You want me to go and tick them, or you want to do it?

MARYAM BAKOSHI: If you have it on your screen open, yes. But I can do them as well. That's fine.

RAPHAEL BEAUREGARD-LACROIX: Yeah. I can do it, actually. You can leave the screen for the general view.

MARYAM BAKOSHI: All right.

STEPHANIE PERRIN: So I appear to be the only one who didn't approve ISOC Nigeria. This merely reflects my overall cynicism about ISOCs in general. But if everybody thinks we have to let them in, fine.

RAPHAEL BEAUREGARD-LACROIX: We have other ISOC chapters, [inaudible].

STEPHANIE PERRIN: Yeah, I think—

RAPHAEL BEAUREGARD-LACROIX: Why are we like—I think ISOC chapter is ISOC chapter, we kind of have to accept them. I don't really see how we can start, how should I say, discriminate between ISOC chapters in a consistent, and if you want, fair manner.

STEPHANIE PERRIN: Yeah. Okay. Now, the Ghana School for Internet Governance.

RAPHAEL BEAUREGARD-LACROIX: I think we have members who are part of that.

STEPHANIE PERRIN: Do we have any idea who's going to represent them?

RAPHAEL BEAUREGARD-LACROIX: It should be in the application. Maybe not.

STEPHANIE PERRIN: I see. It's Sarata Omane that will be repping them. That's fine. Okay, now what about this, the next one?

MARYAM BAKOSHI: Stephanie, everyone has voted on Ghana School of Internet Governance except Robin, so you might want to move to the next one, the African Open Data Internet Research Foundation.

STEPHANIE PERRIN: Yeah, I'm already there.

RAPHAEL BEAUREGARD-LACROIX: That's Wisdom's NGO, by the way. That's what I've put in my comment.

STEPHANIE PERRIN: Is it Wisdom that's repping them?

RAPHAEL BEAUREGARD-LACROIX: I don't know if he's the one who's going to be repping them, but it seems to be his thing. That's when I checked the website the last time. And I don't know when that was.

STEPHANIE PERRIN: So, what is going on here? Why—if they're already on ...

RAPHAEL BEAUREGARD-LACROIX: I don't think they are on already. I think Wisdom is on as an individual member and then they are joining.

STEPHANIE PERRIN: Okay, but if he's joining as their rep, he'll have to step down as an individual. I think we need to make that clear.

RAPHAEL BEAUREGARD-LACROIX: But isn't that the thing that precisely is not required?

STEPHANIE PERRIN: Well, no, you don't get to vote as the rep of a group and an individual.

RAPHAEL BEAUREGARD-LACROIX: Well, okay, I don't know—

RAOUL PLOMMER: He can be a member in both.

STEPHANIE PERRIN: Yeah, sure, you can be a member, but—

RAPHAEL BEAUREGARD-LACROIX: No, I think you can, [Stephanie,] there was a debate on that.

MARYAM BAKOSHI: There was a debate on it, Stephanie, and remember the procedure for that hasn't been drawn. So we can [inaudible] about that.

RAPHAEL BEAUREGARD-LACROIX: So there [it's the same] people who do it, and like the last—I remember precisely when this debate happened, you had [inaudible] working at Article 19 at the time who said that she was not doing it herself but that she could have if she wanted to and that it was not in the charter, bylaws or whatnot.

STEPHANIE PERRIN: [inaudible]. I think I know who was doing it. Yeah. Well, I guess a bit too late for me to fix that. I pass the torch to you, Bruna.

RAPHAEL BEAUREGARD-LACROIX: I think it's too late as well, yes. You have two weeks left to go.

STEPHANIE PERRIN: Well, procedurally, I suppose we could fix it fairly easily if we can get a full quorum to vote it through.

RAPHAEL BEAUREGARD-LACROIX: Yeah. Sure.

STEPHANIE PERRIN: Except a change like that would have to go to the board, I believe.

RAPHAEL BEAUREGARD-LACROIX: Yeah, that's possible.

STEPHANIE PERRIN: That may be what slowed things down.

RAPHAEL BEAUREGARD-LACROIX: That's kind of a typical issue that we often get with those things, is that it's seemingly tangentially enrolled in DNS policy but it's not their core business, obviously, but then it's the thing of an already member.

RAOUL PLOMMER: So the application was really bare. They only really have their website up there. But if somebody knows that Wisdom is at the helm ...

RAPHAEL BEAUREGARD-LACROIX: Yeah.

RAOUL PLOMMER: I guess it's all right. But I don't know, he could have put a little more effort into this application.

RAPHAEL BEAUREGARD-LACROIX: Yeah, but at the same time, we're going to e-mail him back to say, "Write us a paragraph and then we accept you?" I find that's a bit kind of ... If we really need it, sure, like someone who might be working for government and we need to make sure they're not, yeah, okay, but —

STEPHANIE PERRIN: Well, Wisdom is working for government.

RAPHAEL BEAUREGARD-LACROIX: I mean, that was an example at random, but okay.

STEPHANIE PERRIN: Yeah, let's be clear, Wisdom's working for the government. And he's working on open data.

RAPHAEL BEAUREGARD-LACROIX: That's not DNS policy though.

STEPHANIE PERRIN: Well, no, it's not. It has nothing to do with DNS policy. And I sat down with him when we were somewhere—I forget where—and had a

lengthy conversation with him about what he was doing. But it doesn't have much to do with the DNS. Open data is open data.

RAPHAEL BEAUREGARD-LACROIX: Yeah. But then it's not really a problem. What I meant is someone who is working for the government in a field that you might actually be conflicted for it.

STEPHANIE PERRIN: Yeah.

RAPHAEL BEAUREGARD-LACROIX: Because working for government, a private company, or at McDonald's, it's kind of the same in a way. If you see someone comes working for Facebook, maybe they can be—I don't know if they're an engineer for Facebook or something, I guess, who would maybe inquire [inaudible].

STEPHANIE PERRIN: I don't know. I think we should ask for more information here. I think if we just approve this like this, just because we know Wisdom, it's not good enough. How does it relate to the DNS? That's a basic question we always ask.

RAPHAEL BEAUREGARD-LACROIX: Yeah.

STEPHANIE PERRIN: I mean, nothing prevents Wisdom from joining as an individual because he's interested in the DNS, he has a website, he cares about end users. But to accept his NGO that is all about open data is a different story.

RAPHAEL BEAUREGARD-LACROIX: Yeah, but doesn't it mention DNS somewhere? Because when I had looked at it, it did. I don't remember where.

STEPHANIE PERRIN: There's very little here. Internet research foundation. Open data Internet and technological policies. That's all it's got.

RAPHAEL BEAUREGARD-LACROIX: Marilyn Cade on the board.

STEPHANIE PERRIN: Sorry?

RAPHAEL BEAUREGARD-LACROIX: I was just looking at people they have as advisors.

STEPHANIE PERRIN: Well, now now. It might be relevant, but ... Hang on, I'm just going to check for his website. I mean really, it's a very thin application. Agree?

RAPHAEL BEAUREGARD-LACROIX: Yeah, I agree. We run into that problem all the time.

RAOUL PLOMMER: On the activities page it has a training and capacity building and it's capacity building on Internet, open data, cybersecurity, ICTs, DNS industry.

RAPHAEL BEAUREGARD-LACROIX: Yeah. I mean, they do have DNS there. Yeah. Number eight on the screen right now.

MARYAM BAKOSHI: Yeah, and then Stephanie, I just opened up the screen as well, the objectives, number five and eight, that might be helpful as well.

STEPHANIE PERRIN: Objectives five and eight? Where are they?

RAPHAEL BEAUREGARD-LACROIX: It's on the screen right now, it's screen shared.

STEPHANIE PERRIN: Okay. Sorry. I'm on his website.

RAOUL PLOMMER: I have to say I think I remember that Open Knowledge Finland joined pretty much on the premise of acting on opening up ICANN's policies and, well, whatever could be opened. So in that fashion, I guess, could still be serving the cause.

STEPHANIE PERRIN: What do you mean by opening up ICANN's policies?

RAOUL PLOMMER: Maybe not as much as the policies, but the data that ICANN has.

RAPHAEL BEAUREGARD-LACROIX: Like this whole open data platform that's been in the works for I don't know how long. The reason why I'm kind of hesitating just asking for [inaudible] is that we ask for it, he's going to do it, he's going to write a paragraph and be like, okay, check, and then we admit him, and nothing has changed in his NGO or whatever he does with it, ultimately. So it's kind of asking for formality almost for the sake of it. And I think it's important to ask it when we really have kind of doubts about conflict of interest, but here, it's just, is he actually doing DNS policy or is he doing other stuff which is only very tangentially related to DNS policy?

STEPHANIE PERRIN: It's objective number eight in his list, and it's a huge list. Don't get me wrong, these are all good things, and I'm not trying to tear down this NGO at all. I'm sure they're doing good work. But it has very little to do with DNS. So I'm just worried. That's all. I'm just going to print his ...

Let's face it, our criteria for membership are pretty bad. Anybody can join.

RAPHAEL BEAUREGARD-LACROIX: Yeah, that's probably true, and that's also maybe another reason why in cases like this, we should just let it fly.

STEPHANIE PERRIN: [inaudible].

RAPHAEL BEAUREGARD-LACROIX: And I agree with you that we get this artificially inflated members list, but as long as we don't ... Like I don't feel like right now for individual cases, it's the right time to say we're going to make a general rule about certain things. I think we need to sit down and think about it and come up with a new list, and then do this membership review that, since I've been in the EC, has been under discussion. But pending that ...

STEPHANIE PERRIN: Well, I'm sorry I didn't accomplish anything [inaudible].

RAPHAEL BEAUREGARD-LACROIX: I'm not saying this to blame you. I'm not pointing fingers. That's not why I wanted to say that.

STEPHANIE PERRIN: No, I know, but I feel terribly guilty that I didn't get anything done. I don't think I could have, but you know.

RAPHAEL BEAUREGARD-LACROIX: So kind of pending having, let's say, clearer criteria, or also informing our current members that, hey, if you have an NGO and you want to have them join, we actually require that you work on DNS policy and demonstrate it. Because there are other NGOs that—

STEPHANIE PERRIN: That's where we're heading. We've got to. Otherwise, we're going to have hundreds of members voting who don't have a bloody clue what we do.

RAPHAEL BEAUREGARD-LACROIX: That's already the case.

STEPHANIE PERRIN: I know. It's terrible. And about half a dozen—if half a dozen. I think we're probably going to be down to about four hardworking members this year. It's going to be bad. Anyway, just saying.

RAPHAEL BEAUREGARD-LACROIX: Yeah. So my only problem with saying no or asking for more formalities is that we have already all these NGOs in there, and then if we say no, then he can just turn around and say, well, look at your membership list .Why not me?

STEPHANIE PERRIN: Yeah.

RAPHAEL BEAUREGARD-LACROIX: Because we have all these NGOs that do Internet governance stuff in very general terms, and they are members, it's just that they came earlier.

STEPHANIE PERRIN: All right.

RAPHAEL BEAUREGARD-LACROIX: I mean, [it could have] strictly nothing to do with even Internet governance or something, then okay, maybe that's a case for saying—

STEPHANIE PERRIN: There's nothing in there in Internet that they don't touch though. That's the problem.

RAPHAEL BEAUREGARD-LACROIX: Yeah.

STEPHANIE PERRIN: Anyway. All right.

RAPHAEL BEAUREGARD-LACROIX: The remaining ones are easy because there are two for the Ghana thing which are probably mistaken [type of] applications, and there's one which is a definite no, the very last one, the Ghana Union of Uppsala Sweden. That's nothing to do with even Internet governance, so I think this one is a shoe-out.

STEPHANIE PERRIN: God.

RAPHAEL BEAUREGARD-LACROIX: I mean, promote the good image of Ghanaians in in Sweden? I'm sorry, but that's ...

STEPHANIE PERRIN: So, we've agreed that the EGIGFA one is a duplicate?

RAPHAEL BEAUREGARD-LACROIX: Yeah, they are already members. So I don't know how they did it. Maybe we just need someone to contact Raymond and say, look, we saw that you've applied several times for an organization that we already have as a member, so what was your intention there?

STEPHANIE PERRIN: Yeah. Probably my instructions weren't clear. I told him to read the charter. Okay, so again, Ghana Union of Uppsala Sweden. How weird is this?

RAPHAEL BEAUREGARD-LACROIX: Because they're from Ghana, I presume it's someone that might be related in a very maybe—I don't know how direct, but to one of our members from Ghana. I presume. But whatever it is, it doesn't really matter. It definitely doesn't fit the bill, like at all.

STEPHANIE PERRIN: Okay. Solves that problem. Oh, god. How are you going to fix these problems?

RAPHAEL BEAUREGARD-LACROIX: Which ones are you talking about?

STEPHANIE PERRIN: The membership ones. It's been driving me nuts. The two years I've been doing this, it drives me nuts, completely crazy. Okay, we're done. What are we going to do about Robin? Tell her that we've approved all the members?

MARYAM BAKOSHI: Typically, we'll give one week for any EC member to catch up, and if they approve or not, we'll go ahead and take it as an approval after one week. But we'd let her know that we require her to go into the system.

RAOUL PLOMMER: Sorry, did I miss the Ghana Union of Uppsala Sweden?

STEPHANIE PERRIN: That's a no.

RAPHAEL BEAUREGARD-LACROIX: Did you want to approve it?

RAOUL PLOMMER: No. Yeah, it's definitely a no.

RAPHAEL BEAUREGARD-LACROIX: No, we didn't approve it. But do you know who it is? Is it someone that one of our members know, or is it randomly they found us, they were like, yeah, sure, let's apply?

RAOUL PLOMMER: It sounds like a joke, really.

RAPHAEL BEAUREGARD-LACROIX: Well, I don't think the association is a joke. The website doesn't work anyway, but it might be a thing but I just —

RAOUL PLOMMER: Yeah, that's what I was thinking. It doesn't resolve and it sounds very weird.

RAPHAEL BEAUREGARD-LACROIX: It is a bit weird, yes. But you have associations for all kinds of things.

STEPHANIE PERRIN: They didn't give anything in the way of a website or a LinkedIn or anything like that.

RAPHAEL BEAUREGARD-LACROIX: They did give a website, which doesn't resolve, as Raoul said. So yeah.

STEPHANIE PERRIN: Well, it could be somebody trying to prove that our membership sucks.

RAPHAEL BEAUREGARD-LACROIX: Too bad for them.

STEPHANIE PERRIN: Why, because we agree?

RAPHAEL BEAUREGARD-LACROIX: No, because we didn't approve them.

RAOUL PLOMMER: We outsmarted them once again.

STEPHANIE PERRIN: Okay, do you want to talk about Wapix now, Raphael?

RAPHAEL BEAUREGARD-LACROIX: Sure. Are you referring to the thing that I mentioned weeks ago?

STEPHANIE PERRIN: Yeah.

RAPHAEL BEAUREGARD-LACROIX: Is there something new on the agenda about that?

STEPHANIE PERRIN: No, nothing new.

RAPHAEL BEAUREGARD-LACROIX: Okay, so yeah, basically, where we're at now is that, let me just collect my thoughts on that. So I would say the medium-term objective is to transfer the mailing lists, the NCSG Discuss, which is currently on ... I think it's Listserv which is the old thing, transfer it or migrate it to Mailman, which would be the new one.

Why is this required? Because with Listserv, the old setup, it's very difficult to do moderation. So we need to be able to moderate the list in a reasonably easy manner if we want to avoid what happened in June. And to do that, before we even have a moderation policy, we need to actually be able to moderate correctly on a technical level, and to do that, we need to migrate the list, and to migrate the list, we need a bunch of things to be done, including the hosting change, the update to PHP anyway. So it's like doing a thing and then we need to do

something else for which we need also to do something else. So we kept kind of going backwards with that.

So now, we're at the time where we need to—let me see, I'm just going to pull up my last e-mail with Josh. So, we have done the migration, now we have some mail server issues that need to kind of be fixed, especially this whole e-mail to activity thing, and that's related to the fact that we've left the hosting provider that we had before for a new one, meaning that we have both the hosting and the management of Civi, both with Wapix directly.

And the hosting provider that we have with them, meaning that they subcontract the hosting to them, was a Privacy Shield compliant one. Now, obviously, Privacy Shield doesn't exist anymore, so I don't know what they are, but anyway, probably the standard contractual clauses which could be shut down by any European DPA anytime soon. But we're doing our best regarding GDPR.

So all this to say that I was just willing to [buy dialog] with them, so 10 hours for \$1000 US. and as you may know, we have this about \$10,000 US per year which is allocated to us by Org to spend on technical matters. It's not money that is ours in a sense, it's not money that sits in a bank account, it's just that someone pays for it. It used to be Steph, now it's Milton from the NCSG or NCUC, I don't know, from the bank account that belongs to either of those. So he's the one who's basically fielding the bill and getting refunded on this bank account by ICANN. And this \$10,000 resets with fiscal year, meaning in July, while our contract with Wapix runs over the calendar year, so January to January.

So basically, starting July now, we've reset our \$10,000 amount. The services with Wapix cost, I don't remember, \$4-500 a month and so over the space of 12 months, we spend about \$6000, meaning we have about \$4000 left to do other things, including development. So having these ten hours would basically allow us to finish the e-mail to activity setup and then have a look into this whole mailing list migration thing.

And to what extent Wapix would need to be involved in that, I don't know, it's something I would need to discuss with Tapani because he can, I think, do it, but then it's how much time it's going to take and who's going to be in the role of doing what, that's a bit confusing. But I can try to manage that with him and with Wapix.

I guess that's all. If you have questions, feel free to ask. You can ask in the chat, or—Bruna as well, since as I mentioned, even though I'm technically transitioning away from my NCSG EC role, I would be glad to remain the point of contact with Wapix, although I won't be involved in taking decisions but I will inform you with my best knowledge and I will let you and your new EC take the decisions.

STEPHANIE PERRIN: I would say that's an offer that we could not refuse, personally.

RAPHAEL BEAUREGARD-LACROIX: Bruna can refuse it.

STEPHANIE PERRIN: She can, but I will counsel her not to. My only concern is—and this, as you know, or you probably know, caused a whole lot of fussing. Everybody ignores these technical issues until somebody loses the archives, and then all hell breaks loose. So, how do we make sure that we don't lose the archive?

RAPHAEL BEAUREGARD-LACROIX: It depends what you mean by archives of what? Like our membership database, or the mailing list?

STEPHANIE PERRIN: The mailing list.

RAPHAEL BEAUREGARD-LACROIX: The mailing list, I cannot tell you technically how to make sure. That's something I would precisely need to see with Tapani because I'm not versed enough in web development to tell you what kind of risks whatever operation we would do entails. If there are multiple ways to do it, maybe some of them are less risky, more risky, or none of them involve any risk at all whatsoever. I just don't know enough, because it's not something that I've discussed at length with him. He's only told me that it would take a lot of time, it would be very complicated. But our discussion kind of stopped there sometime in August or July, and I haven't talked to him since then.

Now, once we're done with the e-mail activity setup and fully done with the new hosting setup that we have, I would come back to him and discuss that with him and see what's the way forward, and I would

inform the EC or the new EC in that case of those things, because I don't think it's going to be within the next two weeks.

STEPHANIE PERRIN: Right. I just wanted to flag that because we did have a problem previously.

RAPHAEL BEAUREGARD-LACROIX: Yeah, but of course, that's something that would be part of the discussion. Thanks.

STEPHANIE PERRIN: Okay. Shall we move on to ICANN 69 planning?

RAPHAEL BEAUREGARD-LACROIX: Sure, I guess.

MARYAM BAKOSHI: Brenda, can you share the document, please? Thank you. Please scroll down to page two.

STEPHANIE PERRIN: Okay, so we have an hour and a half. Clearly, we've got an hour and a half at the policy committee on Thursday the week before. And we have a fairly jammed agenda now for the NCSG meeting. Peter Kimpian has confirmed that he will come and give us an update on privacy from the Council of Europe perspective. I asked him to say a little bit about

Schrems II and what's happening in transporter data flow land because it has relevance with some of our work, particularly the EPDP. And of course, they've been following all the work that we've been doing on privacy, so there is a concern there.

And then we've got the leadership transition where basically, I hand over the reins and Bruna takes over. In the meantime, right after that, Bruna and Joan Kerr give their updates on NCUC and NPOC. After that, Raphael is going to talk for ten minutes about the strategic review, I hope. Right, Raphael?

RAPHAEL BEAUREGARD-LACROIX: Yeah, sure. I can. We may have things to talk about by then, hopefully.

STEPHANIE PERRIN: Now, I don't know, did you ever end off that conversation with Milton about the strat review?

RAPHAEL BEAUREGARD-LACROIX: No, and he hasn't applied for the group, right? That's what I understand.

STEPHANIE PERRIN: He probably hasn't noticed there was a call for applications.

RAPHAEL BEAUREGARD-LACROIX: No, he has, definitely. I would be willing to extend it, send a reminder or something.

STEPHANIE PERRIN: There's a lot going on. Folks are busy, that's for sure. So it's probably a good idea. And I think we've only got three volunteers so far, have we not? We've got Wisdom and—

RAPHAEL BEAUREGARD-LACROIX: But it should be all sent to chair@, so I think you receive those e-mails or something.

STEPHANIE PERRIN: Yeah, it's Wisdom, Raymond and Rafik, and Joan. I think you told her to reapply later and she hasn't reapplied yet, but maybe she figures she's [inaudible].

RAPHAEL BEAUREGARD-LACROIX: Yeah, she [has now,] or I don't know.

STEPHANIE PERRIN: Not to me. I'll give her a poke.

BRUNA SANTOS: [Ben] sent me the e-mail as well.

RAPHAEL BEAUREGARD-LACROIX: Oh, she sent you the e-mail? Okay.

BRUNA SANTOS: No, I was talking about Ben, Benjamin.

STEPHANIE PERRIN: Oh, Ben. He sent it to you? Okay. So that's Ben, Wisdom, Raymond, Rafik, and Joan.

RAPHAEL BEAUREGARD-LACROIX: Joan, possibly.

STEPHANIE PERRIN: Possibly, yeah.

RAPHAEL BEAUREGARD-LACROIX: So James didn't apply either. Okay. Well, maybe he can't now anymore that he's a PTI board member. I don't know.

STEPHANIE PERRIN: Yeah, I think James is long gone to us now. He's got a board position that he likes. And then I asked Julf to talk a little bit about the job of the GAC liaison seeing as how he's leaving, and that is the first time we've had an NCSG member as GAC liaison. It's pretty unique. Normally, they pick someone more sympathetic to their views. So he's happy to come and talk to us for 15 minutes on that. And then Any Other Business.

Now, there is a new document that folks are objecting to, and that is this strategic—it's operational planning or something like that, a nine-pager that Göran has dropped on the organization this week. It went to the council members and they discussed it at the council working meeting this week. Personally, I'm quite concerned about it. I haven't had the document yet, but I listened to the exposition of it and it seems like there will be a review of practicability, for lack of a better word, after the GNSO sends a PDP report to the board. This has been provoked somewhat by the EPDP. Design phase concept paper. Thank you very much.

I have been watching, not unpredictably, given that Göran is a bureaucrat and he screams at me every time I tell him he's acting like a regulator, but this is a very bureaucratic approach. You debate something in parliament, you pass something, and then the bureaucrats figure out what you're allowed to do with the budget that you have.

And that's not the kind of ... That's a bad sign when I'm thinking in French. [inaudible].

RAPHAEL BEAUREGARD-LACROIX: [It is.]

STEPHANIE PERRIN: It diminishes, maybe.

RAPHAEL BEAUREGARD-LACROIX: Yeah, hinders or comes in the way.

STEPHANIE PERRIN: Yeah, the multi-stakeholder model. I mean, come on. If there are practical considerations, they should be considered while we're developing the policy. You don't develop policy in a vacuum. If we had a proper risk assessment procedure at ICANN, we'd do the risk assessment at the beginning instead of ICANN doing it for us afterwards and fixing the policy.

So anyway, I think this is a big deal. Possibly wasn't meant as a big deal. We may be overreacting, but it needs some attention. So that's now on our discussion with the board, and we may want to throw that in there somehow. I don't know where. I am not going to give any parting farewell speeches other than to say thanks a lot for your confidence, guys, and best of British luck in the future.

BRUNA SANTOS: Stephanie, I think we can take out five minutes from the NCUC and NPOC updates and then you can have five minutes each, Joan and myself.

STEPHANIE PERRIN: Okay.

BRUNA SANTOS: Yeah, that might give you 15, 10 minutes to discuss this [design paper] and so on.

STEPHANIE PERRIN: Well, unless you want to give a speech about what you want to do, we can cut five minutes off the leadership transition and five off the ...

BRUNA SANTOS: Yeah.

STEPHANIE PERRIN: Item number four, and that gives us the full ten minutes.

BRUNA SANTOS: I agree.

STEPHANIE PERRIN: Okay. So, will we give it its own item?

BRUNA SANTOS: I think so. And maybe—go ahead, Maryam, sorry. Mary, your audio is [inaudible].

MARYAM BAKOSHI: [inaudible] please repeat [inaudible]. Can you hear me now?

BRUNA SANTOS: Yes.

MARYAM BAKOSHI: Okay. Sorry. Thank you. Can you please tell me where we're cutting off some minutes so I can edit the form directly now?

BRUNA SANTOS: Items three to four, taking five minutes out of each. Right, Stephanie?

STEPHANIE PERRIN: Yeah, that's right.

MARYAM BAKOSHI: Are we putting the [inaudible] Hello?

STEPHANIE PERRIN: Hi. And then that gives us—

MARYAM BAKOSHI: Okay, sorry, where are we—

STEPHANIE PERRIN: So we have a new item number five. We need to change the last three numbers, six, seven and eight instead of five, six and seven. And then insert after item four a new five that says design phase concept paper.

BRUNA SANTOS: Stephanie, do you also think Julf needs 15 minutes?

STEPHANIE PERRIN: Well, one of the reasons I wanted to give him 15 minutes was to discuss the recent ... What the GAC has been doing on the EPDP.

BRUNA SANTOS: Oh, of course. I was just questioning because maybe if we could take five minutes from that. But it's fine. I think in terms of NCUC and NPOC updates ... I wouldn't have anything more than 10 minutes just to mention the course and the incoming leadership. I don't think we need more than that. But then we do need to acknowledge that as well. It's fine. If we have any time left, I'll go and address it to the next one. That's fine.

STEPHANIE PERRIN: Yeah. Well, we always lose time doing the "Can you hear me?" So I'd be reluctant to think we were going to gain. I can cramp Peter Kimpian and cut five minutes from him too. But I think this is good. It's enough to kick off the discussion, don't you think?

BRUNA SANTOS: I apologize for interrupting this again, guys, but I just think that questions such as the design phase might have a lot of opinions, especially if that's ... This meeting is after the board meeting, right? So I'm assuming there will be some leftover discussions. So maybe more than ten minutes would be good, just so we don't lose the next items on the agenda and so on.

STEPHANIE PERRIN: Okay. Let's make it 15 and cut Julf five minutes.

BRUNA SANTOS: You can cut Peter as well. I don't know.

STEPHANIE PERRIN: All right. I imagine Julf will only have ten minutes by the time we get there anyway, so let's cut Peter to 20. There's a lot happening and I was hoping he could tell us some things about what's going on with the cybercrime treaty at the Council of Europe where they're trying to get a legal basis for processing WHOIS information. The GAC has led the charge to bring that there.

BRUNA SANTOS: Of course.

STEPHANIE PERRIN: Yeah. So anyway, Maryam, if you've got that, we've cut Peter to 20 minutes and that gives us 15. And maybe we make item five discussion with board: design phase concept paper.

BRUNA SANTOS: And going back to the design paper, maybe we could see if we can have somebody from either the board or the staff, I don't know, maybe

commenting on that. Or maybe just Rafik since he's in the discussion on the GNSO council as well.

STEPHANIE PERRIN:

I had said when I sent that comment to the list that I would like the guys that have expressed interest that it be you and James and Rafik and Milton to lead that discussion with the board. Hopefully by the time we get to the meeting, some of the others will have read the paper, including myself.

BRUNA SANTOS:

Okay, that's fine. I was just suggesting some, I don't know, maybe some introduction to the topic. But then we can figure out, either Rafik or myself, somebody else can help with that. That's fine. And Maryam, I think it's not necessary—okay, so you're just referencing the discussion with board on the agenda, right?

STEPHANIE PERRIN:

Well, that's our time to discuss what we were talking about with the board, because there's some other issues too. Milton really wants to hammer at Göran for writing letters that misrepresent what happened on the EPDP. I don't think there's any point in poking sticks at Göran when we're having a board meeting, but Milton does. So I'd just assume he didn't do that, but harder to control in a meeting that is virtual.

MARYAM BAKOSHI: Stephanie, can you let me know who is leading the discussion for agenda item five? Thank you.

STEPHANIE PERRIN: Well, I guess I'm chairing that one. And I'll invite the others to speak. It'll be an open discussion, that way I can cut Milton off if he gets too crazy. I mean, I don't think he's crazy on this point. I don't mean to misrepresent this. But I'm probably actually reading more into this than they are. So maybe you guys will want to shut me up.

And you'll be taking over as chair, so Bruna, you can interrupt me if I'm acting crazy on discussion item five.

BRUNA SANTOS: No, don't worry, Stephanie. Again, it's a new topic and to some level, it's indeed concerning. If it weren't as concerning, there wouldn't be any [sort of] discussion on the leadership list as well. So it's a fair point.

STEPHANIE PERRIN: Yeah. I think council didn't react all that well to it. As well they shouldn't.

RAPHAEL BEAUREGARD-LACROIX: Just a quick question. I don't know where we're at on the agenda. I'll have another call in about 10, 15 minutes, so I don't know if ...

STEPHANIE PERRIN: I think we're at Any Other Business now.

RAPHAEL BEAUREGARD-LACROIX: All right. Sorry.

STEPHANIE PERRIN: Yeah, we've more or less killed the agenda. I don't think I'm forgetting—

RAPHAEL BEAUREGARD-LACROIX: Okay, I thought that you were going through other—oh, yeah, because the other NCSG meeting is the policy committee meeting, which is arranged by the PC.

STEPHANIE PERRIN: It's run by Rafik, yeah.

RAPHAEL BEAUREGARD-LACROIX: Yeah.

STEPHANIE PERRIN: Now, I want to thank Maryam for sending all the reminders out to the list. I don't think we've had particularly strong NCSG attendance at things. So if you have any thoughts on bringing our attendance levels up, that would be great.

RAPHAEL BEAUREGARD-LACROIX: And what are you referring, more exactly? Attendance to the PC call?

STEPHANIE PERRIN: No, at the various webinars that have been going on [during the week.]
[inaudible] I don't find any NCSG members.

RAPHAEL BEAUREGARD-LACROIX: Yeah, but if I just take myself as an example, since I have set time aside for the actual meetings next week, the webinars that are of interest, and I watch them but not necessarily live since it's during the business day here.

STEPHANIE PERRIN: Well, and I must confess I'm downloading rather than attending on time, because if I know I'm not going to speak, then I might as well just do it later rather than in real time at 4:30 in the morning or whatever.

Okay, does anybody have Any Other Business?

BRUNA SANTOS: Did we figure out cross-community session? Who's joining the one we applied for? Is it you?

STEPHANIE PERRIN: Which session?

BRUNA SANTOS: One of the cross-community sessions we applied.

STEPHANIE PERRIN: The human rights one?

BRUNA SANTOS: That is another one. The human rights one—do we know who is chairing, how is the organization going? I haven't heard back from either Ephraim or anyone else on that. So it would be interesting to see who's chairing. I won't be able to attend that session because I have a work meeting at the same time.

But I was asking about the cross-community. One of the huge cross-community sessions we applied for. I'm just not sure if it was the abuse one or the EPDP one.

STEPHANIE PERRIN: It was the abuse one, and, well, they're all abuse. There's three abuse ones, and this is the EPDP—consumer protection after abuse, after EPDP, but they've changed the name. And I did a call and the only person who suggested they wanted to do this was Milton. So I sent Carlos Reyes Milton's name today as our panelist. There is a planning call this Friday, mid-afternoon, and a dry run. So we'll see how it goes. There are business community members like Steve DelBianco will be on it, the usual. I've been on numerous of those panels so I figured it's time somebody else did it.

So Milton wanted to do it. It's happening at 4:30 in the morning our time, so I don't think he has any conflict so I just wrote him down and away he goes. There's another ALAC-sponsored meeting. They have a meeting with the GAC and they also are talking about the cybercrime treaty, which is a GAC-sponsored move.

So I think people should pay attention to that, because that will impact us. It's being led by Joanna Kulesza, and the cybercrime treaty is having an addendum added to it. There are folks from the ICANN side, presumably the Public Safety Working Group are trying to get a piece in there to create a legal premise for the processing of WHOIS data, which, I have no objection to that for public safety for law enforcement agencies. It's fine, we need it. But it's a different story if the business community, like if it's drafted too broadly.

So, there were lots of things that are happening, but we're not running them, and I don't even think we've got too many members that are following it. Certainly not on the EPDP team. Anyway, just letting you know.

Well, if there's no other business, guys ...

BRUNA SANTOS:

I was just going to mention that maybe it would be good for us to write back to ALAC to see who is chairing the session, because they haven't shared any—I mean, if it's Joanna, then it's Joanna, but haven't shared any more info on that. [inaudible].

STEPHANIE PERRIN:

I would suggest that we try to get—we had named two people as ALAC liaisons to improve our relationship with ALAC. Those people were John Laprise and Tatiana. I don't mean to criticize, because goodness knows I've taken on roles and done nothing, but nothing came of that except a running series of complaints against Ayden as long as John and Ayden were fighting. That's about it. We didn't have any sort of ongoing briefing or them coming to the meeting and talking. That was the idea, was to try to get some kind of [inaudible]. And it didn't work.

I was a little bit put off by the fact that they're having this cybercrime treaty addendum meeting, basically I gather, with the GAC, and didn't even think to talk to us.

BRUNA SANTOS:

I think I wouldn't point this as an issue with the ALAC liaisons, Stephanie. And apologies for disagreeing with you. I think our relationship with the ALAC has improved a lot in the past two years. I'm not even going to go into the details of the Ayden-John issue, because I think, besides being confidential, it's a little more problematic than just filing complaints against someone. But maybe I can write this e-mail to Maureen. And it's important to mention that Maureen has been really helpful in the past meetings, and also in this past one for reaching out to us and inviting us for this human rights debate. So maybe at least the door is still open and it's a group we can work with. So it's a good thing still. Might be lacking some more fine lining and adjustment, but it's working still. So I was just going to—

STEPHANIE PERRIN: Yeah. No, I'm not saying it isn't working. And we always have had joint policy meetings, [Holly] used to invite us for regular briefings at one point before the EPDP started. I don't think the EPDP has helped our relationship at that level. But that doesn't mean that it isn't working at other levels.

But I do think that we could have liaisons that play a more active role, because the chairs are busy. You're going to find you're busy. But that's just my opinion.

BRUNA SANTOS: I agree with that, but just because we were discussing this specific agenda item, and then I'm going to send them an e-mail asking about who they plan to have moderating the session and if they could provide us any more details on that.

STEPHANIE PERRIN: It was Jonathan Zuck that reached out to us to participate in a joint HR session, partly because we didn't get it accepted as a plenary.

BRUNA SANTOS: Okay. I'm going to reach out to them and let everybody know.

RAOUL PLOMMER: I can't hear anything anymore.

RAPHAEL BEAUREGARD-LACROIX: Yeah, I was going to say I have to bail out for my other call. So I don't know if we just reached the end of this one or if there's more.

BRUNA SANTOS: I think the debate ended.

STEPHANIE PERRIN: Okay, well, thank you all for coming. I guess we'll see you at the next meeting. Thanks.

RAPHAEL BEAUREGARD-LACROIX: Thanks all.

RAOUL PLOMMER: Bye.

MARYAM BAKOSHI: Thanks everyone. Have a good rest of your day. Bye.

[END OF TRANSCRIPTION]