

## WP1 note for CCWG 28 July 2015: Open or Important points/issues

This note is to summarise key points or issues for CCWG to focus its discussion on as it works through the draft content for the Second Public Comment Report. This **isn't a complete summary** of WP1's sections. Please read the draft documents as well as this summary. WP1 are at <https://community.icann.org/display/acctcrosscomm/WP1+Draft+Documents>

Section	Open or important Point/s or Issue/s
<b>3B</b> – Fundamental Bylaws	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Add Community Mechanism as Sole Member to list of fundamental bylaws</li> </ul>
<b>5A1</b> – Community Mechanism as Sole Member description	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Add sentence describing use of other statutory powers available to the “Member” and link to appendix detailing these powers.</li> </ul>
<b>5A2</b> – CMSM Participation and Voting Influence	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• List all 7 SOs and ACs as possible participants with equal weight (5 votes per SO/AC), and specify mechanism by which SOs and ACs declare their participation</li> <li>• Remove descriptions of the SOs and ACs as in current draft</li> <li>• <b>To come</b> – notes on quorums etc from Bernie and Alan</li> <li>• Some advocating different &amp; lesser voting weight for ACs</li> </ul>
<b>5A3</b> – ICANN Community Assembly or similar	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Remove detail and keep key points in this version: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Forum to discuss use of community powers</li> <li>○ Vehicle for Mutual/Public Accountability Forum discussions</li> <li>○ Details to be fleshed out in WS1 implementation process</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
<b>5B1</b> – Budget / Plans veto	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Para 3 – link to CWG-Stewardship explanation of what must be in the IANA Budget (Appendix P)</li> <li>• Para 4 – concrete reference to WS2 looking at process improvements</li> </ul>
<b>5B2</b> – Standard Bylaws veto	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Ready to go.</li> </ul>
<b>5B3</b> – Removal of individual ICANN directors	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Restructure paper so there is one explanation for process re SO/AC directors, and one explanation for process re NomCom directors</li> <li>• Para 8 – refer to existing bylaws process for appointing replacement SO/AC directors (Article VI, s 12.1)</li> </ul>
<b>5B4</b> – Recall of ICANN Board	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Blend the doc the lawyers looked at and our outstanding draft.</li> <li>• Make it a requirement for the SOs and ACs that appoint directors to bring a proposed alternative director’s name to the table where preparing to exercise the vote</li> <li>• NomCom appointees to Interim Board: allow two or more if available</li> <li>• Diversity requirements for Interim Board: not required</li> <li>• <b>Petition threshold</b> – draft requires one SO and one AC – should we change to two SOs or ACs (otherwise an ALAC veto, at least at first)?</li> </ul>
<b>6</b> – AOC reviews in the ICANN bylaws	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Ready to go.</li> </ul>