

Major Issues: IRP

- Funding, costs, accessibility, independence
- Scope –
 - Reconciliation of expert panel conclusions
 - “fundamentally irrational” decision
 - Community/single member accountability
- Standard – abuse of discretion vs. *de novo*
- Standing:
 - Prospective harm (governmental confusion)
 - Individual constituencies without community support; “community” IRPs where minority does not support (IPC)
 - “Permissive” approach vs. abuse prevention
- Abuse:
 - Require participation in PDP for standing
 - Fee shifting for frivolous actions
 - Other abuse mitigation?
- Board: “roll back to 2013 standard”
- Prompt action to establish CCWG sub-group to work on details