

	Name of	1B. Board or management action in conflict with ICANN's
Description	Mechanism	bylaws or Articles of Incorporation
	Description	Power for community representatives to challenge and
		ultimately block actions of the ICANN Board or management
		that are in conflict with ICANN's bylaws or Aol
	Category (check	Check & balance
	& balance,	
	review, redress)	
	Is the mechanism	Non triggered (process driven, not incident driven)
	triggered or non	(Remark RM: questionable, one could argue it is triggered,
	triggered ?	incident driven)
	Possible	Independent review, possibly followed by:
	outcomes	Amendment of decision of ICANN board/management
	(approval, re-do,	<u>-</u>
	amendment of	
	decision, etc.)	
	Conditions of	1 st step: independent review
	standing (ie « last	Last resort: blocking decision of ICANN Board/management
	resort », type of	
	decision being	
Standing	challenged,)	
Standing	Who has	Stakeholders, global internet community
	standing (directly	
	or indirectly	
	affected party,	
	thresholds)	
	Which standards	ICANN bylaws, ICANN Articles of Incorporation
	is the decision	
	examined against	
	(process,	
Standard of review	principles, other	
	standards)	
	Which purpose(s)	Comply with its own rules and processes
Composition	of accountability	
	does the	
	mechanism	
	contribute to ?	Clall to the late of the late
	Required skillset	Skill to understand legal language
		Skill to assess actions against bylaws and articles of
	Divorsity	incorporation
	Diversity	Adequate stakeholder representation Remark RM: this is where it becomes obvious that the
	requirements	template was not made for "powers", but for "mechanisms",
	(geography, stakeholder	as distinguished in our doc "Scope, Powers and Mechanisms
	interests, gender,	Working Paper"
	other)	Working Luper
	Number of	Adequate stakeholder representation
	persons	Adequate statemorael representation
	(approximate or	
	(approximate of	



		<u> </u>
	interval)	
	Independence	Adequate stakeholder representation will ensure
	requirements	independence of the group, though -possibly- their will be
		dependencies for one or more individual stakeholders
	Election /	Remark RM: again, this is where it becomes obvious that the
	appointment by	template was not made for "powers", but for "mechanisms"
	whom?	
	Recall or other	See above
	accountability	
	mechanism	
	Is the decision	Not sure I understand the distinction here. There two options
	mandated or	as far as I am concerned:
	based on	The community representatives have to get back to
		their constituencies and get their (consensus)
	personal	
	assessment	approval to block the board's decision (not workable:
		will take too long with the risk of failing altogether)
		2. The community representatives have the mandate
		from their respective constituencies to take a position
Decision		based on their personal assessment of the
making		action/decision against the bylaws and articles of
		incorporation
	Decision made by	Vote. Consensus introduces (or reinforces) the risk of capture:
	consensus or	if a particular stakeholder group has convinced the ICANN
	vote ?	board to take a certain action against the bylaws/AoI, this
		same stakeholder group can then prevent the community
		from blocking that action/decision
	Majority	Supermajority
	threshold (if	
	applicable)	
	Cost	Remark RM: again, this is where it becomes obvious that the
Accessibility	requirements	template was not made for "powers", but for "mechanisms".
		The power has little or no costs (except possibly the costs of
		independent review and legal advice)
	Timeframe	Depending on the activity that violates the bylaws/AoI, this
	requirements	could be an urgent matter and (almost immediate stop
		necessary. My personal assessment: process should be given
		2 weeks max for urgent matters, non-urgent 3 months max
	Language	As regular
	requirements	
	Potential means	 An amendment to ICANN's bylaws that gives the
Implementation	to implement	(group of) community representatives this power
		A mechanism (SO/AC structure, P-CCWG, statutory
		delegates, statutory members, supervisory board) to
		delegate this power to
1	L	1